

EDB Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates for Schedules 1–10

Company Name

Disclosure Date

Disclosure Year (year ended)

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited

31 August 2013

31 March 2013

Templates for Schedules 1–10
Template Version 2.1. Prepared 14 May 2013

Table of Contents

Schedule Description

- 1 Analytical Ratios
- 2 Report on Return on Investment
- 3 Report on Regulatory Profit
- 4 Report on Value of the Regulatory Asset Base (Rolled Forward)
- 5a Report on Regulatory Tax Allowance
- 5b Report on Related Party Transactions
- 5c Report on Term Credit Spread Differential Allowance
- 5d Report on Cost Allocations
- 5e Report on Asset Allocations
- 5h Report on Transitional Financial Information
- 5i Report on Initial RAB Adjustment
- 6a Report on Capital Expenditure for the Disclosure Year
- 6b Report on Operational Expenditure for the Disclosure Year
- 7 Comparison of Forecasts to Actual Expenditure
- 8 Report on Billed Quantities and Line Charge Revenues (by Price Component)
- 9a Asset Register
- 9b Asset Age Profile
- 9c Report on Overhead Lines
- 9d Report on Embedded Networks
- 9e Report on Demand
- 10 Report on Network Reliability

Disclosure Template Guidelines for Information Entry

These templates have been prepared for use by EDBs when making disclosures under subclauses 2.3.1, 2.4.21, 2.4.22, 2.5.1, and 2.5.2 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. Disclosures must be made available to the public within 5 months after the start of the disclosure year and a copy provided to the Commission within 5 working days of being disclosed to the public.

Company Name and Dates

To prepare the templates for disclosure, the supplier's company name should be entered in cell C8, the date of the last day of the current (disclosure) year should be entered in cell C12, and the date on which the information is disclosed should be entered in cell C10 of the CoverSheet worksheet.

The cell C12 entry (current year) is used to calculate disclosure years in the column headings that show above some of the tables and in labels adjacent to some entry cells. It is also used to calculate the 'For year ended' date in the template title blocks (the title blocks are the light green shaded areas at the top of each template).

The cell C8 entry (company name) is used in the template title blocks.

Dates should be entered in day/month/year order (Example -"1 April 2013").

Data Entry Cells and Calculated Cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas (white cells) in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell. Under no circumstances should the formulas in a calculated cell be overwritten.

Validation Settings on Data Entry Cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to help guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test keyboard entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names, to values between 0% and 100%, or either a numeric entry or the text entry "N/A". Where this occurs, a validation message will appear when data is being entered. These checks are applied to keyboard entries only and not, for example, to entries made using Excel's copy and paste facility.

Conditional Formatting Settings on Data Entry Cells

Schedule 9b columns AA to AE (2013 to 2017) contain conditional formatting. The data entry cells for future years are hidden (are changed from white to yellow).

Schedule 9c cell P30 will change colour if P30 (overhead circuit length by terrain) does not equal P18 (overhead circuit length by operating voltage).

Schedule 4 cells P99:P105 and P107 will change colour if the RAB values do not equal the corresponding values in table 4(ii).

Inserting Additional Rows and Columns

The templates for schedules 4, 5b, 5c, 5d, 5e, 5i, 6a, 8, 9d, and 9e may require additional rows to be inserted in tables marked 'include additional rows if needed' or similar.

Additional rows in schedules 5c, 5i, 6a, and 9e must not be inserted directly above the first row or below the last row of a table. This is to ensure that entries made in the new row are included in the totals.

Schedules 5d and 5e may require new cost or asset category rows to be inserted in allocation change tables 5d(iii) and 5e(ii). Accordingly, cell protection has been removed from rows 76 and 79 of the respective templates to allow blocks of rows to be copied. The four steps to add new cost category rows to table 5d(iii) are: Select Excel rows 67:74, copy, select Excel row 76, insert copied cells. Similarly, for table 5e(ii): Select Excel rows 70:77, copy, select Excel row 79, then insert copied cells.

The template for schedule 8 may require additional columns to be inserted. To avoid interfering with the title block entries, these should be inserted to the left of column S.

Disclosures by Sub-Network

If the supplier has sub-networks, schedules 8, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9e, and 10 must be completed for the network and for each sub-network. A copy of the schedule worksheet(s) must be made for each subnetwork and named accordingly.

Schedule References

The references labelled 'sch ref' in the leftmost column of each template are consistent with the row references in the Electricity Distribution ID Determination 2012 (as issued on 1 October 2012). They provide a common reference between the rows in the determination and the template. Due to page formatting, the row reference sequences contained in the determination schedules are not necessarily contiguous.

Description of Calculation References

Calculation cell formulas contain links to other cells within the same template or elsewhere in the workbook. Key cell references are described in a column to the right of each template. These descriptions are provided to assist data entry. Cell references refer to the row of the template and not the schedule reference.

Worksheet Completion Sequence

Calculation cells may show an incorrect value until precedent cell entries have been completed. Data entry may be assisted by completing the schedules in the following order:

- 1. Coversheet
- 2. Schedules 5a-5i
- 3. Schedules 6a and 6b
- 4. Schedule 8
- 5. Schedule 3
- 6. Schedule 4
- 7. Schedule 2
- 8. Schedule 7
- 9. Schedules 9a-9e
- 10. Schedule 10

Schedule 2: Report on Return on Investment

The ROI calculations are performed in this template.

All suppliers must complete tables 2(i) Return on Investment and 2(ii) Information Supporting the ROI.

Only suppliers who meet either of the two thresholds set out in subclause 2.3.3 of the Gas Transmission Information Disclosure Determination 2012 need to complete table 2(iii) Information Supporting the Monthly ROI. We expect that most suppliers will generally not meet either threshold. You will need to work out if you met either threshold using your own tools (e.g. Excel) and do not need to disclosure these calculations. If you met either threshold you will need to provide a breakdown of five cash flow items on a month by month basis, as well as your opening revenue related working capital. The definitions for these items are the same as for the rest of the schedules. The values for assets commissioned and asset disposals should relate to the RAB (not the unallocated RAB).

The Excel worksheet uses several calculated cells beyond the rightmost edge of the template to calculate the monthly ROIs.

The prior year comparison information in the table 2(i) columns labelled CY-1 and CY-2 should be completed by copying the results from the previous year's disclosure. The CY-1 and CY-2 columns do not need to be completed until the 2013 and 2014 disclosure years respectively.

Schedule 8: Report on Billed Quantities and Line Charge Revenues

This template should be completed in respect of each consumer groups or price category code (as applicable) that applied in the relevant disclosure year. The 'Average number of ICPs in disclosure year' column entries should be the arithmetic mean of monthly total ICPs (at month end).

Expenditure per

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 March 2013

Expenditure per

Expenditure per MVA of capacity from EDB-

SCHEDULE 1: ANALYTICAL RATIOS

This schedule calculates expenditure, revenue and service ratios from the information disclosed. The disclosed ratios may vary for reasons that are company specific and, as a result, must be interpreted with care. The Commerce Commission will publish a summary and analysis of information disclosed in accordance with the ID determination. This will include information disclosed in accordance with this and other schedules, and information disclosed under the other requirements of the determination.

S	C	h	r	e	ţ
			п		

19

40

41

42 43

1(i): Expenditure metrics

8		Expenditure per GWh energy delivered to ICPs (\$/GWh)
9	Operational expenditure	11,753
10	Network	5,020
11	Non-network	6,733
12		<u> </u>
13	Expenditure on assets	11,583
14	Network	11,377
15	Non-network	206
16		·

(\$/GWh)	ICPs (\$/ICP)	demand (\$/MW)	(\$/km)	transformers (\$/MVA)
11,753	172	51,129	6,114	20,962
5,020	73	21,839	2,611	8,954
6,733	98	29,290	3,502	12,008
11,583	169	50,389	6,025	20,659
11,377	166	49,491	5,918	20,290
206	3	898	107	368

Expenditure per

MW maximum

1(ii): Revenue metrics

To

	Revenue per GWh energy delivered to ICPs (\$/GWh)	Revenue per average no. of ICPs (\$/ICP)
otal consumer line charge revenue	66,477	97
Standard consumer line charge revenue	65,302	95
Non-standard consumer line charge revenue	1,175	1

1(iii): Service intensity measures

Demand density
Volume density
Connection point density
Energy intensity

120	Maximum coincident system demand per km circuit length (for supply) (kW/km)
520	Total energy delivered to ICPs per km circuit length (for supply) (MWh/km)
36	Average number of ICPs per km circuit length (for supply) (ICPs/km)
14,609	Total energy delivered to ICPs per Average number of ICPs (kWh/ICP)

1(iv): Composition of regulatory income

Operational expenditure
Pass-through and recoverable costs
Total depreciation
Total revaluation
Regulatory tax allowance
Regulatory profit/loss
Total regulatory income

(\$000)	% of revenue
28,298	17.61%
60,090	37.38%
26,060	16.21%
4,742	2.95%
12,325	7.67%
38,703	24.08%
160,734	

1(v): Reliability

Interruption rate	

Interruptions per 100 circuit km
7.06

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended **SCHEDULE 2: REPORT ON RETURN ON INVESTMENT** This schedule requires information on the Return on Investment (ROI) for the EDB relative to the Commerce Commission's estimates of post tax WACC and vanilla WACC. EDBs must calculate their ROI based on a monthly basis if required by clause 2.3.3 of the ID Determination or if they elect to. If an EDB makes this election, information supporting this calculation must be provided EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their ROI in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref 2(i): Return on Investment Current Year CY CY-2 CY-1 31 Mar 11 31 Mar 12 31 Mar 13 Post tax WACC % % 10 ROI—comparable to a post tax WACC 6.01% 11 12 Mid-point estimate of post tax WACC 6.40% 5.85% 13 25th percentile estimate 75th percentile estimate 14 15 16 17 Vanilla WACC 6.79% 18 ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC 19 20 Mid-point estimate of vanilla WACC 7 22% 6.62% 21 25th percentile estimate 6.519 5.919 22 75th percentile estimate 23 2(ii): Information Supporting the ROI (\$000) 24 25 555,210 Total opening RAB value 26 27 Opening deferred tax (14,644 540,566 28 Opening RIV 29 30 Operating surplus / (deficit) 72,346 Regulatory tax allowance 31 12,325 less 32 less Assets commissioned 22,099 33 plus Asset disposals 34 Notional net cash flows 37,923 35 36 Total closing RAB value 555,990 37 Adjustment resulting from asset allocation less 38 Lost and found assets adjustment less 39 Closing deferred tax plus (17.901

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47 48 Closing RIV

Leverage (%)

ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC

Cost of debt assumption (%)

ROI—comparable to a post tax WACC

Corporate tax rate (%)

538 088

0.0679

44%

6.31%

0.0601

Company Name

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

For Year Ended

SCHEDULE 2: REPORT ON RETURN ON INVESTMENT

their in 2(i EDBs	This schedule requires information on the Return on Investment (ROI) for the EDB relative to the Commerce Commission's estimates of post tax WACC and vanilla WACC. EDBs must calculate their ROI based on a monthly basis if required by clause 2.3.3 of the ID Determination or if they elect to. If an EDB makes this election, information supporting this calculation must be provided in 2(iii). EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their ROI in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes). This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.								
sch ref									
56 57	2(iii): Information Supporting the Monthly Ro	DI							
58	Cash flows			(¢n	00)				
38	Cash nows	Total regulatory		(50	Assets		Notional net cash		
59		income	Expenses	Tax payments	commissioned	Asset disposals	flows		
60	April						-		
61	May						-		
62	June						-		
63	July						-		
64	August						-		
65	September						-		
66	October						-		
67	November						-		
68	December						-		
69	January						-		
70	February						-		
71	March						-		
72	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-		
73		Opening / closing RAB	Adjustment resulting from asset allocation	Lost and found assets adjustment	Opening / closing deferred tax	Revenue related working capital	Total		
75	Monthly ROI - opening RIV	555,210			(14,644)		540,566		
76 77	Mankhi, DOL alasing DIV	FFF 000	0	1	(17.004)		F20 000		
78	Monthly ROI -closing RIV Monthly ROI -closing RIV less term credit spread diff	555,990	0	-	(17,901)		538,088 538,088		
78 79	Monthly ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC	referitial allowance					(0.00)		
	Monthly ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC						(0.00)		
80 81	Monthly ROI—comparable to a post-tax WACC						(0.01)		
82	Monthly Not—comparable to a post-tax wacc						(0.01)		
83	2(iv): Year-End ROI Rates for Comparison Pur	poses							
84 85	Year-end ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC						0.07		
86 87 88	Year-end ROI—comparable to a post-tax WACC						0.06		

* these year-end ROI values are comparable to the ROI reported in pre 2012 disclosures by EDBs and do not represent the Commission's current view on ROI.

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON REGULATORY PROFIT

This schedule requires information on the calculation of regulatory profit for the EDB for the disclosure year. All EDBs must complete 3(i), 3(iv) and 3(v) and must provide explanatory comment on their regulatory profit in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Non-exempt EDBs must also complete sections 3(ii) and 3(iii).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

sch i	ref	
7	3(i): Regulatory Profit	(\$000)
8	Income	
9	Line charge revenue	160,056
10	plus Gains / (losses) on asset disposals	
11	plus Other regulated income (other than gains / (losses) on asset disposals)	678
12		
13	Total regulatory income	160,734
14	Expenses	
15	less Operational expenditure	28,298
17	less Pass-through and recoverable costs	60,090
18		
19	Operating surplus / (deficit)	72,346
20		
21	less Total depreciation	26,060
22		
23	plus Total revaluation	4,742
24		
25	Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax & term credit spread differential allowance	51,028
26		
27 28	less Term credit spread differential allowance	
29	Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax	51,028
30	Regulatory profits (1000) belove tax	31,620
31	less Regulatory tax allowance	12,325
32	in the second of	12,525
33	Regulatory profit / (loss)	38,703
34		
35	3(ii): Pass-Through and Recoverable Costs	(\$000)
36	Pass-through costs	
37	Rates	2,100
38	Commerce Act levies	142
	Electricity Authority levies	378
40	Other specified pass-through costs	-
41	Recoverable costs	
42	Net recoverable costs allowed under incremental rolling incentive scheme	
43	Non-exempt EDB electricity lines service charge payable to Transpower	55,998
44	Transpower new investment contract charges	1,288
45	System operator services	105
46 47	Avoided transmission charge	185
47	Input Methodology claw-back Recoverable customised price-quality path costs	
49	Pass-through and recoverable costs	60,090
45	. and through and recordingle costs	50,050

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON REGULATORY PROFIT

This schedule requires information on the calculation of regulatory profit for the EDB for the disclosure year. All EDBs must complete 3(i), 3(iv) and 3(v) and must provide explanatory comment on their regulatory profit in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Non-exempt EDBs must also complete sections 3(ii) and 3(iii).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

sch re	th ref		
57	3(iii): Incremental Rolling Incentive Scheme	(\$000)
58	88	CY-1	CY
59	19	31 March 2012	31 March 2013
60	Allowed controllable opex		
61	Actual controllable opex		
62	52		
63	9 ,		
64	64		
			Previous years'
		Previous years' incremental	incremental change adjusted
65	55	change	for inflation
66			
67			
68	78 CY-3 31 Mar 10		
69	79 CY-2 31 Mar 11		
70	70 CY-1 31 Mar 12		
71	Net incremental rolling incentive scheme		-
72	72		
73	Net recoverable costs allowed under incremental rolling incentive scheme		-
74	3(iv): Merger and Acquisition Expenditure		
75	75 Merger and acquisition expenses		
76	76		
77	Provide commentary on the benefits of merger and acquisition expenditure to the electricity in accordance with section 2.7, in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes)	y distribution business, including required disclosures	5
78	3(v): Other Disclosures		
79	79 Self-insurance allowance		

	Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited For Year Ended 31 March 2013								
This:	For Year Ended 31 March 2013 CHEDULE 4: REPORT ON VALUE OF THE REGULATORY ASSET BASE (ROLLED FORWARD) is schedule requires information on the calculation of the Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) value to the end of this disclosure year. This informs the ROI calculation in Schedule 2. Bs must provide explanatory comment on the value of their RAB in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes). This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report require section 2.8.								
sch rej	f								
7 8	4(i): Regulatory Asset Base Value (Rolled Forward)	RAB CY-4	RAB CY-3	RAB CY-2	RAB CY-1	RAB CY			
9 10 11	Total opening RAB value	(\$000)	(\$000) 528,459	(\$000) 550,586	(\$000) 558,495	(\$000) 555,210			
12	less Total depreciation	-	23,245	27,391	28,041	26,060			
14 15	plus Total revaluations	-	10,810	13,311	8,769	4,742			
16 17	plus Assets commissioned	-	34,579	21,185	15,692	22,099			
18 19	less Asset disposals	-	17	-	-	1			
20 21	plus Lost and found assets adjustment	-	-	804	295	-			
22 23	plus Adjustment resulting from asset allocation	-	-	-	-	0			
24 25	Total closing RAB value	528,459	550,586	558,495	555,210	555,990			
26 27 28	4(ii): Unallocated Regulatory Asset Base		Unallocated (\$000)	RAB * (\$000)	RAB (\$000)	(\$000)			
29 30	Total opening RAB value less			555,210		555,210			
31 32	Total depreciation plus			26,060		26,060			
33 34	Total revaluations plus	_		4,742		4,742			
35 36 37 38	Assets commissioned (other than below) Assets acquired from a regulated supplier Assets acquired from a related party Assets commissioned	-	22,099	22,099	22,099	22,099			
39 40	less Asset disposals (other than below)	Г	1	22,033	1	22,033			
41 42	Asset disposals to a regulated supplier Asset disposals to a related party		-		-				
43 44	Asset disposals		L	1	L	1			
45 46 47	plus Lost and found assets adjustment plus Adjustment resulting from asset allocation		L			0			
47 48 49	Total closing RAB value			555,990		555,990			
50	* The 'unallocated RAB' is the total value of those assets used wholly or partially to provide electricity distribution services without any allowance being made for assets after applying this cost allocation. Neither value includes works under construction.	the allocation of costs	s to non-regulated serv		e represents the value				

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 4: REPORT ON VALUE OF THE REGULATORY ASSET BASE (ROLLED FORWARD) This schedule requires information on the calculation of the Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) value to the end of this disclosure year. This informs the ROI calculation in Schedule 2. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the value of their RAB in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes). This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report require by section 2.8. 4(iii): Calculation of Revaluation Rate and Revaluation of Assets 59 1,174 60 CPI₄-4 61 1,164 62 Revaluation rate (%) 0.86% 63 64 Unallocated RAB * 65 (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) 66 Total opening RAB value 555,210 555,210 67 less Opening RAB value of fully depreciated, disposed and lost assets 3,282 3,282 68 551,928 69 Total opening RAB value subject to revaluation 70 Total revaluations 4,742 4,742 4(iv): Roll Forward of Works Under Construction Unallocated works under Allocated works under construction construction Works under construction—preceding disclosure year 21,018 21,018 plus Capital expenditure 23,397 Assets commissioned 22,099 22,099 plus Adjustment resulting from asset allocation 78 22,316 22,316 Works under construction - current disclosure year 79 6.56% Highest rate of capitalised finance applied

								Company Name	Wellington	n Electricity Line	es Limited
								For Year Ended		31 March 2013	
SCI	HEDULE 4: REPORT ON VALUE OF THE REG	ILILATORY A	SSET BASE (I	ROLLED FOR	WARD)						
	schedule requires information on the calculation of the Regulatory					ralculation in Schedu	ıle 2				
	s must provide explanatory comment on the value of their RAB in Sc							tion 1.4 of the ID de	etermination), and so	is subject to the as	surance report require
by se	ection 2.8.										
h ref	f										
88	4(v): Regulatory Depreciation										
89								Unallocat	ed RAB *	RA	ιB
90								(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
91	Depreciation - standard							21,365		21,365	
92	Depreciation - no standard life assets							4,695		4,695	
93 94	Depreciation - modified life assets Depreciation - alternative depreciation in accordan	co with CDD							•		
95	Total depreciation	ce with CFF					l.		26,060		26,060
96	Total depresions							'	20,000	L	20,000
97	4(vi): Disclosure of Changes to Depreciation I	Profiles						(\$000 ເ	ınless otherwise spe	ecified)	
										Closing RAB value	
									Depreciation charge for the	under 'non- standard'	Closing RAB value under 'standard'
98	Asset or assets with changes to depreciation*					Reason for non-	standard depreciat	ion (text entry)	period (RAB)	depreciation	depreciation
99											
100											
101											
102											
103											
104											
105 106											
100	* include additional rows if needed								1	1	
	,,										
107	4(vii): Disclosure by Asset Category										
100						(\$000 unless oth	iifi-d\				
108						(5000 unless oth	Distribution				
		Subtransmission			Distribution and	Distribution and	substations and	Distribution	Other network	Non-network	
109		lines	cables	Zone substations	LV lines	LV cables	transformers	switchgear	assets	assets	Total
110	Total opening RAB value	2,251	53,762	37,685	107,924	224,204	69,874	35,220	7,900	16,389	555,210
111	less Total depreciation	136	3,159	1,760	2,865	8,344	2,516	1,872	342	5,065	26,060
112 113	plus Total revaluations plus Assets commissioned	19	462 67	323 3,873	927 7,198	1,925 4.459	4,793	302 605	68 762	115 343	4,742 22,099
114	plus Assets commissioned less Asset disposals		67	3,073	7,198	4,439	4,793	005	702	543 1	22,099
115	plus Lost and found assets adjustment	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	-	-
116	plus Adjustment resulting from asset allocation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117	plus Asset category transfers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118	Total closing RAB value	2,134	51,131	40,122	113,184	222,244	72,751	34,255	8,388	11,781	555,990
119											
120	Asset Life					-					
121	Weighted average remaining asset life	17	17	21	38	27	28	19	23	3	(years)
122	Weighted average expected total asset life	49	59	44	53	57	54	41	37	5	(years)

For Year Ended

Company Name | Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 5a: REPORT ON REGULATORY TAX ALLOWANCE

This schedule requires information on the calculation of the regulatory tax allowance. This information is used to calculate regulatory profit/loss in Schedule 3 (regulatory profit). EDBs must provide explanatory commentary on the information disclosed in this schedule, in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

h ref				
7	5a(i): Re	egulatory Tax Allowance		(\$000)
8	ı	Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax		51,028
9 10	plus	Income not included in regulatory profit / /locs) before the but thoughts	*	
11	pius	Income not included in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but taxable Expenditure or loss in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but not deductible	168 *	
12		Amortisation of initial differences in asset values	6,457	
13		Amortisation of revaluations	1,375	
14			, , ,	7,999
15				
16	less	Income included in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but not taxable	*	
17		Discretionary discounts and consumer rebates		
18		Expenditure or loss deductible but not in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax**	*	
19		Notional deductible interest	15,008	
20				15,008
21		and the standard stan	_	44.040
22 23	,	Regulatory taxable income	L	44,019
24	less	Utilised tax losses		
25	1033	Regulatory net taxable income		44,019
26			_	,
27		Corporate tax rate (%)	28%	
28		Regulatory tax allowance		12,325
29			_	
30	* Workii	ngs to be provided in Schedule 14		
31	** Exclud	ing discretionary discounts and consumer rebates		
32	5a(ii): D	isclosure of Permanent Differences		
33		In Schedule 14, Box 5, provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the asterisked categories in Sche	dule 5a(i).	
34 35	5a(iii): <i>I</i>	Amortisation of Initial Difference in Asset Values		(\$000)
36		Opening unamortised initial differences in asset values	137,562	
37		Amortisation of initial differences in asset values	6,457	
38		Adjustment for unamortised initial differences in assets acquired	5,737	
39		Adjustment for unamortised initial differences in assets disposed	_	
40		Closing unamortised initial differences in asset values		131,105
41			_	
		Opening weighted average remaining asset life (years)		21
42			_	
43	5a(iv): A	Amortisation of Revaluations		(\$000)
43 44	5a(iv): <i>I</i>		F22 740	(\$000)
43 44 45	5a(iv): <i>A</i>	Amortisation of Revaluations Opening Sum of RAB values without revaluations	523,719	(\$000)
43 44 45 46	5a(iv): <i>I</i>	Opening Sum of RAB values without revaluations		(\$000)
43 44 45	5a(iv): A		523,719 24,685 26,060	(\$000)

For Year Ended

Company Name | Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 5a: REPORT ON REGULATORY TAX ALLOWANCE

This schedule requires information on the calculation of the regulatory tax allowance. This information is used to calculate regulatory profit/loss in Schedule 3 (regulatory profit). EDBs must provide explanatory commentary on the information disclosed in this schedule, in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Inis	Information I	s part or audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 or the ID determination), and so is subject to the a	assurance report requ	ired by section 2.8.
sch re	f			
57	5a(v):	Reconciliation of Tax Losses		(\$000)
58				
59		Opening tax losses	-	
60	plus	Current period tax losses	-	
61	less	Utilised tax losses	-	
62		Closing tax losses		-
63	5a(vi):	Calculation of Deferred Tax Balance		(\$000)
64				
65		Opening deferred tax	(14,644)	
66				
67	plus	Tax effect of adjusted depreciation	6,912	
68	laaa	Town off and of Andrel Annual	8,421	
69 70	less	Tax effect of total tax depreciation	8,421	
71	plus	Tax effect of other temporary differences*	60	
72	pius	To a create of other temporary americanes		
73	less	Tax effect of amortisation of initial differences in asset values	1,808	
74 75	plus	Deferred tax balance relating to assets acquired in the disclosure year		
76	pius	Deferred tax balance relating to assets acquired in the disclosure year		
77	less	Deferred tax balance relating to assets disposed in the disclosure year		
78				
79	plus	Deferred tax cost allocation adjustment	-	
80				
81		Closing deferred tax		(17,901)
82				
83	5a(vii)	: Disclosure of Temporary Differences		
		In Schedule 14, Box 6, provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the asterisked category in Schedu	le 5a(vi) (Tax effect o	f other temporary
84		differences).		
85				
86	5a(viii)	: Regulatory Tax Asset Base Roll-Forward		
87				(\$000)
88		Opening sum of regulatory tax asset values	353,718	
89	less	Tax depreciation	30,075	
90	plus	Regulatory tax asset value of assets commissioned	22,243	
91	less	Regulatory tax asset value of asset disposals	<u> </u>	
92	plus	Lost and found assets adjustment	-	
93	plus	Other adjustments to the RAB tax value		245 997
94		Closing sum of regulatory tax asset values		345,887

		Сотра	ıny Name V	Vellington Electricity	Lines Limited
		For Ye	ear Ended	31 March 20	013
sc	CHEDULE 5b: REPORT ON RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	;	<u>, </u>		
	s schedule provides information on the valuation of related party transactions, in accordance				
This	s information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID dete	mination), and so is subject to the assura	nce report required by sec	tion 2.8.	
h re	ef				
	9				
7	5b(i): Summary—Related Party Transactions		(\$000)		
8	Total regulatory income				
9	Operational expenditure		1	3,249	
0	Capital expenditure Market value of asset disposals				
2	Other related party transactions				
-			<u> </u>		
3	5b(ii): Entities Involved in Related Party Transactions				
4	Name of related party		R	elated party relationship	
5	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch (IISC)	Same ul		neung Kong Infrastructure H	loldings Limited
6	CHED Services Pty Limited	Same ul	timate controlling party Cl	neung Kong Infrastructure H	loldings Limited
!7	Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited		e controlling party		
18	Power Assets Investments Limited	Ultimate	e controlling party		
19 20	* include additional rows if needed				
	metade additional rows ij needed				
1	5b(iii): Related Party Transactions				
1					
2				Value of transaction	
2	Name of related party	Related party transaction type D	escription of transaction	Value of transaction (\$000)	Basis for determining value
2	Name of related party	Related party transaction type D	escription of transaction		
.2	Name of related party	Related party transaction type	escription of transaction		Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause
				(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors
	Name of related party International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch		lescription of transaction	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause
				(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution
				(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure
13		Opex Back off	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6[3](f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause
13	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off		(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid
13	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution
13	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid
23	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off Opex System	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution
23	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off Opex System	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid
23	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off Opex System	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(P) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid
23	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off Opex System	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex Back off Opex System Opex Advertis	ice and IT support services operations sing service	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch	Opex Back off Opex System Opex Advertis	ice and IT support services	(\$000)	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex Back off Opex System Opex Advertis	ice and IT support services operations sing service	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex Back off Opex System Opex Advertis	ice and IT support services operations sing service	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification
222 223 223 224 224 225	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex Back off Opex System Opex Advertis	ice and IT support services operations sing service	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Rasis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar	operations sing service	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) pricetors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar	operations sing service e license	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Rasis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar Professi	operations sing service e license	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar Professi	operations sing service e license	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(1) [c] Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(c) Price price Determination Disclosure Determin
224	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar Professi	operations sing service e license	(\$000) : 11,757 996	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification
223	International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch International Infrastructure Services Company Limited - NZ Branch CHED Services Pty Limited Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited	Opex System Opex Advertis Opex Softwar Opex Softwar Opex softwar Opex expense	operations sing service e license	(\$000) 11,757 996 21 51	Basis for determining value Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1) (c) Price paid Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012, clause 2.3.6(1)(f) Directors Certification Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure

Company Name Wellington Electricity Distribution Network Limited 31 December 2012 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 5c: REPORT ON TERM CREDIT SPREAD DIFFERENTIAL ALLOWANCE This schedule is only to be completed if, as at the date of the most recently published financial statements, the weighted average original tenor of the debt portfolio (both qualifying debt and non-qualifying debt) is greater than five years. This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref 5c(i): Qualifying Debt (may be Commission only) 17 18 5c(ii): Attribution of Term Credit Spread Differential 19 20 Gross term credit spread differential 21 22 729,500 Total book value of interest bearing debt 23 44% 24 555,600 Average opening and closing RAB values 25 Attribution Rate (%) 34% 26 27 Term credit spread differential allowance

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name For Year Ended 31 March 2013 SCHEDULE 5d: REPORT ON COST ALLOCATIONS This schedule provides information on the allocation of operational costs. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes), including on the impact of any reclassifications. This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref 5d(i): Operating Cost Allocations Value allocated (\$000s) Electricity Non-electricity Arm's length distribution distribution OVABAA allocation services increase (\$000s) deduction services Total Service interruptions and emergencies 10 11 Directly attributable 3.093 12 Not directly attributable 13 Total attributable to regulated service 3,093 14 Vegetation management 15 Directly attributable 16 Not directly attributable 17 Total attributable to regulated service 18 Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection 19 Directly attributable 8.380 20 Not directly attributable 21 8,380 Total attributable to regulated service 22 Asset replacement and renewal 23 Directly attributable 614 24 Not directly attributable 25 Total attributable to regulated service 614 26 System operations and network support 27 3,617 Directly attributable 28 Not directly attributable 29 Total attributable to regulated service 3,617 30 **Business support** 31 12,594 Directly attributable 32 Not directly attributable 33 Total attributable to regulated service 12,594 34 35 Operating costs directly attributable 28,298 36 Operating costs not directly attributable

37

Operating expenditure

28,298

		Company Nam	me Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
		For Year Ende	ed 31 March 2013
SCI	HEDULE 5d: REPORT ON COST ALLOCA	TIONS	
This	schedule provides information on the allocation of operational	costs. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory d in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.	y Notes), including on the impact of any reclassifications.
h rej	f		
45	5d(ii): Other Cost Allocations		
46	Pass through and recoverable costs		
47	Pass through costs		<u></u>
48	Directly attributable	2,63	519
49	Not directly attributable		
50	Total attributable to regulated service	2,63	519
51	Recoverable costs		
52	Directly attributable	57,43	170
53	Not directly attributable		
54 55	Total attributable to regulated service	57,4	170
56	5d(iii): Changes in Cost Allocations* †		(\$000)
57	(,		CY-1 Current Year (CY)
58	Change in cost allocation 1		31 Mar 12 31 Mar 13
59	Cost category	Original allocation	
60	Original allocator or line items	New allocation	
61	New allocator or line items	Difference	
62			
63	Rationale for change		
64			
65			CY-1 Current Year (CY)
66	Change in cost allocation 2		31 Mar 12 31 Mar 13
67	Cost category	Original allocation	on
68	Original allocator or line items	New allocation	
69	New allocator or line items	Difference	-
70 71	Rationale for change		
72	Nationale for change		
73			CY-1 Current Year (CY)
74	Change in cost allocation 3		31 Mar 12 31 Mar 13
75	Cost category	Original allocation	
76	Original allocator or line items	New allocation	
77	New allocator or line items	Difference	
78			
79	Rationale for change		
80			
81			
82		st allocator change that has occurred in the disclosure year. A movement in an allocator metric is not a change i	in allocator or component.
	† include additional rows if needed		

			Company Name For Year Ended	Wellingt	on Electricity Lines Limit 31 March 2013
	HEDULE 5e: REPORT ON ASSET ALLOCA schedule requires information on the allocation of asset value.		alue in Schedule 4.		
DBs	must provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation in mation (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and	Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes), including of	on the impact of any changes in asset allocation	ns. This informa	tion is part of audited disclosure
ref					
7	5e(i):Regulated Service Asset Values				
	Selfymegalated Selffice Asset Values		Value allocated		
8			(\$000s)		
9			Electricity distribution services		
)	Subtransmission lines				
!	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		2,134		
	Total attributable to regulated service		2,134		
ı	Subtransmission cables				
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		51,131		
	Total attributable to regulated service		51,131		
	Zone substations				
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		40,122		
	Total attributable to regulated service		40,122		
1	Distribution and LV lines				
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		113,184		
:	Total attributable to regulated service		113,184		
,	Distribution and LV cables		995 711		
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		222,244		
,	Total attributable to regulated service		222,244		
1	Distribution substations and transformers		72.754		
l	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		72,751		
	Total attributable to regulated service		72,751		
	Distribution switchgear		24.255		
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		34,255		
	Total attributable to regulated service		34,255		
1	Other network assets Directly attributable		8,388		
1	Not directly attributable		6,300		
l	Total attributable to regulated service		8,388		
:	Non-network assets Directly attributable		11,781		
,	Not directly attributable		11,781		
5	Total attributable to regulated service		11,781		
7	Regulated service asset value directly attributable		555,990		
8	Regulated service asset value not directly attribute	able	-		
1	Total closing RAB value		555,990		
,	5e(ii): Changes in Asset Allocations* †			(:	\$000)
3				CY-1	Current Year (CY)
	Change in acceptuality illustration of			31 Mar 12	31 Mar 13
	Change in asset value allocation 1 Asset category		Original allocation		
	Original allocator or line items		New allocation		
l	New allocator or line items		Difference		-
	Rationale for change				
l				CY-1	Current Year (CY)
	Change in asset value allocation 2			31 Mar 12	31 Mar 13
l	Asset category		Original allocation		
	Original allocator or line items New allocator or line items		New allocation Difference		
l					
l	Rationale for change				
l	Change in acceptuality all acceptual			CY-1 31 Mar 12	Current Year (CY) 31 Mar 13
l	Change in asset value allocation 3 Asset category		Original allocation	JI IVIGI 1Z	31 mdi 13
l	Original allocator or line items		New allocation		
l	New allocator or line items		Difference		-
l	Rationale for change				
ĺ					
	* a change in asset allocation must be completed for each	allocator or component change that has occurred in the d	lisclosure year. A movement in an allocator m	etric is not a cha	nge in allocator or component.

Company Name **Wellington Electricity Lines Limited** 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 5h: REPORT ON TRANSITIONAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION This schedule requires information on:
• the calculation of the initial RAB value for the EDB, as of 31 March 2009;
• how the initial RAB value has been rolled forward to 31 March 2011; · a summary of revaluations, • the value of works under construction, and • regulatory tax. EDBs must complete this schedule in relation to the year ending 31 March 2012, and at that time must provide explanatory comment in Schedule 14b (Explanatory Notes on Transitional Financial Information) on the tax effect of temporary differences disclosed in part 5h(vii) of this schedule.

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. **Regulatory Asset Base Value** Unallocated Initial RAB 5h(i): Establishment of Initial Regulatory Asset Base Value (\$000) (\$000) 10 2009 disclosed assets - 'Total Regulatory Asset Base Value (Excluding FDC)' as of 31 March 2009 11 12 2009 modified asset values (adjusted for results of asset adjustment process) Adjustment to reinstate 2009 modified asset values to unallocated amounts 13 14 15 Unallocated 2009 modified asset values 17 (to the extent included in row 13) 18 Assets not used to supply electricity distribution services 19 20 Non-qualifying intangible assets 21 Works under construction 22 Unallocated asset values excluded from unallocated 2009 modified asset values 23 24 FDC allowance of 2.45% (Network assets) 12,506 25 Unallocated initial RAB values 26 27 28 5h(ii): Roll forward of Unallocated Regulatory Asset Base Value - 2010, 2011 and 2012 29 2011 (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) 31 Total opening RAB value 528,459 550,586 558,495 32 less 33 Total depreciation 23,245 27,391 28,041 35 Total revaluations 13,311 36 plus 37 Assets commissioned (other than below) 20,06 20,131 15,692 38 Assets acquired from a regulated supplie 39 Assets acquired from a related party 15,692 40 Assets commissioned 34,579 21,185 41 42 Asset disposals (other than below) 43 Assets disposed of to a regulated supplier 44 Assets disposed of to a related party Asset disposals 46 47 plus Lost and found assets adjustment 804 295 49 Total closing RAB value 550,586 558,495 555,210 50 (\$000 unless otherwise specified) 5h(iii): Calculation of Revaluation Rate and Indexed Revaluation 59 CPI at CPI reference date—preceding disclosure year 60 61 CPI at CPI reference date—current disclosure year 63 Revaluation rate (%) 2.05% 2.42% 1.57% 64 66 Total opening RAB value 528,459 558,495 67 Opening RAB value of fully depreciated, disposed and lost assets 68 69 Total opening RAB value subject to revaluation 70 Total revaluations 13,311 8,768 71 5h(iv): Works Under Construction 72 Unallocated works under Allocated works under construction construction 74 Works under construction—year ended 2009 20,391 20,391 75 plus Capital expenditure—year ended 2010 28,863 28,863 Assets commissioned—year ended 2010 less 77 Adjustment resulting from asset allocation—year ended 2010 78 Works under construction—year ended 2010 14.67 14.675 79 plus Capital expenditure—year ended 2011 23,901 23,901 Assets commissioned—year ended 2011 80 21,185 21,18 less 21 Adjustment resulting from asset allocation—year ended 2011 17,392 82 Works under construction—year ended 2011 17,392 83 plus Capital expenditure—year ended 2012 19,318 19,318 84 Assets commissioned—year ended 2012 less 15,692 85 plus Adjustment resulting from asset allocation—year ended 2012 Works under construction—year ended 2012 86 21,018 21,018

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 5h: REPORT ON TRANSITIONAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

This schedule requires information on:

• the calculation of the initial RAB value for the EDB, as of 31 March 2009;
• how the initial RAB value has been rolled forward to 31 March 2011;
• a summary of revaluations,
• the value of works under construction, and
• regulatory tax.

EDBs must complete this schedule in relation to the year ending 31 March 2012, and at that time must provide explanatory comment in Schedule 14b (Explanatory Notes on Transitional Financial Information) on the tax effect of temporary differences disclosed in part 5h(vii) of this schedule.

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

ook rof				
sch ref 88			(\$000)	
89	5h(v): Initial Difference in Asset Values and Amortisation	2010	(5000)	
90	Sum of initial RAB values	528,459		
91	Sum of regulatory tax asset values	369,030		
92	Sum of initial differences in asset values	159,429		
93				
94		2010	2011	2012
95	Opening unamortised initial differences in asset values	159,429	152,416	144,834
96	less Amortisation of initial difference in asset values	7,012	7,583	7,272
97	Adjustment for unamortised initial differences in assets acquired		-	
98	Adjustment for unamortised initial differences in assets disposed	-	-	_
99	Closing unamortised initial differences in asset values	152,416	144,834	137,562
100				
101	Opening weighted average remaining asset life (years)	23	20	20
109	5h(vi): Reconciliation of Tax Losses (EDB Business)	2010	2011	2012
			2011	
110 111	Opening tax losses		-	=
	plus Current period tax losses		-	
112	less Utilised tax losses		-	
113	Closing tax losses	-1	-	-
114	Fidelia Calculation of Defended Too Delene	2010	2011	2042
115	5h(vii): Calculation of Deferred Tax Balance	2010		2012
116	Opening deferred tax		(5,544)	(10,955)
117				
118 119	plus Tax effect of adjusted depreciation	6,974	8,079	7,574
120	plus Tax effect of total tax depreciation	(10,347)	(11,289)	(9,222)
121	pros las effect of total tax depredation	(10,347)	(11,209)	(9,222)
122	plus Tax effect of other temporary differences *	(67)	74	(6)
123	pus Tax effect of other temporary uniferences	(07)	74	(0)
124	less Tax effect of amortisation of initial differences in asset values	2,104	2,275	2,036
125			-,	-,
126	plus Deferred tax balance relating to assets acquired in the disclosure year	-	-	_
127	,			
128	plus Deferred tax cost allocation adjustment	-	-	-
129				
130	Closing deferred tax	(5,544)	(10,955)	(14,644)
131	5h(viii): Disclosure of Temporary Differences			
	In Schedule 14, provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the asterisked category in Schedule 5h(vii)			
422	(Tax effect of other temporary differences).		(6000)	
132			(\$000)	
133	5h(ix): Regulatory Tax Asset Base Roll-Forward	2010	2011	2012
134	Sum of unallocated initial RAB values	528,459		
135	Sum of adjusted tax values	369,030		
136	Sum of tax asset values	369,030		
137	Result of asset allocation ratio	1		
138	Opening Sum of regulatory tax asset values	369,030	371,060	369,277
139	less Regulatory tax depreciation	34,488	37,629	32,936
140	plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets commissioned	36,535	35,846	17,378
141	less Regulatory tax asset value of asset disposals	17		
142	plus Lost and found assets adjustment	-		
143	plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value	-	-	-
144	Closing sum of regulatory tax asset values	371,060	369,277	353,718

	Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
	For Year Ended 31 March 2013
	HEDULE 5i: REPORT ON INITIAL RAB ADJUSTMENT
	er clause 2.2.1 of the IM determination an EDB may undertake an asset adjustment process in setting their initial RAB. EDB has adjusted its RAB in accordance with clause 2.2.1 of the IM determination, it must complete this schedule when disclosing information relating to the year ending 31 March 2012.
11 (11	responds adjusted its two in accordance with clause 2.2.1 of the five determination, it must complete this schedule when discussing information relating to the year ending 31 march 2012.
sch re	
7	Summary of Engineer's Valuation Adjustments (at time asset enters regulatory asset register)
8	2004 * 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009
9	Asset adjustment process - adjustments (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000) (\$000)
10	
11	Include load control relays
12	Correct asset register errors for 2004 ODV assets
13	Missing substation assets 373
14	Missing termination Structures 708
15	Depreciation correction 743
16	1,824
17	Correct asset register errors for 2005 – 2009 assets
18	Intellectual property 4,915
19	Transfer of assets in service from work in progress
20	[Insert details of asset or similar asset type]
21	
22	Re-apply an existing multiplier to 2004 ODV assets
23	Error in Mana 6 CBD Classification 31 Rugged Multiplier Update 138
24 25	[Insert details of asset or similar asset type]
26	169
27	Re-apply a modified multiplier to 2004 ODV assets
28	Wellington City CBD multiplier to 2.5
29	Dense urban subtransmission CBD multiplier @ 2.2 4,551
	Dense urban distribution CBD multiplier @ 1.8 26,795 Wellington City The Terrace multiplier 550
	Wellington City The Terrace multiplier 550 Frederick & Moore Street CBD multiplier 2,720
30	Nairn and University CBD multiplier 1,221
31	35,920
32	Re-apply optimisation or EV tests to 2004 ODV assets
33	Zone substations optimisation 178
34	33kV Optimisation 1,247
35 36	11kV Optimisation 128 1,553 1,553
37	1,555
38	Total value of adjustments by disclosure year 39,466 20,149
39	* Includes assets which first entered the regulatory asset register in a disclosure year prior to 2004.

Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
For Year Ended 31 March 2013 For Year Ended

SCHEDULE 6a: REPORT ON CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FOR THE DISCLOSURE YEAR

This schedule requires a breakdown of capital expenditure on assets incurred in the disclosure year, including any assets in respect of which capital contributions are received, but excluding assets that are vested assets. Information on expenditure on assets must be provided on an accounting accruals basis and must exclude finance costs.

EDB	BS must provide explanatory comment on their expenditure or on assets must be provided on an accounting act using some size of the provided explanatory (Notes to Templates), s information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assur	
sch re	ef	
7	6a(i): Expenditure on Assets	(\$000) (\$000)
8	Consumer connection	5,033
9	System growth	3,720
10	Asset replacement and renewal	16,853
11	Asset relocations	952
12	Reliability, safety and environment:	
13	Quality of supply	833
14	Legislative and regulatory	-
15	Other reliability, safety and environment	-
16	Total reliability, safety and environment	833
17	Expenditure on network assets	27,392
18	Non-network assets	497
19		
20	Expenditure on assets	27,889
21	plus Cost of financing	345
22	less Value of capital contributions	4,838
23	plus Value of vested assets	-
24		
25	Capital expenditure	23,397
26	6a(ii): Subcomponents of Expenditure on Assets (where known)	(\$000)
27	Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses	_
28	Overhead to underground conversion	_
29	Research and development	_
30	6a(iii): Consumer Connection	
31	Consumer types defined by EDB*	(\$000) (\$000)
32	Total Consumer Connection	5,033
33	[EDB consumer type]	
34	[EDB consumer type]	
35	[EDB consumer type]	
36	[EDB consumer type]	
37	* include additional rows if needed	
38 39	Consumer connection expenditure	5,033
40	less Capital contributions funding consumer connection expenditure	3,807
41	Consumer connection less capital contributions	1,226
		Asset
42	6a(iv): System Growth and Asset Replacement and Renewal	Replacement and
43		System Growth Renewal
44		(\$000) (\$000)
45	Subtransmission Zone substations	
46	Zone substations	1 1
47	Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables	1
48 49	Distribution and LV cables Distribution substations and transformers	1
50	Distribution substations and transformers Distribution switchgear	1
51	Other network assets	3,720 16,853
52	System growth and asset replacement and renewal expenditure	3,720 16,853
53	less Capital contributions funding system growth and asset replacement and renewal	- 143
54	System growth and asset replacement and renewal less capital contributions	3,720 16,710
55		
56	6a(v): Asset Relocations	
57	Project or programme*	(\$000) (\$000)
58	Total Asset Relocations	952
59	[Description of material project or programme]	
60	[Description of material project or programme]	
61	[Description of material project or programme]	
62	[Description of material project or programme]	
63	* include additional rows if needed	
64	All other asset relocations projects or programmes	-
65	Asset relocations expenditure	952
66	less Capital contributions funding asset relocations	888
67	Asset relocations less capital contributions	65

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 6a: REPORT ON CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FOR THE DISCLOSURE YEAR This schedule requires a breakdown of capital expenditure on assets incurred in the disclosure year, including any assets in respect of which capital contributions are received, but excluding assets that are vested assets. Information on expenditure on assets must be provided on an accounting accruals basis and must exclude finance costs. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their expenditure on assets in Schedule 14 (Explanatory Notes to Templates). This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ret 6a(vi): Quality of Supply 76 Project or programme* (\$000) (\$000) 77 Total Quality of Supply 833 78 Description of material project or programme) 79 [Description of material project or programme] 80 [Description of material project or programme] 81 [Description of material project or programme] include additional rows if needed 83 All other quality of supply projects or programmes 84 Quality of supply expenditure 833 85 Capital contributions funding quality of supply 86 Quality of supply less capital contributions 833 6a(vii): Legislative and Regulatory 87 Project or programme* (\$000) 89 [Description of material project or programme] Description of material project or programme] 91 [Description of material project or programme] 92 Description of material project or programmel [Description of material project or programme] 93 94 * include additional rows if needed 95 All other legislative and regulatory projects or programmes 96 Legislative and regulatory expenditure Capital contributions funding legislative and regulatory 98 Legislative and regulatory less capital contributions 6a(viii): Other Reliability, Safety and Environment 99 100 Project or programme* (\$000) (\$000) 101 Description of material project or programme) 102 escription of material project or programme 103 escription of material project or programme 104 [Description of material project or programme] 105 [Description of material project or progra 106 include additional rows if needed 107 All other reliability, safety and environment projects or programmes 108 Other reliability, safety and environment expenditure Capital contributions funding other reliability, safety and environment 109 less 110 Other reliability, safety and environment less capital contributions 111 6a(ix): Non-Network Assets 112 113 Routine expenditure 114 Project or programme (\$000) (\$000) 115 Total Non-Network Assets 116 [Description of material project or programme] 117 [Description of material project or programme] 118 [Description of material project or programme] 119 [Description of material p 120 * include additional rows if needed 121 All other routine expenditure projects or programmes 122 Routine expenditure 497 123 Atypical expenditure Project or programme* (\$000) 125 Description of material project or programme] 126 Description of material project or programme] 127 [Description of material project or programme] 128 Description of material project or programme] 129 [Description of material project or programme] 130 * include additional rows if needed 131 All other atypical expenditure projects or programmes Atypical expenditure 132

133 134

Non-network assets expenditure

497

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 6b: REPORT ON OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE FOR THE DISCLOSURE YEAR

This schedule requires a breakdown of operating expenditure incurred in the disclosure year.

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their operational expenditure in Schedule 14 (Explanatory notes to templates). This includes explanatory comment on any atypical operating expenditure and assets replaced or renewed as part of asset replacement and renewal operational expenditure, and additional information on insurance.

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

sch	ref		
7	6b(i): Operational Expenditure	(\$000)	(\$000)
8	Service interruptions and emergencies	3,093	
9	Vegetation management		
10	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	8,380	
11	Asset replacement and renewal	614	
12	Network opex		12,087
13	System operations and network support	3,617	
14	Business support	12,594	
15	Non-network opex		16,211
17		[28,298
18	6b(ii): Subcomponents of Operational Expenditure (where known)		
19	Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses		-
20	Direct billing*		-
21	Research and development		-
22	Insurance		1,072
23	* Direct billing expenditure by suppliers that directly bill the majority of their consumers		

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited

31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 7: COMPARISON OF FORECASTS TO ACTUAL EXPENDITURE

sch ref

This schedule compares actual revenue and expenditure to the previous forecasts that were made for the disclosure year. Accordingly, this schedule requires the forecast revenue and expenditure information from previous disclosures to be inserted.

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the variance between actual and target revenue and forecast expenditure in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes). This information is part of the audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. For the purpose of this audit, target revenue and forecast expenditures only need to be verified back to previous disclosures.

7	7(i): Revenue	Target (\$000) 1	Actual (\$000)	% variance
8	Line charge revenue	159,908	160,056	09
9	7(ii): Expenditure on Assets	Forecast (\$000) ²	Actual (\$000)	% variance
0.	Consumer connection	5,502	5,033	(9%
1	System growth	5,757	3,720	(359
2	Asset replacement and renewal	15,050	16,853	12
3	Asset relocations	789	952	21
4	Reliability, safety and environment:		•	
5	Quality of supply	653	833	28
6	Legislative and regulatory		-	
7	Other reliability, safety and environment		-	
3	Total reliability, safety and environment	653	833	28
9	Expenditure on network assets	27,751	27,392	(1
)	Non-network capex		497	
1	Expenditure on assets	27,751	27,889	0
2	7(iii): Operational Expenditure			
3	Service interruptions and emergencies	5,628	3,093	(45
1	Vegetation management		-	
5	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	5,226	8,380	60
5	Asset replacement and renewal	600	614	2
7	Network opex	11,454	12,087	6
3	System operations and network support		3,617	
9	Business support		12,594	
)	Non-network opex	-	16,211	
1	Operational expenditure	11,454	28,298	147
2	7(iv): Subcomponents of Expenditure on Assets (where known)			
3	Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses		_	
1	Overhead to underground conversion		-	
5	Research and development		-	
5	·			
7	7(v): Subcomponents of Operational Expenditure (where know	n)		
3	Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses		_	
)	Direct billing		-	
	Research and development		-	
	Insurance		1,072	
?			-,-· -	

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited For Year Ended 31 March 2013 Network / Sub-Network Name SCHEDULE 8: REPORT ON BILLED QUANTITIES AND LINE CHARGE REVENUES This schedule requires the billed quantities and associated line charge revenues for each price category code, and the energy delivered to these ICPs. 8 8(i): Billed Quantities by Price Component Charge (AICO) (CAPY) Chg (DOPC) (PWRF) Chg (24 UC) (NITE) (CTRL) Contracts (IC) Unit charging basis (eg, days, kW of demand kVA of capacity, etc.) kVA/month kVAr/mth kWh kWh for additional Average no. of ICPs in Energy delivered to ICPs Consumer group name or price Consumer type or types (eg, Standard or non-standard lled quantities by consumer group (specify) disclosure year in disclosure year (MWh) price component as necessary 189,68 22,486,75 2,366,864 75,617,553 36,246 20.839.224 823.195.56 40.809 40.808.83 Non-standard consumer totals Total for all consumers 75,617,553 1.520.481 410.938 1.501.927.75 20.901.208 864,004,398

	Company Name For Year Ended Network / Sub-Network Name EDULE 8: REPORT ON BILLED QUANTITIES AND LINE CHARGE REVENUES Hedule requires the billed quantities and associated line charge revenues for each price category code used by the EDB in its pricing schedules. Information is also required on the number of ICPs that are included in each consumer group or price category code, and the energy delivered to these ICPs. S(ii): Line Charge Revenues (\$000) by Price Component																		
38	R(ii): Line Charge Revenu	es (\$000) by Price Compo	nent																
39 40	o(ii). Ziiie eilaige nevella	es (4000) 27 · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						Line charge revenu	es by price compon	nent .		T	T			T		٦
41								Price component	Fixed Charge (FIXD)		Capacity Charge (CAPY)	On-Pk Demand Chg (DOPC)	Pwr Factor Charge (PWRF)	Uncontrolled /Var Chg (24 UC)	Night Charge (NITE)	Controlled Charge (CTRL)		Individual Contracts (IC)	
42	Consumer group name or price category code	Consumer type or types (eg, residential, commercial etc.)	Standard or non-standard consumer group (specify)	Total line charge revenue in disclosure year	Notional revenue foregone (if applicable)	Total distribution line charge revenue	Fotal transmission line charge revenue (if available)	Rate (eg, \$/day, \$/kWh, etc.)	\$/day	\$/kVA/month	\$/kVA/day	\$/kW/mth	\$/kVAr/mth	\$/kWh	\$/kWh	\$/kWh	\$/kWh	\$	Add extra columns for additional line charge revenues by price component as necessary
44	G100, G101, G102, G103, Rates	Domestic	Standard	\$97,189	-	\$97,189	-		\$8,067					\$23,024	\$354	\$975	\$64,768		- Hecessury
15	GV30, GX30	Large Commercial	Standard	\$3,549	-	\$3,549	-		\$1,236	-	-			\$2,309			\$4		-
16	GC60, GR60, GU60	Large Industrial	Standard	\$6,239	-	\$6,239	-		\$1	-	\$934	\$4,797	\$297				-		-
17	GV14, GX14	Medium Commercial	Standard	\$3,933	-	\$3,933	-		\$1,025	-	-			\$2,908	-		-		
48	GV02, GV07,GX07	Small Commercial	Standard	\$22,746	-	\$22,746	-		\$5,757		-			\$16,989			-		
49	GV99, GX99 G001, G002	Small Industrial Un-metered	Standard Standard	\$19,906 \$3,665	-	\$19,906 \$3,665	-		\$4,375 \$565	\$10,640	\$1,008			\$3,883 \$3,100	-		-		
51	Individual Contracts	Individual Contracts	Non-standard	\$2,829		\$2,829			5505					\$3,100			-	\$2,829	
52			[Select one]	32,623		72,023												72,023	
53			[Select one]	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-		-		-
54	Add extra rows for additional con	sumer groups or price category co	des as necessary										•						_
55			Standard consumer totals	\$157,227		\$157,227	-		\$21,025	\$10,640	\$1,942	\$4,797	\$297	\$52,424	\$354	\$975	\$64,772		-
56			Non-standard consumer totals	\$2,829		\$2,829	-		-	-	-			-	-		-	\$2,829	
57			Total for all consumers	\$160,056	-	\$160,056	-		\$21,025	\$10,640	\$1,942	\$4,797	\$297	\$52,424	\$354	\$975	\$64,772	\$2,829	9
58 59 60	8(iii): Number of ICPs dire		15	1		Check	ОК												
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		1															

Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
For Year Ended 31 March 2013
Network / Sub-network Name

SCHEDULE 9a: ASSET REGISTER

This schedule requires a summary of the quantity of assets that make up the network, by asset category and asset class. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

sch	ref

8	Voltage	Asset category	Asset class	Units	Items at start of year (quantity)	Items at end of year (quantity)	Net change	Data accuracy 1–4
9	All	Overhead Line	Concrete poles / steel structure	No.	25,633	26,030	397	3
10	All	Overhead Line	Wood poles	No.	10,414	10,454	40	3
11	All	Overhead Line	Other pole types	No.	-		-	N/A
12	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH up to 66kV conductor	km	58	58	-	3
13	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH 110kV+ conductor	km	_	-	-	N/A
14	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (XLPE)	km	26	26	_	3
15	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Oil pressurised)	km	51	51	-	3
16	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Gas pressurised)	km	62	62	-	3
17	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (PILC)	km	7	7	-	3
18	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (XLPE)	km	-	-	-	N/A
19	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Oil pressurised)	km	-	-	-	N/A
20	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Gas Pressurised)	km	-	-	-	N/A
21	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (PILC)	km	-	-	-	N/A
22	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission submarine cable	km	-	-	-	N/A
23	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations up to 66kV	No.	31	31	-	4
24	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations 110kV+	No.	-	-	-	N/A
25	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Indoor)	No.	-	*	-	N/A
26	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Outdoor)	No.	-	*	-	N/A
27	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Ground Mounted)	No.	-	-	-	N/A
28	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Pole Mounted)	No.	-	-	-	N/A
29	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV RMU	No.	-	-	-	N/A
30	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Indoor)	No.	-	-	-	N/A
31	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Outdoor)	No.	2	2	-	4
32	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (ground mounted)	No.	357	368	11	3
33	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted)	No.		-	-	N/A
34	HV	Zone Substation Transformer	Zone Substation Transformers	No.	54	54	-	4
35	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Open Wire Conductor	km	592	595	3	3
36	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Aerial Cable Conductor	km	3	3	-	3
37	HV	Distribution Line	SWER conductor	km	-	-	-	N/A
38	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution UG XLPE or PVC	km	98	102	0	3
39 40	HV HV	Distribution Cable Distribution Cable	Distribution UG PILC Distribution Submarine Cable	km km	1,039	1,039	U	N/A
41	HV			No.	24	24	-	N/A 4
42	HV	Distribution switchgear Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted) - reclosers and sectionalisers 3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (Indoor)	No.	1.448	1.480	32	3
43	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switches and fuses (pole mounted)	No.	2,609	2,633	24	3
44	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switch (ground mounted) - except RMU	No.	1,015	1,027	12	3
45	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV RMU	No.	1,013	1,823	50	3
46	HV	Distribution Transformer	Pole Mounted Transformer	No.	1,773	1,804	12	3
47	HV	Distribution Transformer	Ground Mounted Transformer	No.	2.411	2,452	41	3
48	HV	Distribution Transformer	Voltage regulators	No.	2,411	2,432	41	N/A
49	HV	Distribution Substations	Ground Mounted Substation Housing	No.	495	498	3	3
50	LV	LV Line	LV OH Conductor	km	1,094	1,095	1	4
51	LV	LV Cable	LV UG Cable	km	1,584	1,591	7	4
52	LV	LV Street lighting	LV OH/UG Streetlight circuit	km	1,858	1,861	3	3
53	LV	Connections	OH/UG consumer service connections	No.	164,453	165,124	671	3
54	All	Protection	Protection relays (electromechanical, solid state and numeric)	No.	1,492	1,492	-	3
55	All	SCADA and communications	SCADA and communications equipment operating as a single system	Lot	224	231	7	3
56	All	Capacitor Banks	Capacitors including controls	No	-	-	_	N/A
57	All	Load Control	Centralised plant	Lot	25	25	_	3
58	All	Load Control	Relays	No	-	-	-	N/A
59	All	Civils	Cable Tunnels	km	1	1		4

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 9b: ASSET AGE PROFILE

This schedule requires a summary of the age profile (based on year of installation) of the assets that make up the network, by asset category and asset class. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

	the first																										
sci	ref	Disclosure Year (year ended) 31 March 2013 Number of assets at disclosure year end by installation date																									
					1040	1950	1960	1970	1000	1000														81lab 8-		No. with	
	9 Volt	age Asset category	Asset class	Units	1940 pre-1940 -1949	-1950 -1959	-1969	-1970 -1979	1980 -1989	1990 -1999	2000	2001 2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	No. With Ag unknown	• Total assets at year end	default I dates	Data accuracy (1-4)
3	O All	Overhead Line	Concrete poles / steel structure	No.	75 166	1,489	5,336	3,543	1,775	3,012	494	222 3	3 502	248	1,348	1,810	2,522	1,293	499	422	384	387	110		26,030	2,383	3
3	1 All	Overhead Line		No.	25 53	620	3,849	2,325	1,829	902	30	12	1 28	29	46	182	129	87	68	110	55	51	13		10,454	772	3
3	2 All	Overhead Line	Other pole types	No.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- 1	I/A
1	3 HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH up to 66kV conductor	km	-		18	27	-	12	-	0	-		-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-		- 58	13	3
3	4 HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH 110kV+ conductor	km	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- 1	I/A
3	5 HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (XLPE)	km	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	0	- 1	-	2	0	7	-	-	10	0	0		- 26	-	3
1	6 HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Oil pressurised)	km	-	-	21	20	9	1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 51	-	3
3	7 HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Gas pressurised)	km	-	- 10	42	7	3	0	-	-	-		-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 62	-	3
3	8 HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (PILC)	km	-		1	5	0	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 7	-	3
1 1	.9 HV	Subtransmission Cable		km	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
- 2	0 HV	Subtransmission Cable		km	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
2	1 HV	Subtransmission Cable	,	km	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				I/A
	2 HV	Subtransmission Cable		km	-	1 -	-	-	-	-		-	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				I/A
	13 HV	Subtransmission Cable		km	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- 1	I/A
	4 HV	Zone substation Buildings		No.	-	- 1	15	10	2	2	-	1	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 31	-	4
	5 HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations 110kV+	No.	-	1 -	-	-	-	-		-	-	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				I/A
1 1	6 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
	!7 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
	8 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
- 11 -	!9 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
3	0 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-	1 -	-	-	-			-	1	1	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		I/A
3	1 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- N	I/A
-	2 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-	-	2	-	-		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- 2	-	4
3	3 HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (ground mounted)	No.	-	-	165	110	29	28	-	7	-		-	-	16	-	-	-	-	13	-		368	-	3
3	4 HV	Zone substation switchgear		No.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	- 1	I/A
	5 HV	Zone Substation Transformer		No.	-	- 4	28		6				1		-	-	-	-		-	-	-			- 54		4
	16 HV	Distribution Line		km	-	- 4	233	106	156	57	5	4	4 5	1	3	2	1	1	1	2	1	8	0		595	64	3
4	7 114	Distribution Line		km	-	-	1	1	1	0	-	-	-		-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-		- 3	1	3
4	18 HV	Distribution Line		km km	-			-	-		-	16	4 8		-		-	-		-	-	-	-		102	- 1	I/A
		Distribution Cable			56 22	119	276	245	156	116	11	16	4 8	3		4	8	9		3	9	/	0	, the state of the	1,039	-	3
-		Distribution Cable		km	56 22	119	2/6	245	156	116	- 11	5	3 :	9	ь	5	1	2	U	U	0	U	U		1,039		
-	1 HV 2 HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution Submarine Cable 3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted) - reclosers and sectionalisers	km No.	 	1 -	-		-			-	1 .] 	1 -	-	-	-	-	-		-			- 24	- 1	I/A
-	3 HV	Distribution switchgear		No.		33	358	379	274	151	10	21	8 17	1	-	2	19	24	16	43	68	48	-		1.480	67	4
-	3 HV 4 HV	Distribution switchgear		No.	2 4	- 178			2/4	233	55	53			41	49		37	22			48	2		2,633	81	3
-	5 HV	Distribution switchgear Distribution switchgear		No.		1/8	300	282	181	109	22	10	6 13	42	41	49	17	3/	22	39	31	17			1,027	63	3
-	6 HV	Distribution switchgear Distribution switchgear		No.		- 28	269	469	269	271	31	27	5 55	42	41	49	37	35	77	42	54	58	5		1,027	4	3
-	7 HV	Distribution Transformer		No.	2 /	129	511		82	271	72	58			_	49		34	20	30	30	29	6		1,823	64	2
	8 HV	Distribution Transformer		No.	4 21		493		232	232	/2	57				80		49	33		30	43	6		2,452	42	3
	9 HV	Distribution Transformer		No.	- 21	201	493	300	232	232	43	- "	- 30	. 01	. 6/	80	- 03	49	- 33	47	32	43			2,452		I/A
	0 HV	Distribution Substations		No.	4 13	85	130	85	88	35	8	8	3 6	5	3	2	1	3	5	1		4			498	4	3
	1 LV	LV Line		km	6 13		498		86	59	5	3	2 :	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	0		1,095	1	Α.
	i2 LV	LV Cable		km	7 20		317		205	206	25	20	4 1	25	19	20	18	19	10	9	6	9	0		1,591	-	4
	3 LV	LV Street lighting		km	2 11		512		207	241	17	11	_			12		22	8	4	6	4	0		1,861	757	3
1 7	i4 LV	Connections	OH/UG consumer service connections	No.	3 18	_		131.050	51	76	28	2	1 3		21	- 12	3	7	851	881	1.058	893	179	29.71		125.155	3
,	is All	Protection		No.	- 10					-			1 -				-	-			15	23		1.45			3
1	i6 All	SCADA and communications		Lot			_	-	67	98	2	2	2 .		3	4	6	- 1	14	11	13	5	2	2,43	231		3
1	Z All	Capacitor Banks	Capacitors including controls	No			-	-				-			<u> </u>		-	-	-			-				- N	I/A
i	is All	Load Control		Lot		- 5	9	6	3	2	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		25	-	3
-	9 All	Load Control		No			-	-				-	_		l .		-	_	-	_						- N	I/A
	O All	Civils	Cable Tunnels	km			-	-			_	_	_	1 .	l -	-	_	_	-	_	_	-			1		. 4
1	All	Civila	coole runnes						1 1										_						- 1		- 4

Company Name

For Year Ended

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 March 2013

Network / Sub-network Name

SCHEDULE 9c: REPORT ON OVERHEAD LINES AND UNDERGROUND CABLES

This schedule requires a summary of the key characteristics of the overhead line and underground cable network. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

ch ref				
9				Fotal circuit length
10	Circuit length by operating voltage (at year end)	Overhead (km)	Underground (km)	(km)
11	> 66kV		_	-
12	50kV & 66kV	_	-	-
13	33kV	58	146	204
14	SWER (all SWER voltages)	-	-	-
15	22kV (other than SWER)	-	-	-
16	6.6kV to 11kV (inclusive—other than SWER)	598	1,141	1,739
17	Low voltage (< 1kV)	1,095	1,591	2,686
18	Total circuit length (for supply)	1,751	2,878	4,629
19				
20	Dedicated street lighting circuit length (km)	90	296	386
21	Circuit in sensitive areas (conservation areas, iwi territory etc) (km)			
22			(% of total	
23	Overhead circuit length by terrain (at year end)	Circuit length (km)	•	
24	Urban	1,356	77%	
25	Rural	395	23%	
26	Remote only	_	-	
27	Rugged only	_	-	
28	Remote and rugged	-	-	
29	Unallocated overhead lines	-	-	
30	Total overhead length	1,751	100%	
31			·	
			(% of total circuit	
32		Circuit length (km)	length)	
33	Length of circuit within 10km of coastline or geothermal areas (where known)	4,440	96%	
			(% of total	
		Circuit length (km)	overhead length)	
34 35	Overhead circuit requiring vegetation management	1,576	90%	

		_										
	Сотра	ny Name	e Limited									
	For Yea	ar Ended	31 March 2013									
~	NUEDLUE A L DEDART AN ELIDERRE NETWORKS											
	SCHEDULE 9d: REPORT ON EMBEDDED NETWORKS											
This	s schedule requires information concerning embedded networks owned by an EDB that are embedded in another EDB's network or in	n another emb	edded network.									
sch re	ef											
			Number of ICPs	Line charge revenue								
8	Location *		served	(\$000)								
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16		_										
17												
18 19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												

25

26

^{*} Extend embedded distribution networks table as necessary to disclose each embedded network owned by the EDB which is embedded in another EDB's network or in another embedded network

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended Network / Sub-network Name **SCHEDULE 9e: REPORT ON NETWORK DEMAND** This schedule requires a summary of the key measures of network utilisation for the disclosure year (number of new connections including distributed generation, peak demand and electricity volumes conveyed). sch rej 9e(i): Consumer Connections 8 Number of ICPs connected in year by consumer type Number of connections (ICPs) 10 Consumer types defined by EDB* 11 Domestic 699 12 Small commercial 415 19 Medium commercial 9 Large commercial Small industrial 5 13 Large industrial 14 15 Unmetered 42 16 * include additional rows if needed 17 **Connections total** 1,190 18 Distributed generation 19 connections 20 Number of connections made in year 23 Capacity of distributed generation installed in year 0.081 MVA 21 9e(ii): System Demand 22 23 24 Demand at time of maximum coincident demand (MW) Maximum coincident system demand 25 26 **GXP** demand 552 27 Distributed generation output at HV and above 28 Maximum coincident system demand 553 29 Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above 30 Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points 553 Energy (GWh) Energy (GWh) 31 **Electricity volumes carried** 32 **Electricity supplied from GXPs** 2,501 33 Electricity exports to GXPs 34 Electricity supplied from distributed generation 10 35 Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to consumers' connection points 2,511 36 37 Total energy delivered to ICPs 2,408 103 4.1% 38 **Electricity losses (loss ratio)** 39 40 Load factor 52% 9e(iii): Transformer Capacity 41 (MVA) 42 43 Distribution transformer capacity (EDB owned) 1,350 44 Distribution transformer capacity (Non-EDB owned) 45 Total distribution transformer capacity 1,350 46 1,138 47 Zone substation transformer capacity

Company Name For Year Ended Network / Sub-network Name **Wellington Electricity Lines Limited** 31 March 2013

SCHEDULE 10: REPORT ON NETWORK RELIABILITY

88

Total

This schedule requires a summary of the key measures of network reliability (interruptions, SAIDI, SAIFI and fault rate) for the disclosure year. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on their network reliability for the disclosure year in Schedule 14 (Explanatory notes to templates). The SAIFI and SAIDI information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the

assurance report required by section 2.8. 10(i): Interruptions Interruptions by class 10 Class A (planned interruptions by Transpower) 11 Class B (planned interruptions on the network) Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network) 13 Class D (unplanned interruptions by Transpower) 14 Class E (unplanned interruptions of EDB owned generation) Class F (unplanned interruptions of generation owned by others) 16 Class G (unplanned interruptions caused by another disclosing entity) 17 Class H (planned interruptions caused by another disclosing entity) Class I (interruptions caused by parties not included above) 19 Total 20 21 Interruption restoration 22 Class C interruptions restored within 168 23 SAIFI and SAIDI by class SAID 25 Class A (planned interruptions by Transpower) 26 Class B (planned interruptions on the network) Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network) 41.8 28 Class D (unplanned interruptions by Transpower) 29 Class E (unplanned interruptions of EDB owned generation) Class F (unplanned interruptions of generation owned by others) 31 Class G (unplanned interruptions caused by another disclosing entity) 32 Class H (planned interruptions caused by another disclosing entity) 33 Class I (interruptions caused by parties not included above) 35 Normalised SAIFI and SAIDI 37 Classes B & C (interruptions on the network) 38 SAIFI reliability SAIDI reliability Quality path normalised reliability limit 40 SAIFI and SAIDI limits applicable to disclosure year* 41 * not applicable to exempt EDBs 10(ii): Class C Interruptions and Duration by Cause 42 43 Lightning 46 Vegetation 47 Adverse weather Adverse environment 49 Third party interference 50 Wildlife Human error 52 Defective equipment 53 Cause unknown 10(iii): Class B Interruptions and Duration by Main Equipment Involved 64 Main equipment involved SAIF SAID 65 Subtransmission lines 66 Subtransmission cables Subtransmission other 68 Distribution lines (excluding LV) 69 Distribution cables (excluding LV) 70 Distribution other (excluding LV) 71 10(iv): Class C Interruptions and Duration by Main Equipment Involved 73 Main equipment involved 74 Subtransmission lines Subtransmission cables 76 Subtransmission other 77 Distribution lines (excluding LV) Distribution cables (excluding LV) 79 Distribution other (excluding LV) 80 10(v): Fault Rate Fault rate (faults per 21 Main equipment involved mber of Faults Circuit length (km) 82 Subtransmission lines 58 1.72 Subtransmission cables 0.68 83 Subtransmission other 85 Distribution lines (excluding LV) 22.58 86 Distribution cables (excluding LV) 87 Distribution other (excluding LV)



EDB Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates for Schedules 5f & 5g

Company Name
Disclosure Date
Disclosure Year (year ended)

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 August 2013
31 March 2013

Templates for Schedules 5f & 5g Template Version 2.0. Prepared 21 December 2012

Table of Contents

Schedule Description

5f Report Supporting Cost Allocations 5g Report Supporting Asset Allocations

Disclosure Template Guidelines for Information Entry

These templates have been prepared for use by EDBs when making disclosures under subclause 2.3.2 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. These disclosures (schedules 5f and 5g) are not required to be publicly disclosed, but must be disclosed to the Commission within 5 months and 5 working days after the start of the disclosure year.

Company Name and Dates

To prepare the templates for disclosure, the supplier's company name should be entered in cell C8, the date of the last day of the current (disclosure) year should be entered in cell C12, and the date on which the information is disclosed should be entered in cell C10 of the CoverSheet worksheet.

The cell C12 entry (current year) is used to calculate the 'For year ended' date in the template title blocks (the title blocks are the light green shaded areas at the top of each template).

The cell C8 entry (company name) is used in the template title blocks.

Dates should be entered in day/month/year order (Example -"1 April 2013").

Data Entry Cells and Calculated Cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas (white cells) in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell. Under no circumstances should the formulas in a calculated cell be overwritten.

Validation Settings on Data Entry Cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to help guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test keyboard entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names, to values between 0% and 100%, or either a numeric entry or the text entry "N/A". Where this occurs, a validation message will appear when data is being entered. These checks are applied to keyboard entries only and not, for example, to entries made using Excel's copy and paste facility.

Inserting Additional Rows

The templates for schedules 5f and 5g may require additional rows to be inserted in tables.

Additional rows must not be inserted directly above the first row or below the last row of a table. This is to ensure that entries made in the new row are included in the totals.

Schedule References

The references labelled 'sch ref' in the leftmost column of each template are consistent with the row references in the Electricity Distribution ID Determination 2012 (as issued on 1 October 2012). They provide a common reference between the rows in the determination and the template. Due to page formatting, the row reference sequences contained in the determination schedules are not necessarily contiguous.

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 5f: REPORT SUPPORTING COST ALLOCATIONS This schedule requires additional detail on the asset allocation methodology applied in allocating asset values that are not directly attributable, to support the information provided in Schedule 5d (Cost allocations). This schedule is not required to be publicly disclosed, but must be disclosed to the Commission. This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref Have costs been allocated in aggregate using ACAM in accordance with Yes clause 2.1.1(3) of the IM Determination? Allocator Metric (%) Value allocated (\$000) 10 OVABAA Non-electricity Electricity Electricity allocation Arm's length increase Allocation distribution distribution distribution Non-electricity nethodology type Cost allocator Allocator type services services deduction services distribution services Total (\$000) Service interruptions and emergencies 12 13 Service interruptions and emergencies ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 3,093 3,093 14 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] nsert cost description 15 e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] nsert cost description e.g. ABAA 16 Allocator 4 [Select one] 17 Not directly attributable 3,093 3,093 18 Vegetation management 19 Vegetation management ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 20 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] 21 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] 22 e.g. ABAA Insert cost description Allocator 4 [Select one] 23 Not directly attributable Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection 24 25 Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 8.380 8.380 e.g. ABAA 26 nsert cost description [Select one] Allocator 2 27 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] 28 e.g. ABAA [Select one] Insert cost description Allocator 4 29 Not directly attributable 8,380 8,380 Asset replacement and renewal 31 Asset replacement and renewal ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 614 614 32 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] e.g. ABAA 33 nsert cost description Allocator 3 [Select one] 34 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 4 [Select one] 35 614 614 Not directly attributable

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 5f: REPORT SUPPORTING COST ALLOCATIONS This schedule requires additional detail on the asset allocation methodology applied in allocating asset values that are not directly attributable, to support the information provided in Schedule 5d (Cost allocations). This schedule is not required to be publicly disclosed, but must be disclosed to the Commission. This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref System operations and network support 43 44 System operations and network support ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 3,617 3,617 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA 45 Allocator 2 [Select one] 46 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] 47 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 4 [Select one] 48 Not directly attributable 3,617 3,617 49 **Business support** 50 Business support ACAM 100% Causal 100.00% 12.594 12.594 51 e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] nsert cost description 52 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA [Select one] Allocator 3 53 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 4 [Select one] Not directly attributable 12,594 12,594 55 28,298 56 Operating costs not directly attributable 28,298 57 58 Pass through and recoverable costs 59 Pass through costs 60 Pass through costs ACAM 100% Causal 2,619 2,619 61 Insert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] Insert cost description 62 e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] 63 e.g. ABAA sert cost description 64 Not directly attributable 2,619 2,619 65 **Recoverable costs** 66 Recoverable costs ACAM 100% 57,470 57,470 Causal 67 nsert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] 68 e.g. ABAA nsert cost description Allocator 3 [Select one] 69 sert cost description e.g. ABAA Allocator 4 [Select one] 70 57,470 57,470 Not directly attributable

* include additional rows if needed

Company Name **Wellington Electricity Lines Limited** 31 March 2013 For Year Ended

SCHEDULE 5g: REPORT SUPPORTING ASSET ALLOCATIONS

sch ref

36 37

This schedule requires additional detail on the asset allocation methodology applied in allocating asset values that are not directly attributable, to support the information provided in Schedule 5e (Report on Asset Allocations). This schedule is not required to be publicly disclosed, but must be disclosed to the Commission.

Have assets been allocated in aggregate using ACAM in accordance with	Yes									
clause 2.1.1(3) of the IM Determination?	res									
				Allocator	Metric (%)		Value al	located (\$000)		
Line Item*	Allocation methodology type	Allocator	Allocator type	Electricity distribution services	Non-electricity distribution services	Arm's length deduction	Electricity distribution services	Non-electricity distribution services	Total	OVABAA allo
transmission lines	methodology type	Allocator	Allocator type	30.1.003	50.0.00	acaacion	50.7.005	uisti isati sei vices	Total	mercuse (2
Subtransmission lines	ACAM	100%	Causal	100.00%			2,134		2,134	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 2	[Select one]	100.0070			2,134		2,134	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 3	[Select one]							
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 4	[Select one]						_	
lot directly attributable						-	2,134	-	2,134	
transmission cables										
Subtransmission cables	ACAM	100%	Causal	100.00%			51,131		51,131	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 2	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 3	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 4	[Select one]						-	
lot directly attributable						-	51,131	-	51,131	
e substations										
Zone substations	ACAM	100%	Causal	100.00%			40,122		40,122	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 2	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 3	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 4	[Select one]						-	
lot directly attributable						-	40,122	-	40,122	
ribution and LV lines										
Distribution and LV lines	ACAM	100%	Causal	100.00%			113,184		113,184	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 2	[Select one]				-		-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 3	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 4	[Select one]						-	
lot directly attributable						-	113,184	-	113,184	
ribution and LV cables										
Distribution and LV cables	ACAM	100%	Causal	100.00%			222,244		222,244	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 2	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 3	[Select one]						-	
Insert asset description	e.g. ABAA	Allocator 4	[Select one]						-	

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited Company Name 31 March 2013 For Year Ended SCHEDULE 5g: REPORT SUPPORTING ASSET ALLOCATIONS This schedule requires additional detail on the asset allocation methodology applied in allocating asset values that are not directly attributable, to support the information provided in Schedule 5e (Report on Asset Allocations). This schedule is not required to be publicly disclosed, but must be disclosed to the Commission. This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8. sch ref 49 Distribution substations and transformers Distribution substations and transformers ACAM 100.009 72,751 72,751 50 100% Causal e.g. ABAA 51 sert asset description Allocator 2 [Select one] 52 nsert asset description e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] e.g. ABAA 53 nsert asset description Allocator 4 [Select one] 54 Not directly attributable 72,751 72,751 55 56 Distribution switchgear 57 ACAM 100.00% Distribution switchgear 100% Causal 34,255 34,255 58 nsert asset description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] e.g. ABAA [Select one] 59 nsert asset description Allocator 3 e.g. ABAA 60 nsert asset description Allocator 4 [Select one] 61 34,255 34,255 Not directly attributable 62 Other network assets 63 Other network assets ACAM 100.00% 100% Causal 8,388 8,388 64 nsert asset description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] 65 e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 [Select one] sert asset description e.g. ABAA 66 nsert asset description Allocator 4 [Select one] 67 8,388 Not directly attributable 8,388 Non-network assets 68 ACAM 100% 100.00% 11,781 11,781 69 Non-network assets Causal 70 nsert asset description e.g. ABAA Allocator 2 [Select one] 71 e.g. ABAA Allocator 3 nsert asset description [Select one] 72 Insert asset description e.g. ABAA Allocator 4 [Select one] 73 11,781 11,781 Not directly attributable 74 75 555,990 555,990 Regulated service asset value not directly attributable

* include additional rows if needed



EDB Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates for Transitional Schedules

Company Name
Disclosure Date
Disclosure Year (year ended)

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 August 2013
31 March 2013

Templates for Schedules 3, 5b, 5e & 8
Template Version 1.0. Prepared 19 June 2013

Disclosure Template Guidelines for Information Entry

These templates have been prepared for use by EDBs when making transitional disclosures under subclauses 2.12.1 and 2.12.2 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. These transitional templates only apply for the first dislosure year (year ended 31 March 2013). Disclosures must be made available to the public within 5 months after the end of the disclosure year and a copy provided to the Commission within 5 working days of being disclosed to the public.

The following schedules are required to be disclosed:

Schedule 3: Report on Regulatory Profit for 2012

Schedule 5b: Report on Related Party Transactions for 2012 Schedule 5e: Report on Asset Allocations for 2010, 2011 and 2012 Schedule 8: Report on Billed Quantites and Line Charges for 2012

Transitional schedules 2, 4, 5a, 5c and 6b are not required to be disclosed but have been included to assist calculation for Schedule 3(i):Regulatory Profit.

Company Name

To prepare the templates for disclosure, the supplier's company name should be entered in cell C8 in the Coversheet.

Data Entry Cells and Calculated Cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas (white cells) in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

Inserting Additional Rows and Columns

Schedule 5e may require new asset category rows to be inserted in allocation change table 5e(ii). Accordingly, cell protection has been removed from rows 76 and 79 of the respective templates to allow blocks of rows to be copied. The four steps to add new asset category rows to table 5e(ii) are: Select Excel rows 70:77, copy, select Excel row 79, then insert copied cells.

The template for schedule 8 may require additional columns to be inserted. To avoid interfering with the title block entries, these should be inserted to the left of column S.

Schedule References

The references labelled 'sch ref' in the leftmost column of each template are consistent with the row references in the Electricity Distribution ID Determination 2012 (as issued on 1 October 2012). They provide a common reference between the rows in the determination and the template. Due to page formatting, the row reference sequences contained in the determination schedules are not necessarily contiguous.

Schedule 5b: Report on Related Party Transactions

Under clause 2.12.1(4), schedule 5b for the year ending 2012, EDB's are only required to complete information for assets acquired from a related party. Related party transactions included in operational expenditure disclosed in schedule 3 must be valued in accordance with the ID determination related party valuation rules but the transactions are not required to be disclosed for 2012 in schedule 5b.

Schedule 8: Report on Billed Quantities and Line Charge Revenues

This template should be completed in respect of each consumer groups or price category code (as applicable) that applied in disclosure year 2012. The 'Average number of ICPs in disclosure year' column entries should be the arithmetic mean of monthly total ICPs (at month end).

Disclosures by Sub-Network

If the supplier has sub-networks, schedule 8 must be completed for the network and for each sub-network. A copy of the schedule worksheet must be made for each subnetwork and named accordingly.

Company Name For Year Ended Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 March 2012

SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON REGULATORY PROFIT

This schedule requires information on the calculation of regulatory profit for the EDB for the disclosure year. All EDBs must complete 3(i), 3(iv) and 3(v) and must provide explanatory comment on their regulatory profit in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Non-exempt EDBs must also complete sections 3(ii) and 3(iii).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

sch r	ref	
7	3(i): Regulatory Profit	(\$000)
8	Income	
9	Line charge revenue	151,931
10	plus Gains / (losses) on asset disposals	_
11	plus Other regulated income (other than gains / (losses) on asset disposals)	702
12		
13	Total regulatory income	152,633
14	Expenses	
15	less Operational expenditure	28,559
17	less Pass-through and recoverable costs	50,620
18		
19	Operating surplus / (deficit)	73,455
20		
21	less Total depreciation	28,041
22		
23	plus Total revaluation	8,769
24	5.11. 6.48. 11.6. 11.0. 11.0.	
25	Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax & term credit spread differential allowance	54,182
26 27	less Term credit spread differential allowance	
28	ress Term creuit spread universities anowance	
29	Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax	54,182
30		
31	less Regulatory tax allowance	12,696
32		
33	Regulatory profit / (loss)	41,487
34		
35	3(ii): Pass-Through and Recoverable Costs	(\$000)
36	Pass-through costs	7
37	Rates	
38	Commerce Act levies 144	
40	Electricity Authority levies 400	9
40 41	Other specified pass-through costs Recoverable costs	
42	Net recoverable costs allowed under incremental rolling incentive scheme	
43	Non-exempt EDB electricity lines service charge payable to Transpower 46,45:	3
44	Transpower new investment contract charges 1,366	
45	System operator services	-
46	Avoided transmission charge 240	5
47	Input Methodology claw-back	_
48	Recoverable customised price-quality path costs	-
49	Pass-through and recoverable costs	50,620

Company Name For Year Ended Wellington Electricity Lines Limited
31 March 2012

SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON REGULATORY PROFIT

This schedule requires information on the calculation of regulatory profit for the EDB for the disclosure year. All EDBs must complete 3(i), 3(iv) and 3(v) and must provide explanatory comment on their regulatory profit in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Non-exempt EDBs must also complete sections 3(ii) and 3(iii).

This information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and so is subject to the assurance report required by section 2.8.

sch r	ref		
<i>57</i>	3(iii): Incremental Rolling Incentive Scheme	(\$0	000)
58		CY-1	CY
59		31 March 2011	31 March 2012
60	Allowed controllable opex		
61	Actual controllable opex		
62			
63	Incremental change in year		
64			
			Previous years'
		Previous years'	incremental
		incremental	change adjusted
65		change	for inflation
66			
67			
68			
69			
70			
71	Net incremental rolling incentive scheme		-
72			
73	Net recoverable costs allowed under incremental rolling incentive scheme		-
74	3(iv): Merger and Acquisition Expenditure		
75	Merger and acquisition expenses		
76			
	Provide commentary on the benefits of merger and acquisition expenditure to the electricity distribution business, i	ncluding required disclosures	
77	in accordance with section 2.7, in Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes)		
78	3(v): Other Disclosures		
79	Self-insurance allowance		
		<u> </u>	

			Company Name	Wellington Electrici	ty Lines Limited
			For Year Ended	31 March	2012
SC	CHEDULE 5b: REPORT ON RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION	IS			
Thi	s schedule provides information on the valuation of related party transactions, in accordance s information is part of audited disclosure information (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID de	e with section 2.3.6 and 2.3.7 of		uired by section 2.8.	
ich r	ef				
7	5b(i): Summary—Related Party Transactions			(\$000)	
8	Total regulatory income				
9	Operational expenditure				
10	Capital expenditure				
11	Market value of asset disposals				
12	Other related party transactions				
13	5b(ii): Entities Involved in Related Party Transactions				
14	Name of related party			Related party relationship)
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	* include additional rows if needed				
21	5b(iii): Related Party Transactions				
		Related party transaction		Value of transaction	
22	Name of related party	type	Description of trans		Basis for determining value
23		[Select one]			
24		[Select one]			
25		[Select one]			
26		[Select one]			
27		[Select one]			
28		[Select one]			
29		[Select one]			
30		[Select one]			
31		[Select one]			
32		[Select one]			
33		[Select one]			
34		[Select one]			
35		[Select one]			
36		[Select one]			
37		[Select one]			
	* include additional rows if needed				

			Company Name For Year Ended	Wellingto	on Electricity Lines Limit 31 March 2010
his scl	EDULE 5e: REPORT ON ASSET ALLOC hedule requires information on the allocation of asset value.	es. This information supports the calculation of the RAB			
	nust provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation ation (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), ar			ns. This informat	ion is part of audited disclosure
ref	Ea/i) Degulated Samiles Asset Value				
7	5e(i):Regulated Service Asset Values		Value allocated		
8			(\$000s) Electricity distribution		
9	Subtransmission lines		services		
1	Directly attributable		1,772		
2	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		1,772		
!	Subtransmission cables		2,772		
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		58,026		
	Total attributable to regulated service		58,026		
	Zone substations Directly attributable		38,423		
	Not directly attributable				
	Total attributable to regulated service Distribution and LV lines		38,423		
	Directly attributable		93,229		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		93,229		
	Distribution and LV cables				
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		224,718		
,	Total attributable to regulated service		224,718		
	Distribution substations and transformer Directly attributable	s	63,507		
	Not directly attributable				
	Total attributable to regulated service Distribution switchgear		63,507		
	Directly attributable		38,282		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		38,282		
1	Other network assets				
)	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		9,436		
	Total attributable to regulated service		9,436		
!	Non-network assets Directly attributable		23,193		
!	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		23,193		
;					
7	Regulated service asset value directly attributabl Regulated service asset value not directly attribu		550,586		
	Total closing RAB value		550,586		
	5e(ii): Changes in Asset Allocations* †			(\$:000)
				CY-1 31 Mar 09	Current Year (CY) 31 Mar 10
	Change in asset value allocation 1			31 IAIGL DA	31 IVIAI 10
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
	Rationale for change				
				CV 1	Current Year (CV)
	Change in asset value allocation 2			CY-1 31 Mar 09	Current Year (CY) 31 Mar 10
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
	Rationale for change				
	· ·				
				CY-1	Current Year (CY)
	Change in asset value allocation 3 Asset category		Original allocation	31 Mar 09	31 Mar 10
	Original allocator or line items		New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
	Rationale for change				
	* a change in asset allocation must be completed for each	allocator or component change that has occurred in the	disclosure year A movement in an allocator m	etric is not a char	aga in allocator or component

			Company Name For Year Ended	Wellingt	on Electricity Lines Limite 31 March 2011
his sch	EDULE 5e: REPORT ON ASSET ALLOC nedule requires information on the allocation of asset value	es. This information supports the calculation of the RAB v			
	ust provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation ation (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), an			ns. This informat	tion is part of audited disclosure
ref	Eq(i)-Pagulated Service Asset Values				
7	5e(i):Regulated Service Asset Values		Value allocated		
8			(\$000s) Electricity distribution		
9 0	Subtransmission lines		services		
1	Subtransmission lines Directly attributable		2,355		
2	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		2,355		
!	Subtransmission cables		2,333		
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		55,977		
	Total attributable to regulated service		55,977		
	Zone substations Directly attributable		38,377		
1	Not directly attributable				
	Total attributable to regulated service Distribution and LV lines		38,377		
	Directly attributable		101,887		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		101,887		
	Distribution and LV cables				
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		227,525		
	Total attributable to regulated service		227,525		
	Distribution substations and transformers Directly attributable	5	67,834		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		67,834		
	Distribution switchgear		07,834		
	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		36,140		
	Total attributable to regulated service		36,140		
	Other network assets Directly attributable		8,284		
,	Not directly attributable				
	Total attributable to regulated service Non-network assets		8,284		
	Directly attributable		20,115		
5	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		20,115		
5	-		558,495		
3	Regulated service asset value directly attributable Regulated service asset value not directly attribute		-		
	Total closing RAB value		558,495		
7	5e(ii): Changes in Asset Allocations* †				5000)
3				CY-1 31 Mar 10	Current Year (CY) 31 Mar 11
)	Change in asset value allocation 1				
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
	Rationale for change				
				CY-1	Current Year (CY)
	Change in asset value allocation 2		О	31 Mar 10	31 Mar 11
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
	Rationale for change				
	Change in accet value allegation 2			CY-1 31 Mar 10	Current Year (CY) 31 Mar 11
	Change in asset value allocation 3 Asset category		Original allocation	JI IVIAI 1U	JI IVIDI II
	Original allocator or line items New allocator or line items	 	New allocation Difference		
	Rationale for change				
			#!d	and to a control	,
	* a change in asset allocation must be completed for each † include additional rows if needed	anocator or component change that has occurred in the	assubsure year. A movement in an allocator m	core is not a char	ige in unocutor or component.

=			Company Name For Year Ended	Wellingt	on Electricity Lines Limite 31 March 2012
	HEDULE 5e: REPORT ON ASSET ALLOCA schedule requires information on the allocation of asset value.		lue in Schedule 4.		
DBs	must provide explanatory comment on their cost allocation is mation (as defined in section 1.4 of the ID determination), and	Schedule 14 (Mandatory Explanatory Notes), including	on the impact of any changes in asset allocation	ns. This informa	tion is part of audited disclosure
ref					
7	5e(i):Regulated Service Asset Values				
8			Value allocated (\$000s)		
9			Electricity distribution services		
,	Subtransmission lines		services		
!	Directly attributable		2,251		
2	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		2,251		
	Subtransmission cables		2,202		
	Directly attributable		53,762		
,	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		53,762		
2	Zone substations		33,702		
,	Directly attributable		37,685		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		37,685		
,	Distribution and LV lines		3.,303		
3	Directly attributable		107,924		
:	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		107,924		
5	Distribution and LV cables				
7	Directly attributable		224,204		
,	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		224,204		
)	Distribution substations and transformers				
	Directly attributable		69,874		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		69,874		
ı	Distribution switchgear				
1	Directly attributable		35,220		
	Not directly attributable Total attributable to regulated service		35,220		
2	Other network assets				
,	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		7,900		
	Total attributable to regulated service		7,900		
2	Non-network assets				
3 4	Directly attributable Not directly attributable		16,389		
5	Total attributable to regulated service		16,389		
7	Regulated service asset value directly attributable		555,210		
8	Regulated service asset value not directly attributable	able	-		
1	Total closing RAB value		555,210		
,	5e(ii): Changes in Asset Allocations* †			CY-1	\$000) Current Year (CY)
3				31 Mar 11	31 Mar 12
1	Change in asset value allocation 1				
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		+
l	New allocator or line items		Difference		-
	Rationale for change				
	Nationale for Change				
	Character in the second			CY-1	Current Year (CY)
	Change in asset value allocation 2 Asset category		Original allocation	31 Mar 11	31 Mar 12
	Original allocator or line items		New allocation		
l	New allocator or line items		Difference		-
l	Rationale for change				
				CY-1	Current Year (CY)
l	Change in asset value allocation 3			31 Mar 11	31 Mar 12
	Asset category Original allocator or line items		Original allocation New allocation		
	New allocator or line items		Difference		
l	Rationale for change				
	nationale to change				
ı					
L	* a change in asset allocation must be completed for each	allocator or component shapes that he	icelacura year A mayoment's an all-	stric ic not!	ngo in allocator or occurrence

Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited 31 March 2012 For Year Ended Network / Sub-Network Name SCHEDULE 8: REPORT ON BILLED QUANTITIES AND LINE CHARGE REVENUES ode used by the EDB in its pricing schedules. Information is also required on the number of ICPs that are included in each consumer group or price category code, and the energy delivered to these ICPs. 8(i): Billed Quantities by Price Component Billed quantities by price component Price compon Chg (24 UC) Add extra columns for additional billed quantities by price component as necessary Unit charging basis (eg, days, kW of demand kVA/month kVA/day kW/mth kVAr/mth kWh kWh Average no. of ICPs in disclosure year in disclosure year (MWh) Consumer group name or price Consumer type or types (eg, category code residential, commercial etc.) Standard or non-standard consumer group (specify) G100, G101, G102, G103, Rates 907,962,346 Standard 1.128.17 45.396.16 dividual Contracts Non Standard Standard consumer tota 2,443,491 1,487,180 39,342 1,488,349,213 23,931,164 Non-standard consumer tota Total for all consum 74.914.025 1.487.180 39.342 1.488.349.213

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited For Year Ended Network / Sub-Network Name SCHEDULE 8: REPORT ON BILLED QUANTITIES AND LINE CHARGE REVENUES line charge revenues for each price category code used by the EDB in its pricing schedules. Information is also required on the number of ICPs that are included in each consumer group or price category code, and the energy delivered to these ICPs. 8(ii): Line Charge Revenues (\$000) by Price Component Line charge revenues by price compo Chg (24 UC) Charge (AICO) Total transmission Total distribution line charge revenue (if Rate (eg, \$/day, \$/kWh, charge revenues by price \$/kVA/day \$/kVAr/mth \$/kWh S/kWh Consumer group name or price Consumer type or types (eg, standard or non-standard Total line charge revenue Notional revenue category code residential, commercial etc.) consumer group (specify) in disclosure year foregone (if applicable) line charge consumer group (specify) omponent as G100, G101, G102, G103, Rates Domestic \$92,030 \$8,041 \$16,132 \$1,016 GV30, GX30 Large Commercial Standard \$3.067 \$2,038 GC60, GR60, GU60 Large Industrial Standard \$6,435 \$6,435 \$202 \$18,513 G001, G002 Standard \$3,357 \$2,922 Add extra rows for additional consumer groups or price category codes as necessary Non-standard consumer total Total for all consumers \$151,931 \$19.865 \$44,356 8(iii): Number of ICPs directly billed Check Number of directly billed ICPs at year end



EDB Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates for Schedules 11–13

Company Name
Disclosure Date
AMP Planning Period Start Date (first day)

Wellington Electricity
31 March 2013
1 April 2013

Templates for Schedules 11a–13 (Asset Management Plan)
Template Version 2.0. Prepared 15 November 2012

Table of Contents

Schedule Description

Asset Management Plan Schedule Templates

- 11a Report on Forecast Capital Expenditure
- 11b Report on Forecast Operational Expenditure
- 12a Report on Asset Condition
- 12b Report on Forecast Capacity
- 12c Report on Forecast Demand
- 12d Report on Forecast Interruptions and Duration
- 13 Report on Asset Management Maturity

Disclosure Template Guidelines for Information Entry

These templates have been prepared for use by EDBs when making disclosures under subclauses 2.6.1(4), 2.6.1(5) and 2.6.5(5) of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. Disclosures made under subclauses 2.6.1(4) and 2.6.1(5) must be made before the start of each disclosure year. Disclosures made under subclauses 2.6.5(5) must be made within 5 months after the start of the disclosure year. With the exception of Schedule 12b(ii) discussed below, the information disclosed under 2.6.5(5) should be identical to that disclosed under 2.6.1(4) and 2.6.1(5).

Company Name and Dates

To prepare the templates for disclosure, the supplier's company name should be entered in cell C8, the date of the first day of the 10 year planning period should be entered in cell C12, and the date on which the information is disclosed should be entered in cell C10 of the CoverSheet worksheet.

The cell C12 entry (planning period start date) is used to calculate disclosure years in the column headings that show above some of the tables. It is also used to calculate the AMP planning period dates in the template title blocks (the title blocks are the light green shaded areas at the top of each template).

The cell C8 entry (company name) is used in the template title blocks.

Dates should be entered in day/month/year order (Example -"1 April 2013").

Data Entry Cells and Calculated Cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas (white cells) in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell. Under no circumstances should the formulas in a calculated cell be overwritten.

Validation Settings on Data Entry Cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names or to values between 0% and 100%. Where this occurs, a validation message will appear when data is being entered.

Conditional Formatting Settings on Data Entry Cells

Schedule 12a columns G to K contains conditional formatting. The cells will change colour if the row totals do not add to 100%.

Inserting Additional Rows

The templates for schedules 11a, 12b and 12c may require additional rows to be inserted in tables marked 'include Additional rows must not be inserted directly above the first row or below the last row of a table. This is to ensure that entries made in the new row are included in the totals.

For schedule 12b the formula for column J will need to be copied into the inserted row(s).

Schedule 12b(ii)

The purpose of schedule 12b(ii) is to disclose transformer capacity as at the end of the current year. Because the information may not be available in time for disclosures made under subclause 2.6.1(4), but available for disclosures made under 2.6.5(5), the Commission intends to consider issuing an exemption from disclosing schedule 12b(ii) under subclause 2.6.1(4). Accordingly, the Excel template has been modified to allow the value "N/A" to be entered into these input cells.

Schedule 12d Report Forecast Interruptions and Duration sub-network disclosures

If the supplier has sub-networks, schedule 12d must be completed for the network and for each sub-network. A copy of the schedule 12d worksheet must be made for each sub-network.

Schedule 13 Report on Asset Management Maturity

The name of the standard applied (eg, 'PAS55') must be entered in cell K4.

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

sch ref												
7			CY+1	CY+2	GV-2	CY+4	ev. s	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	64.0	CY+10
· ·	,	Current Year CY			CY+3		CY+5				CY+9	
8	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23
9	11a(i): Expenditure on Assets Forecast	\$000 (in nominal dol	lars)									
10	Consumer connection	5,318	6,251	6,670	7,417	8,171	7,740	7,602	8,189	8,547	8,974	10,055
11	System growth	3,764	8,174	8,166	7,934	8,661	6,746	6,679	8,290	8,303	8,171	7,961
12	Asset replacement and renewal	17,767	17,798	18,683	18,864	19,491	25,467	27,310	26,230	26,207	27,053	29,417
13	Asset relocations	935	956	1,033	1,171	1,245	1,192	1,207	1,310	1,341	1,388	1,522
14	Reliability, safety and environment:		406	1				1				
15 16	Quality of supply	481	406	322	25	27	31	31	31	32	33	34
17	Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment	497	432	493	619	668	748	758	763	787	811	833
18	Total reliability, safety and environment	978	838	815	644	695	779	789	794	819	844	867
19	Expenditure on network assets	28,763	34,018	35,367	36,030	38,263	41,924	43,586	44,813	45,217	46,429	49,821
20	Non-network assets	578	1,856	1,630	1,162	1,142	1,113	1,194	1,209	1,225	1,241	1,258
21	Expenditure on assets	29,341	35,874	36,997	37,192	39,405	43,036	44,781	46,023	46,442	47,670	51,079
22												-
23	plus Cost of financing	309	368	376	385	394	402	411	421	430	440	450
24	less Value of capital contributions	4,564	4,188	4,184	4,383	4,469	4,594	4,797	4,931	4,975	5,106	5,482
25	plus Value of vested assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26												
27	Capital expenditure forecast	25,086	32,054	33,189	33,194	35,330	38,845	40,395	41,513	41,898	43,004	46,047
28	WI - Constitution	24.570	24.220	22.400	22.404	25 220	20.045	40.005	44.540	44.000	42.004	45.047
28	Value of commissioned assets	24,579	34,239	33,189	33,194	35,330	38,845	40,395	41,513	41,898	43,004	46,047
29	Value of commissioned assets									,,,,,		
		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
29	Value of commissioned assets	Current Year CY								,,,,,		
29 30 32		Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces)	CY+2 31 Mar 15	CY+3 31 Mar 16	CY+4 31 Mar 17	CY+5 31 Mar 18	CY+6 31 Mar 19	CY+7 31 Mar 20	CY+8 31 Mar 21	CY+9 31 Mar 22	CY+10 31 Mar 23
29 30 32 33	for year ended	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces)	CY+2 31 Mar 15	CY+3 31 Mar 16	CY+4 31 Mar 17	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925	CY+6 31 Mar 19	CY+7 31 Mar 20	CY+8 31 Mar 21	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346	CY+10 31 Mar 23
29 30 32 33 34	for year ended Consumer connection System growth	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844	CY+7 31 Mar 20 7,008 7,095	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949	<i>CY+9</i> 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373
30 32 33 34 35	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897	7,008 7,008 7,095	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548
30 32 33 34 35 36	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844	CY+7 31 Mar 20 7,008 7,095	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949	<i>CY+9</i> 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373
32 33 34 35 36 37	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment:	Current Year CY 3 1 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869 988	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897 1,056	7,008 7,095 7,095 2,447	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	for year ender Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset reclocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897	7,008 7,008 7,095	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548
32 33 34 35 36 37	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment:	Current Year CY 3 1 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869 988	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897 1,056	7,008 7,095 7,095 2,447	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	for year ender Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset replacements Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869 988	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25	CV+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897 1,056	7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 5000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869 988 308 472	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 579	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897 1,056	CY+7 31 Mar 20 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 27	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 - 658	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset replacement and renewal Asset style safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 5000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6,380 7,811 17,869 988 308 472 780 33,828	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6,652 5,844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 653 679 38,350	CY+8 31 Mar 21 7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 685 37,844	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 691 38,003	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset replacement and renewal Asset safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Non-network assets Expenditure on assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset reloacations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known)	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007
30 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses Overhead to underground conversion	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13 \$000 (in constant pri 5,318 3,764 17,767 935 481 497 978 28,763 578	CY+1 31 Mar 14 ces) 6,113 7,994 17,407 935 397 422 820 33,269 1,815	CY+2 31 Mar 15 6.380 7.811 17.869 988 308 472 780 33.828 1,559	CY+3 31 Mar 16 6,938 7,422 17,646 1,095 24 - 579 602 33,704 1,087	CY+4 31 Mar 17 7,475 7,924 17,831 1,139 25 611 636 35,004 1,045	CY+5 31 Mar 18 6,925 6,035 22,786 1,067 27 670 697 37,510 995	CY+6 31 Mar 19 6.652 5.844 23,897 1,056 27 664 691 38,139 1,045	7,008 7,008 7,095 22,447 1,121 27 - 653 679 38,350 1,035	7,153 6,949 21,934 1,123 27 658 688 688 37,844 1,025	CY+9 31 Mar 22 7,346 6,688 22,143 1,136 27 664 661 38,003 1,016	CY+10 31 Mar 23 8,049 6,373 23,548 1,218 27 667 694 39,882 1,007

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecast should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
Difference between newlect and secretary wice forces	for year ended	31 Mar 13 \$000	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23
Difference between nominal and constant price foreca	SIS	\$000	120	200	479	coc	015	950	1 101	1,394	1.520	2
Consumer connection	•	-	138 180	290 355	512	696 738	815 710	835	1,181 1,196	1,394	1,629 1,483	1
System growth Asset replacement and renewal	•	-	392	813	1,218	1,660	2,681	3,413	3,783	4,273	4,909	5
Asset replacement and renewal			21	45	76	106	126	151	189	219	252	
Reliability, safety and environment:	l	1	21	43	70	100	120	131	109	215	232	
Quality of supply	1		9	14	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	
Legislative and regulatory			-	14			,			_	-	
Other reliability, safety and environment			10	21	40	57	79	95	110	128	147	
Total reliability, safety and environment		_	18	35	42	59	82	99	114	134	153	
Expenditure on network assets		-	749	1,539	2,327	3,258	4,414	5,447	6,463	7,373	8,426	
Non-network assets		(0)	41	71	75	97	117	149	174	200	225	
Expenditure on assets		(0)	790	1,610	2,401	3,356	4,531	5,596	6,638	7,573	8,651	10
		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5					
	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18					
11a(ii): Consumer Connection	,											
Consumer types defined by EDB*		\$000 (in constant pri	ces)									
Substation		2,266	3,287	3,175	2,696	2,856	2,419					
Subdivision		1,202	1,150	1,449	2,259	2,462	2,407					
High Voltage Connection		229	32	24	24	24	24					
Residential customers		1,246	1,566	1,674	1,901	2,076	2,018					
Generation connections		76	-	-	-	-	-					
Public lighting		297	78	57	57	57	57					
*include additional rows if needed												
Consumer connection expenditure		5,318	6,113	6,380	6,938	7,475	6,925					
less Capital contributions funding consumer connection		3,723	3,317	3,242	3,321	3,311	3,329					
Consumer connection less capital contributions		1,595	2,796	3,138	3,617	4,164	3,596					
11a(iii): System Growth												
Subtransmission		-	-	-	-	-	-					
		2,696	5,725	5,594	5,315	5,675	4,322					
Zone substations		_,			-	-	-					
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines		-	-	-								
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables		945	2,006	1,960	1,863	1,989	1,515					
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables Distribution and LV cables Distribution substations and transformers		-	2,006 263	1,960 257	1,863 244	1,989 260	1,515					
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables Distribution substations and transformers Distribution switchgear		945										
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables Distribution substations and transformers Distribution switchgear Other network assets		945 124	263 - -	257	244	260	198					
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and IV cables Distribution and IV cables Distribution substations and transformers Distribution switchgear Other network assets System growth expenditure		945										
Zone substations Distribution and LV lines Distribution and LV cables Distribution substations and transformers Distribution switchgear Other network assets		945 124	263 - -	257	244	260	198					

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecast should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

	nformation is not part of audited disclosure information.							
ch rej 103			Current Year CV	CV.1	CV.2	CV.2	CY+4	CVIE
103		for year ended	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13	CY+1 31 Mar 14	CY+2 31 Mar 15	CY+3 31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	CY+5 31 Mar 18
.05	11a(iv): Asset Replacement and Renewal		\$000 (in constant pri	ces)				
106	Subtransmission		582	570	586	578	584	747
07	Zone substations		2,562	2,510	2,576	2,544	2,571	3,285
108	Distribution and LV lines		4,192	4,107	4,216	4,163	4,207	5,376
109	Distribution and LV cables		699	684	703	694	701	896
110	Distribution substations and transformers		1,525	1,494	1,534	1,515	1,531	1,956
111	Distribution switchgear		5,857	5,738	5,891	5,817	5,878	7,511
112	Other network assets		2,350	2,303	2,364	2,334	2,359	3,014
113	Asset replacement and renewal expenditure		17,767	17,407	17,869	17,646	17,831	22,786
114	less Capital contributions funding asset replacement and renewal							
15	Asset replacement and renewal less capital contributions		17,767	17,407	17,869	17,646	17,831	22,786
16	11a(v):Asset Relocations							
117	Project or programme*				1	1	1	
118	Asset relocations		935	935	988	1,095	1,139	1,067
119	[Description of material project or programme]							
120	[Description of material project or programme]							
121	[Description of material project or programme]		<u> </u>					
122	[Description of material project or programme]		<u> </u>					
123	*include additional rows if needed							
124	All other asset relocations projects or programmes		025	025	000	1.005	1 120	1.007
125	Asset relocations expenditure		935	935	988	1,095	1,139	1,067
126 127	less Capital contributions funding asset relocations Asset relocations less capital contributions		841 94	778 157	760 228	779 316	777 362	781 286
28	Asset relocations less capital contributions		94	15/	228	510	302	280
28								
29	11a(vi):Quality of Supply							
130	Project or programme*							
131	Programme - Fault Passage Indicators		22	30	44	24	24	27
132	Wainuiomata Coast Rd - Upgrade		188	150	199	-	-	-
133	Karori - Reliability improvement		159	122	37	-	-	-
134	Ngauranga - Reconductoring		112	72	-	-	-	-
135	[Description of material project or programme]							
136	*include additional rows if needed							
137	All other quality of supply projects or programmes		-	24	28	(0)	0	(0)
138	Quality of supply expenditure		481	397	308	24	25	27
139	less Capital contributions funding quality of supply							
40	Quality of supply less capital contributions		481	397	308	24	25	27
41								
42	11a(vii): Legislative and Regulatory							
143	Project or programme*							
144	[Description of material project or programme]							
145	[Description of material project or programme]							
146	[Description of material project or programme]							
147	[Description of material project or programme]							
148	[Description of material project or programme]							
149	*include additional rows if needed		-	*	*	*	*	
150	All other legislative and regulatory projects or programmes							
151	Legislative and regulatory expenditure		-	-	-	-	-	-
	less Capital contributions funding legislative and regulatory							
152	copital contributions failuring regulative and regulatory							
152 153	Legislative and regulatory less capital contributions		-		-	-	-	-

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of Commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This	s information is not part of audited disclosure information.						
sch re	ef						
161							
162		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
162		for year ended 31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18
163	11a(viii): Other Reliability, Safety and Environme	Tor year ended 31 Wai 13	31 (4) 61	31 (4)01 13	31 (4)81 10	31 Widi 17	31 Wai 10
164		\$000 (in constant pri	icas)				
165	Programme - Earthing Compliance	299	281	225	225	225	169
166		83	38	-			- 103
167	Programme - Asbestos Removal	83	58	83	83	83	63
168	Programme - LV Dennis Panel Covers	33	-	-	-	-	-
169		-	20	121	270	302	438
170				<u>'</u>			,
171	All other reliability, safety and environment projects or programm	nes -	25	43	-	_	-
172	Other reliability, safety and environment expenditure	497	422	472	579	611	670
173	less Capital contributions funding other reliability, safety and environr	ment					
174		497	422	472	579	611	670
175							
176 177							
1//							
178	11a(ix): Non-Network Assets						
179	Routine expenditure						
180							
181	Control Room	30	6	-	-	-	-
182		392	904	706	682	724	743
183		15	-	-	-	-	-
184	Communication Provider	142	866	811	405	320	253
185							
186	*include additional rows if needed						
187		-	39	42	0	0	0
188	Routine expenditure	578	1,815	1,559	1,087	1,045	995
189	Atypical expenditure						
190	Project or programme*				1		
191							
192							
193	[Description of material project or programme]		+		+		
194 195							
195	*include additional rows if needed						
196			1		1		1
198							_
199		<u> </u>					
200		578	1,815	1,559	1,087	1,045	995
200	Non-network assets expenditure	378	1,013	1,333	1,007	1,045	333

SCHEDULE 11b: REPORT ON FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast operational expenditure for the disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar operational expenditure forecasts in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

	EDBs	must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nomin formation is not part of audited disclosure information.											
	ch ref		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
	8	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23
	0	Tor year ended	31 Widi 13	31 Wiai 14	31 IVIAI 13	31 Wai 10	31 Wai 17	31 IVIAI 10	31 Wai 19	31 Wai 20	31 Widi 21	31 Widi 22	31 Wai 23
	9	Operational Expenditure Forecast	\$000 (in nominal do	ollars)									
1	10	Service interruptions and emergencies	3,766	3,889	4,116	4,356	4,498	4,646	4,798	4,955	5,117	5,284	5,457
1	11	Vegetation management	1,126	1,172	1,249	1,322	1,375	1,431	1,489	1,549	1,611	1,676	1,744
1	12	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	6,655	6,918	7,368	7,798	8,106	8,426	8,759	9,105	9,465	9,839	10,228
1	13	Asset replacement and renewal	625	650	693	734	763	794	826	859	894	930	968
1	14	Network Opex	12,173	12,629	13,426	14,209	14,743	15,296	15,871	16,468	17,087	17,730	18,397
	15	System operations and network support	3,968	4,105	4,352	4,613	4,773	4,938	5,109	5,285	5,468	5,658	5,854
	16	Business support	13,013	14,021	15,011	16,087	16,861	17,704	18,628	19,645	20,592	21,609	22,703
	17	Non-network opex	16,981	18,126	19,363	20,700	21,633	22,642	23,737	24,930	26,060	27,267	28,557
1	18	Operational expenditure	29,153	30,755	32,789	34,909	36,376	37,939	39,608	41,398	43,148	44,997	46,954
	19		Current Year CY	CY+1 31 Mar 14	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
1	20	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23
١,	21		\$000 (in constant p	rices)									
	22	Service interruptions and emergencies	3,766	3,804	3,937	4,075	4,115	4,157	4,198	4,240	4,282	4,325	4,369
	23	Vegetation management	1,126	1,146	1,195	1,236	1,258	1,280	1,303	1,325	1,349	1,372	1,396
	24	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	6,655	6,766	7,048	7,294	7,415	7,539	7,664	7,792	7,922	8,053	8,188
	25	Asset replacement and renewal	625	636	663	686	698	710	723	735	748	761	775
2	26	Network Opex	12,173	12,352	12,842	13,291	13,487	13,686	13,888	14,093	14,301	14,512	14,727
2	27	System operations and network support	3,968	4,014	4,162	4,315	4,366	4,418	4,470	4,523	4,577	4,631	4,686
2	28	Business support	13,013	13,712	14,358	15,048	15,425	15,840	16,300	16,811	17,234	17,688	18,174
2	29	Non-network opex	16,981	17,727	18,520	19,364	19,791	20,258	20,771	21,334	21,811	22,319	22,860
3	30	Operational expenditure	29,153	30,078	31,362	32,655	33,278	33,944	34,658	35,427	36,112	36,831	37,587
	31	Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known)											
	32	Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of				ı						T	
	33	energy losses		1					1				
	34	Direct billing*											
3	35	Research and Development	4.400	4.057		4.575	4.007	2.246	2.540	2.000	2.222	2.545	2.000
١.	27 * /	Insurance Direct billing expenditure by suppliers that direct bill the majority of their consumers	1,102	1,267	1,457	1,675	1,927	2,216	2,548	2,930	3,223	3,546	3,900
	38	onect billing experiature by suppliers that affect bill the majority of their consumers											
	39		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
	40	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,											
4	41	Difference between nominal and real forecasts	\$000										
4	42	Service interruptions and emergencies	-	86	179	281	383	489	600	715	834	959	1,089
4	43	Vegetation management	-	26	54	85	117	151	186	223	263	304	348
4	44	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	-	152	321	504	690	887	1,095	1,313	1,543	1,786	2,040
4	45	Asset replacement and renewal	-	14	30	47	65	84	103	124	146	169	193
4	46	Network Opex	-	278	584	918	1,255	1,611	1,984	2,375	2,786	3,218	3,670
	47	System operations and network support	-	90	189	298	406	520	638	762	892	1,027	1,168
	48	Business support	-	309	653	1,039	1,436	1,864	2,328	2,833	3,358	3,922	4,529
	49	Non-network opex	-	399	843	1,337	1,842	2,384	2,967	3,596	4,249	4,948	5,697
-	50	Operational expenditure	-	677	1,427	2,254	3,098	3,994	4,950	5,971	7,036	8,166	9,367

SCHEDULE 12a: REPORT ON ASSET CONDITION

This schedule requires a breakdown of asset condition by asset class as at the start of the forecast year. The data accuracy assessment relates to the percentage values disclosed in the asset condition columns. Also required is a forecast of the percentage of units to be replaced in the next 5 years. All information should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP and the expenditure on assets forecast in Schedule 11a. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

sch i	ľ					Asset con	dition at start of pla	anning period (pe	ercentage of units b	v grade)	
8	Voltage	- Asset category	Asset class	Units	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade unknown	Data accuracy (1–4)	% of asset forecast to be replaced in next 5 years
10	All	Overhead Line	Concrete poles / steel structure	No.	1.00%	4.00%	25.00%	50.00%	20.00%	3	5.00%
11	All	Overhead Line	Wood poles	No.	5.00%	15.00%	40.00%	20.00%	20.00%	3	20.00%
12	All	Overhead Line	Other pole types	No.	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
13	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH up to 66kV conductor	km	-	1.00%	98.00%	1.00%	-	3	1.00%
14	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH 110kV+ conductor	km	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
15	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (XLPE)	km	-	-	20.50%	79.50%	-	3	-
16	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Oil pressurised)	km	-	15.00%	85.00%	-	-	3	15.00%
17	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Gas pressurised)	km	10.00%	20.00%	70.00%		-	3	30.00%
18	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (PILC)	km	-	5.00%	95.00%		-	3	2.00%
19	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (XLPE)	km	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
20	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Oil pressurised)	km	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
21	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Gas Pressurised)	km	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
22	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (PILC)	km	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
23	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission submarine cable	km	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
24	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations up to 66kV	No.	-	-	75.00%	25.00%	-	3	-
25	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations 110kV+	No.	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
26	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Indoor)	No.	-	100.00%			-	3	100.00%
27	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Outdoor)	No.	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
28	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Ground Mounted)	No.	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
29	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Pole Mounted)	No.	-	25.00%	75.00%		-	3	10.00%
30	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV RMU	No.	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
31	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Indoor)	No.	-	-	-		-	N/A	-
32	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Outdoor)	No.	-	-	-	_	-	N/A	_
33	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (ground mounted)	No.	5.00%	10.00%	70.00%	15.00%	-	3	10.00%
34	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted)	No.	-	-	-		-	N/A	-

SCHEDULE 12a: REPORT ON ASSET CONDITION

This schedule requires a breakdown of asset condition by asset class as at the start of the forecast year. The data accuracy assessment relates to the percentage values disclosed in the asset condition columns. Also required is a forecast of the percentage of units to be replaced in the next 5 years. All information should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP and the expenditure on assets forecast in Schedule 11a. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

sch ref											
42						Asset cor	ndition at start of pl	anning period (pe	ercentage of units b	y grade)	
43	Voltage	Asset category	Asset class	Units	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade unknown	Data accuracy (1–4)	% of asset forecast to be replaced in next 5 years
45	HV	Zone Substation Transformer	Zone Substation Transformers	No.	5.00%	10.00%	75.00%	10.00%	-		4.00%
46	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Open Wire Conductor	km	1.00%	10.00%	84.00%	5.00%		3	1.00%
47	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Aerial Cable Conductor	km	1.00%	10.00%	84.00%	5.00%			-
48	HV	Distribution Line	SWER conductor	km	-	-	_		-	N/A	-
49	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution UG XLPE or PVC	km	2.00%	8.00%	5.00%	85.00%			-
50	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution UG PILC	km	2.00%	8.00%	80.00%	10.00%			2.00%
51	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution Submarine Cable	km	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
52	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted) - reclosers and sectionalisers	No.	4.00%	16.00%	60.00%	20.00%	-		20.00%
53	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (Indoor)	No.	5.00%	15.00%	70.00%	10.00%	-		10.00%
54	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switches and fuses (pole mounted)	No.	5.00%	10.00%	66.00%	19.00%	-		10.00%
55	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switch (ground mounted) - except RMU	No.	5.00%	10.00%	75.00%	10.00%			15.00%
56	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV RMU	No.	5.00%	10.00%	75.00%	10.00%			3.00%
57	HV	Distribution Transformer	Pole Mounted Transformer	No.	2.00%	8.00%	45.00%	45.00%			2.00%
58	HV	Distribution Transformer	Ground Mounted Transformer	No.	2.00%	8.00%	65.00%	25.00%			3.00%
59	HV	Distribution Transformer	Voltage regulators	No.	-	-	-		_	N/A	-
60	HV	Distribution Substations	Ground Mounted Substation Housing	No.	5.00%	10.00%	70.00%	15.00%			3.00%
61	LV	LV Line	LV OH Conductor	km	2.00%	13.00%	75.00%	10.00%		3	1.00%
62	LV	LV Cable	LV UG Cable	km	1.00%	9.00%	60.00%	30.00%	-	3	2.00%
63	LV	LV Streetlighting	LV OH/UG Streetlight circuit	km	5.00%	10.00%	80.00%	5.00%	-		2.00%
64	LV	Connections	OH/UG consumer service connections	No.	5.00%	10.00%	80.00%	5.00%	-		2.00%
65	All	Protection	Protection relays (electromechanical, solid state and numeric)	No.	5.00%	10.00%	80.00%	5.00%	-	3	10.00%
66	All	SCADA and communications	SCADA and communications equipment operating as a single system	Lot	5.00%	10.00%	70.00%	15.00%		3	10.00%
67	All	Capacitor Banks	Capacitors including controls	No.	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
68	All	Load Control	Centralised plant	Lot	5.00%	15.00%	70.00%	10.00%	-	3	5.00%
69	All	Load Control	Relays	No.	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	-
70	All	Civils	Cable Tunnels	km	-	-	100.00%	-	_		-
			•	-	-	-	100.00%	-	-	N/A	3

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023

SCHEDULE 12b: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPACITY

This schedule requires a breakdown of current and forecast capacity and utilisation for each zone substation and current distribution transformer capacity. The data provided should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP. Information provided in this table should relate to the operation of the network in its normal steady state configuration.

sch ref

25

29

36

12b(i): System Growth - Zone Substations

		Installed Firm	Security of Supply		Installed Firm	Installed Firm	Installed Firm	Installed Firm Capacity	
Existing Zone Substations	Current Peak Load	Capacity	Classification	Transfer Capacity (MVA)	Capacity	Capacity +5 years		Constraint +5 years (cause)	
8 Ira St	(MVA) 17.0	(MVA) 24	(type) N-1	(IVIVA)	% 71%	(MVA)	%	No constraint within +5 years	Explanation
Brown Owl	15.5	23	N-1	3	67%	23		No constraint within +5 years	
	15.8	23	N-1	11	66%	24		No constraint within +5 years	
Evans Bay Frederick St	29.2		N-1	13				Subtransmission circuit	High Demand, Capacity shortfall
		36			81%	36			ingli belliana, capacity shortian
Gracefield	12.6	23	N-1	12	55%	23		No constraint within +5 years	
Hataitai	17.6	23	N-1	11	77%	23	82%	No constraint within +5 years	High Demand growth north east of Johnsonville - Development of
Johnsonville	18.2	23	N-1	9	79%	23	74%	Subtransmission circuit	new Sub-division
Karori	17.3	24	N-1	7	72%	24	78%	No constraint within +5 years	
Kenepuru	11.0	23	N-1	9	48%	23	57%	No constraint within +5 years	
Korokoro	11.9	23	N-1	11	52%	23	86%	No constraint within +5 years	
Maidstone	15.0	22	N-1	12	68%	22	74%	No constraint within +5 years	
Mana-Plimmerton	18.9	16	N-1	12	118%	16	128%	Transformer	Capacity Shortfall
Moore St	25.4	36	N-1	14	71%	36	82%	No constraint within +5 years	
Naenae	15.5	23	N-1	11	67%	23	73%	No constraint within +5 years	
Nairn St	17.5	30.1	N-1	16	58%	30	71%	No constraint within +5 years	
Ngauranga	12.8	12	N-1	10	107%	12	115%	Transformer	High Demand growth north east of Ngauranga and in Johnsonville - Development of new Sub-division
Palm Grove	27.5	24	N-1	13	115%	24	125%	Subtransmission circuit	Capacity Shortfall
Petone	10.5	20	N-1	10	53%	20	-	No constraint within +5 years	
Porirua	17.7	20	N-1	14	89%	20	95%	No constraint within +5 years	
Seaview	13.4	22	N-1	12	61%	22	76%	No constraint within +5 years	
Tawa	14.3	16	N-1	13	89%	16	109%	Transformer	Due to high demand growth south of Tawa (Grenada Village)
The Terrace	29.7	36	N-1	21	83%	36	90%	No constraint within +5 years	
Trentham	14.9	23	N-1	10	65%	23	70%	No constraint within +5 years	
University	25.8	24	N-1	21	108%	24	119%	Subtransmission circuit	Capacity Shortfall
Waikowhai	16.2	19	N-1	10	85%	19	90%	No constraint within +5 years	
Wainuiomata	17.0	23	N-1	3	74%	23	80%	No constraint within +5 years	
Waitangirua	14.8	16	N-1	11	93%	16	98%	No constraint within +5 years	
Waterloo	18.0	23	N-1	14	78%	23	85%	No constraint within +5 years	
[Zone Substation_20]					-			[Select one]	

Utilisation of

Utilisation of

12b(ii): Transformer Capacity

Distribution transformer capacity (EDB owned) 1,350
Distribution transformer capacity (Non-EDB owned) 1,350

Total distribution transformer capacity 1,350

Zone substation transformer capacity 1,138

¹ Extend forecast capacity table as necessary to disclose all capacity by each zone substation

SCHEDULE 12C: REPORT ON FORECAST NETWORK DEMAND

This schedule requires a forecast of new connections (by consumer type), peak demand and energy volumes for the disclosure year and a 5 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP as well as the assumptions used in developing the expenditure forecasts in Schedule 11a and Schedule 11b and the capacity and utilisation forecasts in Schedule 12b.

the a	ssumptions used in developing the expenditure forecasts in Schedule 11a and Schedule 11b ar	id the capacity and diffisation forecas	ts in schedule 12b.					
sch rej								
7	12c(i): Consumer Connections							
8	Number of ICPs connected in year by consumer type				Number of c	annactions		
9	Number of ICPs connected in year by consumer type		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
10		for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18
11	Consumer types defined by EDB*							
12	Domestic		717	737	752	760	754	759
13	Large Commercial		10	11	11	10	9	10
14	Large Industrial		1	-	-	-	-	
	Medium Commercial		21	20	21	18	20	19
	Small Commercial		460	450	439	440	445	440
15	Small Industrial		4	3	3	3	3	3
16	Unmetered		53	45	40	35	35	35
17	Connections total		1,266	1,266	1,266	1,266	1,266	1,266
18 19	*include additional rows if needed							
	Distributed generation		20	20	20	20	20	20
20 21	Number of connections Installed connection capacity of distributed generation (MVA)		20	20	20	20	20	20
21	installed conflection capacity of distributed generation (MVA)		1	1	1	1	1	1
22	12c(ii) System Demand							
22 23	12c(ii) System Demand		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
	12c(ii) System Demand Maximum coincident system demand (MW)	for year ended	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13	CY+1 31 Mar 14	<i>CY+2</i> 31 Mar 15	<i>CY+3</i> 31 Mar 16	<i>CY+4</i> 31 Mar 17	<i>CY+5</i> 31 Mar 18
23		for year ended						
23 24	Maximum coincident system demand (MW)	for year ended	31 Mar 13	31 Mar 14	31 Mar 15	31 Mar 16	31 Mar 17	31 Mar 18
23 24 25	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550	31 Mar 14 555	31 Mar 15 560	31 Mar 16 565	31 Mar 17 571	31 Mar 18 576
23 24 25 26	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2	31 Mar 14 555 2	31 Mar 15 560 2	31 Mar 16 565 2	31 Mar 17 571 2	31 Mar 18 576 2
23 24 25 26 27	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2	31 Mar 14 555 2	31 Mar 15 560 2	31 Mar 16 565 2	31 Mar 17 571 2	31 Mar 18 576 2
23 24 25 26 27 28	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 -	31 Mar 15 560 2 562	31 Mar 16 565 2 567	31 Mar 17 571 2 573	31 Mar 18 576 2 578
23 24 25 26 27 28	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 -	31 Mar 15 560 2 562	31 Mar 16 565 2 567	31 Mar 17 571 2 573	31 Mar 18 576 2 578
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 552 2,526	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552 2,526 - 12	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552 2,552 2,526 - 12 2,538	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12 - 2,572	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12 - 2,582	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12 2,597	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12 2,615	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12 - 2,641
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs less Total energy delivered to ICPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552 2,526 - 12 2,538 2,411	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12 - 2,572 2,444	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12 - 2,582 2,453	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12 2,597 2,467	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12 2,615 2,484	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12 - 2,641 2,509
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552 2,552 2,526 - 12 2,538	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12 - 2,572	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12 - 2,582	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12 2,597	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12 2,615	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12 - 2,641
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs less Total energy delivered to ICPs	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 - 552 2,526 - 12 2,538 2,411	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12 - 2,572 2,444	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12 - 2,582 2,453	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12 2,597 2,467	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12 2,615 2,484	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12 - 2,641 2,509
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Maximum coincident system demand (MW) GXP demand plus Distributed generation output at HV and above Maximum coincident system demand less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points Electricity volumes carried (GWh) Electricity supplied from GXPs less Electricity exports to GXPs plus Electricity supplied from distributed generation less Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs less Total energy delivered to ICPs Losses	for year ended	31 Mar 13 550 2 552 552 2,526 12 2,538 2,411 127	31 Mar 14 555 2 557 - 557 2,560 - 12 - 2,572 2,444 129	31 Mar 15 560 2 562 - 562 2,570 - 12 2,582 2,453 129	31 Mar 16 565 2 567 - 567 2,585 - 12 - 2,597 2,467 130	31 Mar 17 571 2 573 - 573 2,603 - 12 - 2,615 2,484 131	31 Mar 18 576 2 578 - 578 2,629 - 12 - 2,641 2,509 132

Company Name AMP Planning Period Network / Sub-network Name Wellington Electricity

1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023

SCHEDULE 12d: REPORT FORECAST INTERRUPTIONS AND DURATION

This schedule requires a forecast of SAIFI and SAIDI for disclosure and a 5 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP as well as the assumed impact of planned and unplanned SAIFI and SAIDI on the expenditures forecast provided in Schedule 11b.

8 9 10	f for year ended SAIDI	Current Year CY 31 Mar 13	CY+1 31 Mar 14	<i>CY+2</i> 31 Mar 15	<i>CY+3</i> 31 Mar 16	<i>CY+4</i> 31 Mar 17	CY+5 31 Mar 18
11	Class B (planned interruptions on the network)	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
12	Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network)	41.8	36.3	36.3	36.3	36.3	36.3
13	SAIFI						
14	Class B (planned interruptions on the network)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
15	Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network)	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

Question No.	Function	EDB'S self-assessment of the maturity of its Ouestion	Score	Evidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
3	Asset management policy	To what extent has an asset management policy been documented, authorised and communicated?	3	WE has an asset management policy which is derived from the organisational vision and linked to organisational strategies, objectives and targets. WE also has a number of focused policies for the management of discrete assets which are consitent with the corporate AM policy.	osei Gidaite	Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation to document, authorise and communicate its asset management policy (eg, as required in PAS 55 para 4.2 i). A key pre-requisite of any robust policy is that the organisation's top management must be seen to endorse and fully support it. Also vital to the effective implementation of the policy, is to tell the appropriate people of its content and their obligations under it. Where an organisation outsources some of its asset-related activities, then these people and their organisations must equally be made aware of the policy's content. Also, there may be other stakeholders, such as regulatory authorities and shareholders who should be made aware of it.	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management.	The organisation's asset management policy, its organisational strategic plan, documents indicating how the asset management policy was based upon the needs of the organisation and evidence of communication.
10	Asset management strategy	What has the organisation done to ensure that its asset management strategy is consistent with other appropriate organisational policies and strategies, and the needs of stakeholders?	2	The WE AMP considers asset strategy. The work is advanced, however there are currently gaps with regard to all asset categories and long term strategy for all assets.		In setting an organisation's asset management strategy, it is important that it is consistent with any other policies and strategies that the organisation has and has taken into account the requirements of relevant stakeholders. This question examines to what extent the asset management strategy is consistent with other organisational policies and strategies (eg. as required by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 b) and has taken account of stakeholder requirements as required by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 c). Generally, this will take into account the same polices, strategies and stakeholder requirements as covered in drafting the asset management policy but at a greater level of detail.	Top management. The organisation's strategic planning team. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management.	The organisation's asset management strategy document and other related organisational policies and strategies. Other than the organisation's strategic lan, these could include those relating to health and safety, environmental, etc. Results of stakeholder consultation.
11	Asset management strategy	In what way does the organisation's asset management strategy take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems over which the organisation has stewardship?	2	Lifecycle strategy has been introduced for the major asset classes such as switchgear, subtransmission cables, poles and transformers, but remains incomplete for all asset classes.		Good asset stewardship is the hallmark of an organisation compliant with widely used AM standards. A key component of this is the need to take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems. (For example, this requirement is recognised in 4.3.1 d) of PAS 55). This question explores what an organisation has done to take lifecycle into account in its asset management strategy.	Top management. People in the organisation with expert knowledge of the assets, asset types, asset systems and their associated life-cycles. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Those responsible for developing and adopting methods and processes used in asset management	The organisation's documented asset management strategy and supporting working documents.
26	Asset management plan(s)	How does the organisation establish and document its asset management plan(s) across the life cycle activities of its assets and asset systems?	2	The organization is in the process of putting in place comprehensive, documented asset management plans that cover all life cycle activities, clearly aligned to asset management objectives and the asset management strategy.		The asset management strategy need to be translated into practical plan(s) so that all parties know how the objectives will be achieved. The development of plan(s) will need to identify the specific tasks and activities required to optimize costs, risks and performance of the assets and/or asset system(s), when they are to be carried out and the resources required.	The management team with overall responsibility for the asset management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers.	The organisation's asset management plan(s).

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
3	Asset management policy	To what extent has an asset management policy been documented, authorised and communicated?	The organisation does not have a documented asset management policy.	The organisation has an asset management policy, but it has not been authorised by top management, or it is not influencing the management of the assets.	The organisation has an asset management policy, which has been authorised by top management, but it has had limited circulation. It may be in use to influence development of strategy and planning but its effect is limited.	The asset management policy is authorised by top management, is widely and effectively communicated to all relevant employees and stakeholders, and used to make these persons aware of their asset related obligations.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard.
10	Asset management strategy	What has the organisation done to ensure that its asset management strategy is consistent with other appropriate organisational policies and strategies, and the needs of stakeholders?	The organisation has not considered the need to ensure that its asset management strategy is appropriately aligned with the organisation's other organisational policies and strategies or with stakeholder requirements. OR The organisation does not have an asset management strategy.	The need to align the asset management strategy with other organisational policies and strategies as well as stakeholder requirements is understood and work has started to identify the linkages or to incorporate them in the drafting of asset management strategy.	Some of the linkages between the long-term asset management strategy and other organisational policies, strategies and stakeholder requirements are defined but the work is fairly well advanced but still incomplete.	All linkages are in place and evidence is available to demonstrate that, where appropriate, the organisation's asset management strategy is consistent with its other organisational policies and strategies. The organisation has also identified and considered the requirements of relevant stakeholders.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
11	Asset management strategy	In what way does the organisation's asset management strategy take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems over which the organisation has stewardship?	The organisation has not considered the need to ensure that its asset management strategy is produced with due regard to the lifecycle of the assets, asset types or asset systems that it manages. OR The organisation does not have an asset management strategy.	The need is understood, and the organisation is drafting its asset management strategy to address the lifecycle of its assets, asset types and asset systems.	The long-term asset management strategy takes account of the lifecycle of some, but not all, of its assets, asset types and asset systems.	The asset management strategy takes account of the lifecycle of all of its assets, asset types and asset systems.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
26	Asset management plan(s)	How does the organisation establish and document its asset management plan(s) across the life cycle activities of its assets and asset systems?	The organisation does not have an identifiable asset management plan(s) covering asset systems and critical assets.	The organisation has asset management plan(s) but they are not aligned with the asset management strategy and objectives and do not take into consideration the full asset life cycle (including asset creation, acquisition, enhancement, utilisation, maintenance decommissioning and disposal).	The organisation is in the process of putting in place comprehensive, ducemented asset management plan(s) that cover all life cycle activities, clearly aligned to asset management objectives and the asset management strategy.	Asset management plan(s) are established, documented, implemented and maintained for asset systems and critical assets to achieve the asset management strategy and asset management objectives across all life cycle phases.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

management plan(s) appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? 4 Asset management plan(s) by appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? 4 Asset management plan(s) 5 Asset management plan(s) communicated to all those, including contracted suppliers and those who undertake enabling functions (so the plan(s)). The plan(s) medicate to their plan(s) are relevant to those who need to use them. 5 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 6 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 7 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 8 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 9 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 9 The implementation of asset management plan(s) are planed to the communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. 10 The management planed to ensure the asset management planed to ensure the planed to the consider the planed to the planed to the consider the planed to the consider the planed to the	Who Record/documented Information Iteam with overall responsibility gement system. Delivery pliers. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documented information individuals and organisational departments. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documented processes and procedures for the delivery of the asset management plan (s). Documented processes and procedures for the delivery of the asset management plan (s).
management plan(s) relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? 29 Asset management plan(s) Asset management plan(s) The management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery of actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority or achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. The implementation of asset management plan(s) The management plan or relievant edilivery actions and thorse who need to use them. The implementation of asset management plan(s) The management plan or relievant edility and authority to actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority or actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. The management plan(s) The management plan or relievant for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The management plan(s) The	from plan(s) which detail the receivers role in plan delivery. Evidence of communication. The organisation's asset management plan(s). pocumentation defining roles and responsibilities on individuals and organisational departments. The organisation's asset management plan(s). pocumentation defining roles and responsibilities on individuals and organisational departments.
plan(s) relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? Asset management plan(s) Asset plan actions By Davis Mark the plan(s) set untresion of asset plan actions Asset plan actions being clearly identified, (2) and owner alloated and 31 bat owner having sufficient owner alloated and 31 bat owner having sufficient owner alloated and 31 bat owner alloated and 31 bat owner alloated and 31 bat owner having sufficient owner alloated and sufficie	team with overall responsibility spement system. Operations, engineering managers. If erformance management team. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments.
appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery? Participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. Participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. Participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) are realistic and can be responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented? Participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) are realistic and can be responsibilities for the delivery dactions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. Asset	team with overall responsibility gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If erformance management team. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments.
their delivery? their delivery? detail appropriate to their participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. 3 The asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for delivery of actions, Designated responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to enangement plan(s) a way that is relevant to those who need to use them. The implementation of asset management plan(s) relies on (1) actions being clearly identified, (2) an owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient of the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set our responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate ensures the plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The management plan(s) as which requires appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in place and an amagement plan(s) and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the perporpriate where appropriate, the perporpriate directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the perporpriate directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate.	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering management team. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments.
participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. 3 The asset management plan for delivery of asset plan actions documented? 4 How are designated responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented? 5 The asset management plan consistently documents responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 5 The organisation's appropriate. 6 The implementation of asset management plan (or the asset management plan (s)) the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in plan amount of the plan(s)? 8 The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 9 The management to the available and enabling mechanisms in plane anaintenance and en implemented, which requires appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane anaintenance and enforced implementation of the plan(s)? 10 The implementation of asset plan actions the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plan(s) and the plane of the savet management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane anaintenance a	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering management team. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments.
Interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process.	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering managers. If engineering management team. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments.
plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. 3 The asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented? 3 The asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset what has the organisation done to management plan(s) 32 The organisation's arrangements fully cover all to be available and enabling mechanisms in planements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 33 The organisation's arrangements fully cover all to be available and enabling mechanisms in planements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 34 The organisation's arrangements fully cover all to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane and amainternance and enable grounds arrangement plan(s)? 45 The management to delegated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate ersources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane and amainternance and enablements of the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset the management plan(s) and delivery of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well this is achieved. The plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the perporpriate where appropriate the plane and timescales, but also the where appropriate where appropriate where appropriate where appropriate where a consider the resources and appr	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If erformance management team. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities individuals and organisational departments. The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documented processes and procedures for the
29 Asset management plan(s) Asset plan(s) Asset with the delivery of asset plan actions documented? Asset management plan(s) 3 The asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset what has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate ensures arrangement of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to ensure that appropriate resources for the asset management to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to evaluable and enabling mechanisms in plane. 31 Asset which requires appropriate resources to evaluable and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well this is achieved. The plan(s) not only need to consider the resources implementation of the plan(s)? The management to for the asset management to action across the plane actions. The management to action across the organisation and appropriate resources implemented, which requires appropriate resources to a variable and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to explore how well this is achieved. The plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the pe appropriate, the pe appropriate, the pe appropriate, the pe appropriate where appropriate in the plane and timescales, but also the where appropriate.	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments.
being used effectively. It demonstrably supports business process. 29 Asset management for delivery of asset plan actions documented? 4 Asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to arrow the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority to carry of actions and actions is appropriate. 31 Asset management plan(s) 4 Asset management plan(s) 5 The management to for the asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility of achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset management plan(s) 4 Asset management plan(s) 5 The management to for the asset management to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 5 The management to for the asset management plan consistently documents responsibility and authority to carry of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. The plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. The plan(s) and directive required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the perporriate where appropriate, the perporriate where appropriate the plan(s) and directive quired and timescales, but also the where appropriate where appropriat	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments.
demostrably supports business process. 3	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments individuals and organisational departments.
Asset management plan(s) Asset with the plan(s) and plan(s) are plans to management plan (consistently documents plan(s) actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry out the work requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources implementation of the plan(s)? What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? Business process. The implementation of asset management plan (s) relies on (1) actions being clearly identified, (2) and for the asset management the delegated responsibility and authority to carry out the work requires alignment to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to the available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plan(s) and directly required and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to ensure management plan (s) and the plane of the actions across the organisation. This question explores alignment actions is appropriate, the period and the plane of the p	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments individuals and organisational departments.
Asset management plan consistently documents plan(s) documented? Asset management plan consistently documents responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate delayate delayate delayate responsibility and authority to carry document of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry delivery of asset plan actions is appropriate. The implementation of asset management plan owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient of the asset management the delivery of actions, and there is adequate delayate delayate responsibility and authority to carry delegrated responsibility and authority to carry delegrated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. The implementation of asset management plan(s) relies on (1) actions being clearly identified, (2) an owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient to delejazed responsibility and authority to carry delegrated responsibility and authority to carry delegrated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. The management to for the asset management the for the asset management to actions across the organisation. This question explores how well that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to easier that appropriate resources to easier that appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. The plan(s) or only need to consider the resources appropriate, the pe implementation of the plan(s) and directive required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the pe where appropriate, the pe where appropriate, the pe where appropriate.	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments individuals and organisational departments.
management plan(s) The organisation's arrangement plan(s) What has the organisation done to management plan(s) What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's and the plan(s) The organisation's arrangement plan(s) The organisation's arrangement plan(s) The organisation's arrangement of the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangement plan(s) arrangement sare made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the work required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the percentage owner and owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient of actions across the organisation. This question explores he organisation. This	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments individuals and organisational departments.
management plan(s) The organisation's arrangement plan(s) What has the organisation done to management plan(s) What has the organisation done to management plan(s) The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangement plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the work required and consider the repulsements for the appropriate the plan(s) and which required and timescales, but also the work required and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient on owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient of actions across the organisation. This question explores how our propriate, the period of the plan(s) and	gement system. Operations, engineering managers. If individuals and organisational departments. Documentation defining roles and responsibilities of individuals and organisational departments.
plan(s) documented? responsibilities for the delivery actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) actions is appropriate. What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangement to faction across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to evaluate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. The management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. This question explores how well this is achieved. The plan(s) on only need to consider the resources appropriate, the pe appropriate and consider the resources appropriate, the pe appropriate, the pe appropriate and consider the resources appropriate.	team with overall responsibility gement system. Operations,
actions, and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Sessing ated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset the plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the perconsideration of asset the management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the perconsideration actions carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of asset plan actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be a the plan(s) are realistic and can be a the plan(s) are realistic and can be a transgement to the explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be a transgement to the explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be a transgement to the explores how well the work required. The actions	team with overall responsibility gement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) which is efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's arrangements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's arrangement to the efficient and cost effective implementation of saset plan actions. 3 The organisation's arrangement to the available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of saset plan actions. 4 The organisation's arrangement plan(s) arrangement plan(s) arrangement plan(s)? 5 The organisation's arrangement to the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 6 The management to the plan(s) arrangement plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources or the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset plan actions. 7 The organisation's arrangement to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 8 The management to the to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 9 The management to the implemented, which requires appropriate resources or the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset plan actions. 9 The organisation. 9 The organisation or asset plan actions. 9 The management to the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 10 The management to the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 11 The organisation of the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources or the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 12 The management plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. 13 The organisation of asset plan actions. 14 The organisation of asset plan actions. 15 The management plan(s) are	team with overall responsibility gement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
actions. Designated responsibility and authority for exponsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 32 The organisation's arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 33 The organisation's lt is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and enforcements from the plan(s) and directly required and tonescales, but also the where appropriate, the perporpriate implementation of asset implementation of asset plan actions. This question exposs show well the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and enforcements from the plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate, the perporpriate implementation of asset plan actions. This question exposs show well that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and enforcements for the appropriate, the perporpriate implementation of asset plan actions. This question exposes the organisation. This question exposes the with explan(s) and the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented with explant actions.	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's implemented, which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be the management to the available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be the management to the available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well the plan(s) are realistic and can be the management to the available and enabling mechanisms in place. The plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the period of actions to the plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate where the plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate arrangement plan(s) and the plan(s) are realistic and can be the plan(s) are realistic and can be the plan(s) arrangement to the plan(s) arrangement to the available arrangement to the available and enabling mechanisms in place. The plan(s) are realistic and can be the plan(s) arrangement to the plan(s) are realistic and can be plan(s) are realistic and can be the plan(s) are realistic and can be the plan(s	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
achievement of asset plan actions. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's It is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and en efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? The organisation's It is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and enforcements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset plan actions. The management to to be available and enabling mechanisms in plane. maintenance and enforcements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset plan actions.	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
actions is appropriate. 31 Asset What has the organisation done to management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's lit is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be management to be available arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 4 The organisation's lit is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be management to be available and enabling mechanisms in plance. maintenance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The appropriate, the perporpare appropriate with the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented. Where appropriate enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the available for the appropriate enabling mechanisms in plance. The appropriate is appropriate, the perporpare described implementation of asset management plan(s) and directive distributions are realistic and can be implemented. Where appropriate implements for the asset management to the available of the available and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the available are propriate enabling mechanisms in plance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the plance are realistic and can be implemented. Where appropriate enabling mechanisms in plance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the available and enabling mechanisms in plance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the available and enabling mechanisms in plance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the available and enabling mechanisms in plance and enabling mechanisms in plance. The management to the plance are realistic and c	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
31 Asset What has the organisation done to management engagements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? 3 The organisation's implemented, which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The appropriate, the permanagement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate where appropriate and can be the management to the available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate the permanagement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate the permanagement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? I management are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? I management fully cover all the requirements for the for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the work which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The appropriate, the period implementation of asset management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate which requires appropriate resources for the asset management arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the asset management arrangements are management arrangements arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the asset management arrangements arrangement for the asset management arrangements arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the appropriate arrangements arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the appropriate resources.	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of asset management plan(s) arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of asset management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the work which requires appropriate resources for the asset management to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The appropriate, the permanagement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate where appropriate to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The appropriate, the permanagement plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the	agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of asset management plan(s) and management plan(agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
management plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of the plan(s)? Implementation of asset management plan(s) and management plan(agement system. Operations, Documented processes and procedures for the
plan(s) arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? the plan(s)? the plan(s)? the plan(s)? implementation of asset plan(s) not only need to consider the resources management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the Where appropriate.	
for the efficient and cost effective efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? In squestion explores how well this is achieved. The appropriate, the performance implementation of asset plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the performance in the plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the where appropriate in the plan (s) and directly required and timescales, but also the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required and timescales are the plan (s) and directly required	engineering managers. If delivery of the asset management plan.
implementation of the plan(s)? implementation of asset plan(s) not only need to consider the resources appropriate, the pe management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the Where appropriate	
management plan(s) and directly required and timescales, but also the Where appropriate	erformance management team. If
	erformance management team.
	e the procurement team and
(Note this is about resources and realistically address the enabling activities, including for example, training service providers w	working on the organisation's asset-
enabling support) resources and timescales requirements, supply chain capability and related activities.	
required, and any changes procurement timescales.	
needed to functional policies,	
standards, processes and the	
asset management	
information system. Work is	
advanced on a long term	
strategic resource map relative	
to asset management	
organisational delivery	
organisational univery requirements.	
тефитенны.	
33 Contingency What plan(s) and procedure(s) does 3 Emergency management for Widely used AM practice standards require that an The manager with r	responsibility for developing The organisation's plan(s) and procedure(s) for
	The organisation's risk dealing with emergencies. The organisation's risk
	People with designated duties assessments and risk registers.
	and procedure(s) for dealing with
incluents and entregency situations and ensuring continuity of critical events have been developed. specified emergency situations and ensure incidents and emergency situations are situations and emergency situations and emergency situations are sit	
	rgency situations.
asset management activities?	
including the communication to, and involvement	
of, external agencies. This question assesses if, and	
how well, these plan(s) triggered, implemented and	
resolved in the event of an incident. The plan(s)	
should be appropriate to the level of risk as	
determined by the organisation's risk assessment	
methodology. It is also a requirement that relevant	
personnel are competent and trained.	

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
27	Asset	How has the organisation	The organisation does not have	The plan(s) are communicated to	The plan(s) are communicated to	The plan(s) are communicated to all	The organisation's process(es) surpass
	management plan(s)	communicated its plan(s) to all relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery?	plan(s) or their distribution is limited to the authors.	some of those responsible for delivery of the plan(s). OR Communicated to those responsible for delivery is either irregular or adhoc.	most of those responsible for delivery but there are weaknesses in identifying relevant parties resulting in incomplete or inappropriate communication. The organisation recognises improvement is needed as is working towards resolution.	relevant employees, stakeholders and contracted service providers to a level of detail appropriate to their participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is confirmation that they are being used effectively.	the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
29	Asset management plan(s)	How are designated responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented?	The organisation has not documented responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions.	Asset management plan(s) inconsistently document responsibilities for delivery of plan actions and activities and/or responsibilities and authorities for implementation inadequate and/or delegation level inadequate to ensure effective delivery and/or contain misalignments with organisational accountability.	Asset management plan(s) consistently document responsibilities for the delivery of actions but responsibility/authority levels are inappropriate/ inadequate, and/or there are misalignments within the organisation.	Asset management plan(s) consistently document responsibilities for the delivery actions and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset plan actions is appropriate.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
31	Asset management plan(s)	What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? (Note this is about resources and enabling support)	The organisation has not considered the arrangements needed for the effective implementation of plan(s).	The organisation recognises the need to ensure appropriate arrangements are in place for implementation of asset management plan(s) and is in the process of determining an appropriate approach for achieving this.	The organisation has arrangements in place for the implementation of asset management plan(s) but the arrangements are not yet adequately efficient and/or effective. The organisation is working to resolve existing weaknesses.	The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plan(s) and realistically address the resources and timescales required, and any changes needed to functional policies, standards, processes and the asset management information system.	The assessor is advised to note in the
33	Contingency planning	the organisation have for identifying and responding to	The organisation has not considered the need to establish plan(s) and procedure(s) to identify and respond to incidents and emergency situations.	The organisation has some ad-hoc arrangements to deal with incidents and emergency situations, but these have been developed on a reactive basis in response to specific events that have occurred in the past.	Most credible incidents and emergency situations are identified. Either appropriate plan(s) and procedure(s) are incomplete for critical activities or they are inadequate. Training/ external alignment may be incomplete.	Appropriate emergency plan(s) and procedure(s) are in place to respond to credible incidents and manage continuity of critical asset management activities consistent with policies and asset management objectives. Training and external agency alignment is in place.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
37	Structure, authority and responsibilities	What has the organisation done to appoint member(s) of its management team to be responsible for ensuring that the organisation's assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s)?	3	Good solid accountability for Asset Management responsibility from CEO, through Network GM and through Network Team fuctional Line Managers	Oser Grande	In order to ensure that the organisation's assets and asset systems deliver the requirements of the asset management policy, strategy and objectives responsibilities need to be allocated to appropriate people who have the necessary authority to fulfil their responsibilities. (This question, relates to the organisation's assets eg, para b), s.4.4.1 of PAS 55, making it therefore distinct from the requirement contained in para a), s.4.4.1 of PAS 55).	Top management. People with management responsibility for the delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s). People working on asset-related activities.	Evidence that managers with responsibility for the delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s) have been appointed and have assumed their responsibilities. Evidence may include the organisation's documents relating to its asset management system, organisational charts, job descriptions of post-holders, annual targets/objectives and personal development plan(s of post-holders as appropriate.
40	Structure, authority and responsibilities	What evidence can the organisation's top management provide to demonstrate that sufficient resources are available for asset management?	3	An effective process exists for determining the resources needed for asset management and that sufficient resources are available. It can be demonstrated that resources are matched to asset management requirements. Work is advanced on a long term strategic resource map relative to asset management organisational delivery requirements.		Optimal asset management requires top management to ensure sufficient resources are available. In this context the term 'resources' includes manpower, materials, funding and service provider support.	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Risk management team. The organisation's manager involved in day-to-day supervision of asset-related activities, such as frontline managers, engineers, foremen and chargehands as appropriate.	Evidence demonstrating that asset management plan(s) and/or the process(es) for asset management plan implementation consider the provision of adequate resources in both the short and long term. Resources include funding, materials, equipment, services provided by third parties and personnel (internal and service providers) with appropriate skills competencies and knowledge.
42	Structure, authority and responsibilities	To what degree does the organisation's top management communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements?	3	Communication is guided through the annual AMP disclosures and through weekly and monthly performance meetings with Management teams and Contractors.		Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation to communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements such that personnel fully understand, take ownership of, and are fully engaged in the delivery of the asset management requirements (eg, PAS 55 s 4.4.1 g).	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. People involved in the delivery of the asset management requirements.	Evidence of such activities as road shows, written bulletins, workshops, team talks and management walk-abouts would assist an organisation to demonstrate it is meeting this requirement of PAS 55.
45	Outsourcing of asset management activities	Where the organisation has outsourced some of its asset management activities, how has it ensured that appropriate controls are in place to ensure the compliant delivery of its organisational strategic plan, and its asset management policy and strategy?	2	Whilst significant controls are in place to manage the delivery of AM activities within the outsourced contractors, there are gaps in AM strategy communication and contractor process control. In particular these are with maintenance and reactive fault quality assurance management.		Where an organisation chooses to outsource some of its asset management activities, the organisation must ensure that these outsourced process(es) are under appropriate control to ensure that all the requirements of widely used AM standards (eg, PAS 55) are in place, and the asset management policy, strategy objectives and plan(s) are delivered. This includes ensuring capabilities and resources across a time span aligned to life cycle management. The organisation must put arrangements in place to control the outsourced activities, whether it be to external providers or to other in-house departments. This question explores what the organisation does in this regard.	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. The manager(s) responsible for the monitoring and management of the outsourced activities. People involved with the procurement of outsourced activities activities. The people within the organisations that are performing the outsourced activities. The people impacted by the outsourced activity.	The organisation's arrangements that detail the compliance required of the outsourced activities. For example, this this could form part of a contract or service level agreement between the organisatior and the suppliers of its outsourced activities. Evidence that the organisation has demonstrated to itself that it has assurance of compliance of outsourced activities.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
37	Structure, authority and responsibilities	What has the organisation done to appoint member(s) of its management team to be responsible for ensuring that the organisation's assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s)?	Top management has not considered the need to appoint a person or persons to ensure that the organisation's assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).	Top management understands the need to appoint a person or persons to ensure that the organisation's assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).	Top management has appointed an appropriate people to ensure the assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s) but their areas of responsibility are not fully defined and/or they have insufficient delegated authority to fully execute their responsibilities.		The organisation's process(es) surpass
40	Structure, authority and responsibilities	What evidence can the organisation's top management provide to demonstrate that sufficient resources are available for asset management?	The organisation's top management has not considered the resources required to deliver asset management.	The organisations top management understands the need for sufficient resources but there are no effective mechanisms in place to ensure this is the case.	A process exists for determining what resources are required for its asset management activities and in most cases these are available but in some instances resources remain insufficient.	An effective process exists for determining the resources needed for asset management and sufficient resources are available. It can be demonstrated that resources are matched to asset management requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
42	Structure, authority and responsibilities	To what degree does the organisation's top management communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements?	The organisation's top management has not considered the need to communicate the importance of meeting asset management requirements.	The organisations top management understands the need to communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements but does not do so.	Top management communicates the importance of meeting its asset management requirements but only to parts of the organisation.	Top management communicates the importance of meeting its asset management requirements to all relevant parts of the organisation.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
45	Outsourcing of asset management activities	Where the organisation has outsourced some of its asset management activities, how has it ensured that appropriate controls are in place to ensure the compliant delivery of its organisational strategic plan, and its asset management policy and strategy?	The organisation has not considered the need to put controls in place.	The organisation controls its outsourced activities on an ad-hoc basis, with little regard for ensuring for the compliant delivery of the organisational strategic plan and/or its asset management policy and strategy.	Controls systematically considered but currently only provide for the compliant delivery of some, but not all, aspects of the organisational strategic plan and/or its asset management policy and strategy. Gaps exist.	Evidence exists to demonstrate that outsourced activities are appropriately controlled to provide for the compliant delivery of the organisational strategic plan, asset management policy and strategy, and that these controls are integrated into the asset management system	

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Fyidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
Question No. 48	Function Training, awareness and competence	Question How does the organisation develop plan(s) for the human resources required to undertake asset management activities - including the development and delivery of asset management strategy, process(es), objectives and plan(s)?	3	Evidence—Summary We's can demonstrate that plans are in place and effective in matching competencies and capabilities to the asset management system including the plan for both internal and contracted activities. Plans are reviewed integral to asset management system processes. The organisation's arrangements fully cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plans and realistically address the resources and timescales required, and any changes needed to functional policies, standards, processes and the asset management information system. Work is advanced on a long term strategic resource map relative to asset management organisational delivery requirements.	User Guidance	Why There is a need for an organisation to demonstrate that it has considered what resources are required to develop and implement its asset management system. There is also a need for the organisation to demonstrate that it has assessed what development plan(s) are required to provide its human resources with the skills and competencies to develop and implement its asset management systems. The timescales over which the plan(s) are relevant should be commensurate with the planning horizons within the asset management strategy considers seg. if the asset management strategy considers's 5, 10 and 15 year time scales then the human resources development plan(s) should align with these. Resources include both 'in house' and external resources who undertake asset management activities.	Who Senior management responsible for agreement of plan(s). Managers responsible for developing asset management strategy and plan(s). Managers with responsibility for development and recruitment of staff (including HR functions). Staff responsible for training. Procurement officers. Contracted service providers.	Record/documented Information Evidence of analysis of future work load plan(s) in terms of human resources. Document(s) containing analysis of the organisation's own direct resources and contractors resource capability over suitable timescales. Evidence, such as minutes of meetings, that suitable management forums are monitoring human resource development plan(s). Training plan(s), personal development plan(s), contract and service level agreements.
49	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organisation identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies?	3	There is the requirement for defined levels of management / technical and AM competencies through Job Descriptions / standard Key competency requirements. These are reviewed six monthly through performace reviews. These are also being reviewed with the intetion of developing an AM competencies framework within the company.		Widely used AM standards require that organisations to undertake a systematic identification of the asset management awareness and competencies required at each level and function within the organisation. Once identified the training required to provide the necessary competencies should be planned for delivery in a timely and systematic way. Any training provided must be recorded and maintained in a suitable format. Where an organisation has contracted service providers in place then it should have a means to demonstrate that this requirement is being met for their employees. (eg, PAS 55 refers to frameworks suitable for identifying competency requirements).	Senior management responsible for agreement of plan(s). Managers responsible for developing asset management strategy and plan(s). Managers with responsibility for development and recruitment of staff (including HR functions). Staff responsible for training. Procurement officers. Contracted service providers.	Evidence of an established and applied competency requirements assessment process and plan(s) in place to deliver the required training. Evidence that the training programme is part of a wider, coordinated asset management activities training and competency programme. Evidence that training activities are recorded and that records are readily available (for both direct and contracted service provider staff) e.g., via organisation wide information system or local records database.
50	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organization ensure that persons under its direct control undertaking asset management related activities have an appropriate level of competence in terms of education, training or experience?	3	There is the requirement for defined levels of management / technical and AM competencies through Job Descriptions / standard Key competency requirements. These are reviewed six monthly through performance reviews. These are also being reviewed with the intetion of developing an AM competencies framework within the company.		A critical success factor for the effective development and implementation of an asset management system is the competence of persons undertaking these activities. organisations should have effective means in place for ensuring the competence of employees to carry out their designated asset management function(s). Where an organisation has contracted service providers undertaking elements of its asset management system then the organisation shall assure itself that the outsourced service provider also has suitable arrangements in place to manage the competencies of its employees. The organisation should ensure that the individual and corporate competencies it requires are in place and actively monitor, develop and maintain an appropriate balance of these competencies.	Managers, supervisors, persons responsible for developing training programmes. Staff responsible for procurement and service agreements. HR staff and those responsible for recruitment.	Evidence of a competency assessment framework that aligns with established frameworks such as the asset management Competencies Requirements Framework (Version 2.0); National Occupational Standards for Management and Leadership; UK Standard for Professional Engineering Competence, Engineering Council, 2005.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 4
Question No. 48	Function Training, awareness and competence		Maturity Level 0 The organisation has not recognised the need for assessing human resources requirements to develop and implement its asset management system.	Maturity Level 1 The organisation has recognised the need to assess its human resources requirements and to develop a plan(s). There is limited recognition of the need to align these with the development and implementation of its asset management system.	Maturity Level 2 The organisation has developed a strategic approach to aligning competencies and human resources to the asset management system including the asset management plan but the work is incomplete or has not been consistently implemented.	Maturity Level 3 The organisation can demonstrate that plan(s) are in place and effective in matching competencies and capabilities to the asset management system including the plan for both internal and contracted activities. Plans are reviewed integral to asset management system process(es).	Maturity Level 4 The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
49	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organisation identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies?	The organisation does not have any means in place to identify competency requirements.	The organisation has recognised the need to identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies.	The organisation is the process of identifying competency requirements aligned to the asset management plan(s) and then plan, provide and record appropriate training. It is incomplete or inconsistently applied.	Competency requirements are in place and aligned with asset management plan(s). Plans are in place and effective in providing the training necessary to achieve the competencies. A structured means of recording the competencies achieved is in place.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
50	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organization ensure that persons under its direct control undertaking asset management related activities have an appropriate level of competence in terms of education, training or experience?	The organization has not recognised the need to assess the competence of person(s) undertaking asset management related activities.	Competency of staff undertaking asset management related activities is not managed or assessed in a structured way, other than formal requirements for legal compliance and safety management.	the competence of person(s) involved in asset management activities	Competency requirements are identified and assessed for all persons carrying out asset management related activities - internal and contracted. Requirements are reviewed and staff reassessed at appropriate intervals aligned to asset management requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
53	Communication, participation and consultation	How does the organisation ensure that pertinent asset management information is effectively communicated to and from employees and other stakeholders, including contracted service providers?	3	in addition to the annual AMP disclosure, regular contract meetings are held between Safety, Operations, Maintenance, Planning and Capital delivery managers and the respective contractors.		Widely used AM practice standards require that pertinent asset management information is effectively communicated to and from employees and other stakeholders including contracted service providers. Pertinent information refers to information required in order to effectively and efficiently comply with and deliver asset management strategy, plan(s) and objectives. This will include for example the communication of the asset management policy, asset performance information, and planning information as appropriate to contractors.	Top management and senior management representative(s), employee's representative(s), employee's representative(s); contracted service provider management and employee representative(s); representative(s) from the organisation's Health, Safety and Environmental team. Key stakeholder representative(s).	Asset management policy statement prominently displayed on notice boards, intranet and internet; use of organisation's website for displaying asset performance data; evidence of formal briefings to employees, stakeholders and contracted service providers, evidence of inclusion of asset management issues in team meetings and contracted service provider contract meetings; newsietters, etc.
59	Asset Management System documentation	What documentation has the organisation established to describe the main elements of its asset management system and interactions between them?	2	The AMP describes the key attributes of an asset management system however there are gaps in the overall completeness of that system. An effective architectural overview document would provide this visibility and connectivity.		Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation maintain up to date documentation that ensures that its asset management systems (ie, the systems the organisation has in place to meet the standards) can be understood, communicated and operated. (eg. s. 4.5 of PAS 55 requires the maintenance of up to date documentation of the asset management system requirements specified throughout s 4 of PAS 55).	The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Managers engaged in asset management activities.	The documented information describing the main elements of the asset management system (process(es)) and their interaction.
62	Information management	What has the organisation done to determine what its asset management information system(s) should contain in order to support its asset management system?		Various systems are in place for the management of AM information and data. The primary system is GIS. A business review is currently being carried out for the adoption of a proprietry asset management system such as SAP.		Effective asset management requires appropriate information to be available. Widely used AM standards therefore require the organisation to identify the asset management information it requires in order to support its asset management system. Some of the information required may be held by suppliers. The maintenance and development of asset management information systems is a poorly understood specialist activity that is akin to IT management but different from IT management. This group of questions provides some indications as to whether the capability is available and applied. Note: To be effective, an asset information management system requires the mobilisation of technology, people and process(set) that create, secure, make available and destroy the information required to support the asset management system.	The organisation's strategic planning team. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Information management team. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers	Details of the process the organisation has employed to determine what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system. Evidence that this has been effectively implemented.
63	Information management	How does the organisation maintain its asset management information system(s) and ensure that the data held within it (them) is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent?	3	Controls are in place to manage the quality of the data entered into the asset management system. Development and training is being carried out to manage the consistency of the data collected.		The response to the questions is progressive. A higher scale cannot be awarded without achieving the requirements of the lower scale. This question explores how the organisation ensures that information management meets widely used AM practice requirements (eg, s 4.4.6 (a), (c) and (d) of PAS 55).	The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Users of the organisational information systems.	The asset management information system, together with the policies, procedure(s), improvement initiatives and audits regarding information controls.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
53	Communication, participation and consultation	How does the organisation ensure that pertinent asset management information is effectively communicated to and from employees and other stakeholders, including contracted service providers?	The organisation has not recognised the need to formally communicate any asset management information.	There is evidence that the pertinent asset management information to be shared along with those to share it with is being determined.	The organisation has determined pertinent information and relevant parties. Some effective two way communication is in place but as yet not all relevant parties are clear on their roles and responsibilities with respect to asset management information.	Two way communication is in place between all relevant parties, ensuring that information is effectively communicated to match the requirements of asset management strategy, plan(s) and process(es). Pertinent asset information requirements are regularly reviewed.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
59	Asset Management System documentation	What documentation has the organisation established to describe the main elements of its asset management system and interactions between them?	The organisation has not established documentation that describes the main elements of the asset management system.	The organisation is aware of the need to put documentation in place and is in the process of determining how to document the main elements of its asset management system.	The organisation in the process of documenting its asset management system and has documentation in place that describes some, but not all, of the main elements of its asset management system and their interaction.	The organisation has established documentation that comprehensively describes all the main elements of its asset management system and the interactions between them. The documentation is kept up to date.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
62	Information management	What has the organisation done to determine what its asset management information system(s) should contain in order to support its asset management system?	The organisation has not considered what asset management information is required.	The organisation is aware of the need to determine in a structured manner what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system and is in the process of deciding how to do this.	The organisation has developed a structured process to determine what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system and has commenced implementation of the process.	The organisation has determined what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system. The requirements relate to the whole life cycle and cover information originating from both internal and external sources.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
63	Information management	How does the organisation maintain its asset management information system(s) and ensure that the data held within it (them) is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent?	There are no formal controls in place or controls are extremely limited in scope and/or effectiveness.	The organisation is aware of the need for effective controls and is in the process of developing an appropriate control process(es).	The organisation has developed a controls that will ensure the data held is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent and is in the process of implementing them.	The organisation has effective controls in place that ensure the data held is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent. The controls are regularly reviewed and improved where necessary.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
64	Information management	How has the organisation's ensured its asset management information system is relevant to its needs?		Various systems are in place for the management of AM information and data. The primary systems are GIS and MMS. A business review is currently being carried out for the adoption of a proprietry asset management system such as SAP.	user suitaince	Widely used AM standards need not be prescriptive about the form of the asset management information system, but simply require that the asset management information system is appropriate to the organisations needs, can be effectively used and can supply information which is consistent and of the requisite quality and accuracy.	The organisation's strategic planning team. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Information management team. Users of the organisational information systems.	The documented information employs to ensure its asset management information system aligns with its asset management information system aligns with its asset management requirements. Minutes of information systems review meetings involving users.
69	Risk management process(es)	How has the organisation documented process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle?	2	Asset related risks have been implimented as part of the risk management framework. There are however gaps surrounding the risks associated with each stage of the lifecycle of assets.		Risk management is an important foundation for proactive asset management. Its overall purpose is to understand the cause, effect and likelihood of adverse events occurring, to optimally manage such risks to an acceptable level, and to provide an audit trail for the management of risks. Widely used standards require the organisation to have process(es) and/or procedure(s) in place that set out how the organisation identifies and assesses asset and asset management related risks. The risks have to be considered across the four phases of the asset lifecycle (eg, para 4.3.3 of PAS 55).	The top management team in conjunction with the organisation's senior risk management representatives. There may also be input from the organisation's Safety, Health and Environment team. Staff who carry out risk identification and assessment.	The organisation's risk management framework and/or evidence of specific process(es) and/ or procedure(s) that deal with risk control mechanisms. Evidence that the process(es) and/or procedure(s) are implemented across the business and maintained. Evidence of agendas and minutes from risk management meetings. Evidence of feedback in to process(es) and/or procedure(s) as a result of incident investigation(s). Risk registers and assessments.
79	Use and maintenance of asset risk information	How does the organisation ensure that the results of risk assessments provide input into the identification of adequate resources and training and competency needs?		The outputs from the risk management process are included for the requirement to control the risk. Work is ongoing to develop a long term resource strategy based on the asset management forecast which is derived from asset knowlege, risk management and future work programmes.		Widely used AM standards require that the output from risk assessments are considered and that adequate resource (including staff) and training is identified to match the requirements. It is a further requirement that the effects of the control measures are considered, as there may be implications in resources and training required to achieve other objectives.	Staff responsible for risk assessment and those responsible for developing and approving resource and training plan(s). There may also be input from the organisation's Safety, Health and Environment team.	The organisations risk management framework. The organisation's resourcing plan(s) and training and competency plan(s). The organisation should be able to demonstrate appropriate linkages between the content of resource plan(s) and training and competency plan(s) to the risk assessments and risk control measures that have been developed.
82	Legal and other requirements	What procedure does the organisation have to identify and provide access to its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, and how is requirements incorporated into the asset management system?	3	There is a formal mechanism for ensuring we are meeting our reporting obligations. Senior Policy Analyst at Powercor formally checks with the responsible person whether they are on track for meeting the requirements that are due.		In order for an organisation to comply with its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, the organisation first needs to ensure that it knows what they are (e.g., PAS 55 specifies this in s 4.4.8). It is necessary to have systematic and auditable mechanisms in place to identify new and changing requirements. Widely used AM standards also require that requirements are incorporated into the asset management system (e.g. procedure(s) and process(es))	for the asset management system. The organisation's health and safety team or advisors. The organisation's policy making team.	The organisational processes and procedures for ensuring information of this type is identified, made accessible to those requiring the information and is incorporated into asset management strategy and objectives

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
64	Information management		The organisation has not considered the need to determine the relevance of its management information system. At present there are major gaps between what the information system provides and the organisations needs.	The organisation understands the need to ensure its asset management information system is relevant to its needs and is determining an appropriate means by which it will	The organisation has developed and is implementing a process to ensure its asset management information system is relevant to its needs. Gaps between what the information system provides and the organisations needs have been identified and action is being taken to close them.	The organisation's asset management information system aligns with its asset management requirements. Users can confirm that it is relevant to their needs.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised
69	Risk management process(es)	How has the organisation documented process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle?	The organisation has not considered the need to document process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle.	The organisation is aware of the need to document the management of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle. The organisation has plan(s) to formally document all relevant process(es) and procedure(s) or has already commenced this activity.	The organisation is in the process of documenting the identification and assessment of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle but it is incomplete or there are inconsistencies between approaches and a lack of integration.	Identification and assessment of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle is fully documented. The organisation can demonstrate that appropriate documented mechanisms are integrated across life cycle phases and are being consistently applied.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
79	Use and maintenance of asset risk information	How does the organisation ensure that the results of risk assessments provide input into the identification of adequate resources and training and competency needs?	The organisation has not considered the need to conduct risk assessments.	The organisation is aware of the need to consider the results of risk assessments and effects of risk control measures to provide input into reviews of resources, training and competency needs. Current input is typically ad-hoc and reactive.	The organisation is in the process ensuring that outputs of risk assessment are included in developing requirements for resources and training. The implementation is incomplete and there are gaps and inconsistencies.	Outputs from risk assessments are consistently and systematically used as inputs to develop resources, training and competency requirements. Examples and evidence is available.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
82	Legal and other requirements	What procedure does the organisation have to identify and provide access to its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, and how is requirements incorporated into the asset management system?	The organisation has not considered the need to identify its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements.	The organisation identifies some its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, but this is done in an ad-hoc manner in the absence of a procedure.	The organisation has procedure(s) to identify its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, but the information is not kept up to date, inadequate or inconsistently managed.	Evidence exists to demonstrate that the organisation's legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements are identified and kept up to date. Systematic mechanisms for identifying relevant legal and statutory requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity		
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023		
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55		

	1	Oversion						
Question No.	Function Life Cycle	Question How does the organisation	Score 2	Evidence—Summary There are AM policies,	User Guidance	Why Life cycle activities are about the implementation of	Who Asset managers, design staff, construction staff and	Record/documented Information Documented process(es) and procedure(s) which are
00	Activities	establish implement and maintain	2	procedures and processes in		asset management plan(s) i.e. they are the "doing"	project managers from other impacted areas of the	relevant to demonstrating the effective
	Activities	process(es) for the implementation		place which deal with the		phase. They need to be done effectively and well in	business, e.g. Procurement	management and control of life cycle activities
		of its asset management plan(s)		management of assets during		order for asset management to have any practical	business, e.g. Frocurement	during asset creation, acquisition, enhancement
		and control of activities across the		the design to commissioning		meaning. As a consequence, widely used standards		including design, modification, procurement,
		creation, acquisition or		phase. There are procedures to		(eg, PAS 55 s 4.5.1) require organisations to have in		construction and commissioning.
		enhancement of assets. This		determine how these are		place appropriate process(es) and procedure(s) for		
		includes design, modification,		derived and prioritised within		the implementation of asset management plan(s)		
		procurement, construction and		the asset management		and control of lifecycle activities. This question		
		commissioning activities?		plan.There are gaps covering		explores those aspects relevant to asset creation.		
				projects accelerated and not				
				inlcuded within the AMP,				
				together with works				
				management quality				
				monitoring. These gaps are				
				being addressed.				
91	Life Cycle	How does the organisation ensure	3	There is a good general;		Having documented process(es) which ensure the	Asset managers, operations managers, maintenance	Documented procedure for review. Documented
	Activities	that process(es) and/or		inspection plan in place with		asset management plan(s) are implemented in	managers and project managers from other	procedure for audit of process delivery. Records of
		procedure(s) for the		remedial actions derived		accordance with any specified conditions, in a	impacted areas of the business	previous audits, improvement actions and
		implementation of asset		around proritisation of critical		manner consistent with the asset management		documented confirmation that actions have been
		management plan(s) and control of		defects. Further work is being		policy, strategy and objectives and in such a way		carried out.
		activities during maintenance (and		carried out in standardising the		that cost, risk and asset system performance are		
		inspection) of assets are sufficient		level of consistency across the		appropriately controlled is critical. They are an		
		to ensure activities are carried out		the inspection and condition		essential part of turning intention into action (eg, as		
		under specified conditions, are		assessment proces and how		required by PAS 55 s 4.5.1).		
		consistent with asset management strategy and control cost, risk and		the results are then optimised within the maintenance				
		performance?		planning function. These plans				
		performance:		are reviewed and optimised on				
				an annual basis.				
				dir dimadi basisi				
95	Performance and	How does the organisation	3	A detailed inspection plan is in		Widely used AM standards require that	A broad cross-section of the people involved in the	Functional policy and/or strategy documents for
	condition	measure the performance and		place with identified and		organisations establish implement and maintain	organisation's asset-related activities from data	performance or condition monitoring and
	monitoring	condition of its assets?		remediated defects reported		procedure(s) to monitor and measure the	input to decision-makers, i.e. an end-to end	measurement. The organisation's performance
				to the SMT on a monthly basis.		performance and/or condition of assets and asset	assessment. This should include contactors and	monitoring frameworks, balanced scorecards etc.
				Although the majority of		systems. They further set out requirements in some	other relevant third parties as appropriate.	Evidence of the reviews of any appropriate
				measures are reactive in		detail for reactive and proactive monitoring, and		performance indicators and the action lists resulting
				application, leading asset		leading/lagging performance indicators together		from these reviews. Reports and trend analysis
				condition and performance		with the monitoring or results to provide input to		using performance and condition information.
				measure indicators have been		corrective actions and continual improvement.		Evidence of the use of performance and condition
				introduced and are driving		There is an expectation that performance and		information shaping improvements and supporting
				changes in performance management. Gaps in data and		condition monitoring will provide input to improving asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).		asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).
				data quality exist however this		asset management strategy, objectives and plants).		
				is being addressed through a				
				proactive review audit review				
				process.				
99	Investigation of	How does the organisation ensure	3	Audits are taken on major		Widely used AM standards require that the	The organisation's safety and environment	Process(es) and procedure(s) for the handling,
	asset-related	responsibility and the authority for		faults and asset related failures		organisation establishes implements and maintains	management team. The team with overall	investigation and mitigation of asset-related failures,
	failures,	the handling, investigation and		over a selected threshold		process(es) for the handling and investigation of	responsibility for the management of the assets.	incidents and emergency situations and non
	incidents and	mitigation of asset-related failures,		value. All asset related failures,		failures incidents and non-conformities for assets	People who have appointed roles within the asset-	conformances. Documentation of assigned
	nonconformities	incidents and emergency situations		Incidents and Near misses are		and sets down a number of expectations.	related investigation procedure, from those who	responsibilities and authority to employees. Job
		and non conformances is clear,	l	reproted and logged through a		Specifically this question examines the requirement	carry out the investigations to senior management	Descriptions, Audit reports. Common
		unambiguous, understood and		defined process with trending		to define clearly responsibilities and authorities for	who review the recommendations. Operational	communication systems i.e. all Job Descriptions on
		communicated?		carried out on failures,		these activities, and communicate these	controllers responsible for managing the asset base	Internet etc.
				incidents, near misses and		unambiguously to relevant people including external	under fault conditions and maintaining services to	
				defects. Corrective actions are		stakeholders if appropriate.	consumers. Contractors and other third parties as	
				managed through a weekly review and action process.			appropriate.	
				review and action process.				

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
88	Life Cycle Activities	How does the organisation establish implement and maintain process(es) for the implementation of its asset management plan(s) and control of activities across the creation, acquisition or enhancement of assets. This includes design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning activities?	The organisation does not have process(es) in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning.	The organisation is aware of the need to have process(es) and procedure(s) in place to manage and control the	The organisation is in the process of putting in place process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning. Gaps and inconsistencies are being	Effective process(es) and procedure(s) are in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning.	
91	Life Cycle Activities	How does the organisation ensure that process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the implementation of asset management plan(s) and control of activities during maintenance (and inspection) of assets are sufficient to ensure activities are carried out under specified conditions, are consistent with asset management strategy and control cost, risk and performance?	The organisation does not have process(es)/procedure(s) in place to control or manage the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase.	The organisation is aware of the need to have process(es) and procedure(s) in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase but currently do not have these in place and/or there is no mechanism for confirming they are effective and where needed modifying them.	The organisation is in the process of putting in place process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase. They include a process for confirming the process(es)/procedure(s) are effective and if necessary carrying out modifications.	The organisation has in place process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase. They include a process, which is itself regularly reviewed to ensure it is effective, for confirming the process(es)/ procedure(s) are effective and if necessary carrying out modifications.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
95	Performance and condition monitoring	How does the organisation measure the performance and condition of its assets?	The organisation has not considered how to monitor the performance and condition of its assets.	The organisation recognises the need for monitoring asset performance but has not developed a coherent approach. Measures are incomplete, predominantly reactive and lagging. There is no linkage to asset management objectives.	The organisation is developing coherent asset performance monitoring linked to asset management objectives. Reactive and proactive measures are in place. Use is being made of leading indicators and analysis. Gaps and inconsistencies remain.	Consistent asset performance monitoring linked to asset management objectives is in place and universally used including reactive and proactive measures. Data quality management and review process are appropriate. Evidence of leading indicators and analysis.	
99	Investigation of asset-related failures, incidents and nonconformities	How does the organisation ensure responsibility and the authority for the handling, investigation and mitigation of asset-related failures, incidents and emergency situations and non conformances is clear, unambiguous, understood and communicated?	The organisation has not considered the need to define the appropriate responsibilities and the authorities.	The organisation understands the requirements and is in the process of determining how to define them.	The organisation are in the process of defining the responsibilities and authorities with evidence. Alternatively there are some gaps or inconsistencies in the identified responsibilities/authorities.	The organisation have defined the appropriate responsibilities and authorities and evidence is available to show that these are applied across the business and kept up to date.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	PAS 55

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	User Guidance	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
105	Audit	What has the organisation done to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system (process(es))?		Whilst the audit program is mature and targeted to areas of risk and quality delivery, there are some areas of the asset management system and process which are not covered within the current audit regieme	Oser Guidance	This question seeks to explore what the organisation has done to comply with the standard practice AM audit requirements (eg. the associated requirements of PAS 55 s 4.6.4 and its linkages to s 4.7).	The management team responsible for its asset management procedure(s). The team with overall responsibility for the management of the assets. Audit teams, together with key staff responsible for asset management. For example, Asset Management Director, Engineering Director. People with responsibility for carrying out risk assessments	The organisation's asset-related audit procedure(s). The organisation's methodology(s) by which it determined the scope and frequency of the audits and the criteria by which it identified the appropriate audit personnel. Audit schedules, reports etc. Evidence of the procedure(s) by which
109	Corrective & Preventative action	How does the organisation instigate appropriate corrective and/or preventive actions to eliminate or prevent the causes of identified poor performance and non conformance?	3	Incidents and root cause analysis investigations and corrective actions are logged, reviewed and discussed at a weekly Network Management Team meeting.		Having investigated asset related failures, incidents and non-conformances, and taken action to mitigate their consequences, an organisation is required to implement preventative and corrective actions to address root causes. Incident and failure investigations are only useful if appropriate actions are taken as a result to assess changes to a businesses risk profile and ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place should a recurrence of the incident happen. Widely used AM standards also require that necessary changes arising from preventive or corrective action are made to the asset management system.	The management team responsible for its asset management procedure(s). The team with overall responsibility for the management of the assets. Audit and incident investigation teams. Staff responsible for planning and managing corrective and preventive actions.	Analysis records, meeting notes and minutes, modification records. Asset management plan(s), investigation reports, audit reports, improvement programmes and projects. Recorded changes to asset management procedure(s) and process(es). Condition and performance reviews. Maintenance reviews
113	Continual	How does the organisation achieve continual improvement in the optimal combination of costs, asset related risks and the performance and condition of assets and asset systems across the whole life cycle?	2	Continual improvement and optimisation of asset health, costs and risks across the whole asset lifecycle are in place although need to be finalised and fully implimented and embedded. Continuous improvement processes are set out and include consideration of cost risk, performance and condition for assets managed across the whole life cycle but it is not yet being systematically applied.		Widely used AM standards have requirements to establish, implement and maintain process(es)/procedure(s) for identifying, assessing, prioritising and implementing actions to achieve continual improvement. Specifically there is a requirement to demonstrate continual improvement in optimisation of cost risk and performance/condition of assets across the life cycle. This question explores an organisation's capabilities in this area—looking for systematic improvement mechanisms rather that reviews and audit (which are separately examined).	The top management of the organisation. The manager/team responsible for managing the organisation's asset management system, including its continual improvement. Managers responsible for policy development and implementation.	Records showing systematic exploration of improvement. Evidence of new techniques being explored and implemented. Changes in procedure(s) and process(s) reflecting improved use of optimisation tools/techniques and available information. Evidence of working parties and research.
115	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation seek and acquire knowledge about new asset management related technology and practices, and evaluate their potential benefit to the organisation?	3	Being part of a wider international group, WE* does place a high level of importance on learnings that can be made from sister companiues within the group and from within the industry in New Zealand. Interaction with AM practitioners outside of the electricity sector is limited.		One important aspect of continual improvement is where an organisation looks beyond its existing boundaries and knowledge base to look at what 'new things are on the market'. These new things can include equipment, process(es), tools, etc. An organisation which does this (eg, by the PAS 55 s 4.6 standards) will be able to demonstrate that it continually seeks to expand its knowledge of all things affecting its asset management approach and capabilities. The organisation will be able to demonstrate that it identifies any such opportunities to improve, evaluates them for suitability to its own organisation and implements them as appropriate. This question explores an organisation's approach to this activity.	The top management of the organisation. The manager/team responsible for managing the organisation's asset management system, including its continual improvement. People who monitor the various items that require monitoring for 'change'. People that implement changes to the organisation's policy, strategy, etc. People within an organisation with responsibility for investigating, evaluating, recommending and implementing new tools and techniques, etc.	Examples of change implementation and evaluation

Company Name	Wellington Electricity
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2013 – 31 March 2023
Asset Management Standard Applied	

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
105	Audit	What has the organisation done to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system (process(es))?	The organisation has not recognised the need to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system.	The organisation understands the need for audit procedure(s) and is determining the appropriate scope, frequency and methodology(s).	The organisation is establishing its audit procedure(s) but they do not yet cover all the appropriate asset-related activities.	The organisation can demonstrate that its audit procedure(s) cover all	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised
109	Corrective & Preventative action	How does the organisation instigate appropriate corrective and/or preventive actions to eliminate or prevent the causes of identified poor performance and non conformance?	The organisation does not recognise the need to have systematic approaches to instigating corrective or preventive actions.	The organisation recognises the need to have systematic approaches to instigating corrective or preventive actions. There is ad-hoc implementation for corrective actions to address failures of assets but not the asset management system.	The need is recognized for systematic instigation of preventive and corrective actions to address root causes of non compliance or incidents identified by investigations, compliance evaluation or audit. It is only partially or inconsistently in place.	Mechanisms are consistently in place and effective for the systematic instigation of preventive and corrective actions to address root causes of non compliance or incidents identified by investigations, compliance evaluation or audit.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
113	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation achieve continual improvement in the optimal combination of costs, asset related risks and the performance and condition of assets and asset systems across the whole life cycle?	The organisation does not consider continual improvement of these factors to be a requirement, or has not considered the issue.	A Continual Improvement ethos is recognised as beneficial, however it has just been started, and or covers partially the asset drivers.	Continuous improvement process(es) are set out and include consideration of cost risk, performance and condition for assets managed across the whole life cycle but it is not yet being systematically applied.	There is evidence to show that continuous improvement process(es) which include consideration of cost risk, performance and condition for assets managed across the whole life cycle are being systematically applied.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
115	Continual	How does the organisation seek and acquire knowledge about new asset management related technology and practices, and evaluate their potential benefit to the organisation?	The organisation makes no attempt to seek knowledge about new asset management related technology or practices.	The organisation is inward looking, however it recognises that asset management is not sector specific and other sectors have developed good practice and new ideas that could apply. Ad-hoc approach.	The organisation has initiated asset management communication within sector to share and, or identify 'new' to sector asset management practices and seeks to evaluate them.	The organisation actively engages internally and externally with other asset management practitioners, professional bodies and relevant conferences. Actively investigates and evaluates new practices and evolves its asset management activities using appropriate developments.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)

For Year Ended 31 March 2013

Schedule 14 Mandatory Explanatory Notes

(In this Schedule, clause references are to the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012)

- 1. This Schedule requires EDBs to provide explanatory notes to information provided in accordance with clauses 2.3.1, 2.4.21, 2.4.22, and 2.5.2.
- 2. This Schedule is mandatory—EDBs must provide the explanatory comment specified below, in accordance with clause 2.7.1. Information provided in boxes 1 to 12 of this schedule is part of the audited disclosure information, and so is subject to the assurance requirements specified in section 2.8.
- 3. Schedule 15 (Voluntary Explanatory Notes to Schedules) provides for EDBs to give additional explanation of disclosed information should they elect to do so.

Return on Investment (Schedule 2)

4. In the box below, comment on return on investment as disclosed in Schedule 2. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 1: Explanatory comment on return on investment

The return on investment (ROI) for 2012 and 2013 is below the 75th percentile estimate of WACC.

There has been no information reclassified in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2)

Regulatory Profit (Schedule 3)

- 5. In the box below, comment on regulatory profit for the disclosure year as disclosed in Schedule 3. This comment must include
 - a description of material items included in 'other regulatory line income' other than gains and losses on asset sales, as disclosed in 3(i) of Schedule 3
 - 5.2 information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 2: Explanatory comment on regulatory profit

'Other regulatory line income' includes:

- charges for new connections, upgrades, decommissioning and temporary disconnections and reconnections for safety;
- sales of scrap metal and cables; and
- loss rental rebates received and passed on.

There has been no information reclassified in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2)

Merger and acquisition expenses (3(iv) of Schedule 3)

- 6. If the EDB incurred merger and acquisitions expenditure during the disclosure year, provide the following information in the box below-
 - 6.1 information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2)
 - any other commentary on the benefits of the merger and acquisition expenditure to the EDB.

Box 3: Explanatory comment on merger and acquisition expenditure

N/A: there have been no mergers or acquisitions in the disclosure year.

Value of the Regulatory Asset Base (Schedule 4)

7. In the box below, comment on the value of the regulatory asset base (rolled forward) in Schedule 4. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 4: Explanatory comment on the value of the regulatory asset based (rolled forward)

The regulatory asset base (rolled forward) in Schedule 4 has been updated to comply with the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012.

During the 2013 disclosure year there were transfers of \$156K between network spares and various network assets. There were no other reclassifications.

Regulatory tax allowance: disclosure of permanent differences (5a(i) of Schedule 5a)

- 8. In the box below, provide descriptions and workings of the following items, as recorded in the asterisked categories in 5a(i) of Schedule 5a-
 - 8.1 income not included in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but taxable;
 - 8.2 expenditure or loss in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but not deductible;
 - 8.3 income included in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax but not taxable;
 - 8.4 expenditure or loss deductible but not in regulatory profit / (loss) before tax.

Box 5: Regulatory tax allowance: permanent differences

WELL has recorded expenditure before tax that is not deductible of \$168K. This includes non-deductible entertainment and legal expenses in accordance with the New Zealand Tax Legislation.

Regulatory tax allowance: disclosure of temporary differences (5a(vi) of Schedule 5a)

9. In the box below, provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the asterisked category 'Tax effect of other temporary differences' in 5a(vi) of Schedule 5a.

Box 6: Temporary differences / Tax effect of other temporary differences (current disclosure year)

Other temporary differences include doubtful debts and other accruals not deductible in the current period in accordance with the New Zealand Tax Legislation.

Related party transactions: disclosure of related party transactions (Schedule 5b)

10. In the box below, provide descriptions of related party transactions beyond those disclosed on schedule 5b including identification and descriptions as to the nature of directly attributable costs disclosed under clause 2.3.6(1)(b).

Box 7: Related party transactions

International Infrastructure Services Company Limited (NZ Branch) provides back office and IT support services to WELL. The back office and IT support services include but are not limited to: legal, audit, strategy, corporate affairs, finance, regulatory, human resources, customer services, network operations management and development etc.

International Infrastructure Services Company Limited (NZ Branch) also provides system operation services to WELL. This includes the management and operation of WELL's network control room.

During the disclosure year WELL paid CHED Services Pty Limited for arranging certain advertising services for WELL.

During the year WELL paid Cheung Kong Infrastructure Holdings Limited and Power Assets Investments Limited for professional fees and Directors expenses.

Cost allocation (Schedule 5d)

11. In the box below, comment on cost allocation as disclosed in Schedule 5d. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 8: Cost allocation

N/A: There is no cost allocation required. All costs are directly attributable to electricity distribution services. There are no reclassified items.

Asset allocation (Schedule 5e)

12. In the box below, comment on asset allocation as disclosed in Schedule 5e. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 9: Commentary on asset allocation

N/A: There is no asset allocation required. All assets are directly attributable to electricity distribution services. There are no reclassified items.

Capital Expenditure for the Disclosure Year (Schedule 6a)

- 13. In the box below, comment on capital expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 6a. This comment must include
 - a description of the materiality threshold applied to identify material projects and programmes described in Schedule 6a;
 - 13.2 information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2),

Box 10: Explanation of capital expenditure for the disclosure year

- 13.1 In the Report on Capital Expenditure for the Disclosure Year set out in Schedule 6a, information for 6a(iii)-6a(ix) is not required to be disclosed as part of the transitional provisions. Therefore the description of the materiality threshold applied is not required.
- 13.2 Schedule 6a has been prepared in accordance with the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. There are no other reclassifications.

Operational Expenditure for the Disclosure Year (Schedule 6b)

- 14. In the box below, comment on operational expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 6b. This comment must include-
 - 14.1 commentary on assets replaced or renewed with asset replacement and renewal operating expenditure, as reported in 6b(i) of Schedule 6b;
 - 14.2 information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2);
 - 14.3 commentary on any material atypical expenditure included in operational expenditure disclosed in Schedule 6b, a including the value of the expenditure the purpose of the expenditure, and the operational expenditure categories the expenditure relates to.

Box 11: Explanation of operational expenditure for the disclosure year

14.1 Asset replacement and renewal includes expenditure to replace or renew assets where the expenditure is not capitalised under GAAP.

14.2 In the current year the Management Fee for back office and system operations of \$12,753k was allocated based on the number of full time equivalents and the nature of services provided. Accordingly this expenditure was allocated across the following categories:

- Routine and Corrective Maintenance and Inspection (\$1,275k),
- System Operations and Network Support (\$2,933k); and
- Business Support (\$8,545k).

This allocation is slightly different from 2012 following a review of this cost allocation by Management. In 2012 this expenditure was allocated to the following categories:

- System Operations and Network Support (\$3,350k); and
- Business Support (\$8,202k).

During 2013 WELL reviewed the descriptions and allocations of the categories and believe that the allocation based on the number of full time equivalent employees and the services they provide is appropriate and reflective of the nature of the services provided.

14.3 There was no material atypical expenditure included in operational expenditure in the disclosure year.

Variance between forecast and actual expenditure (Schedule 7)

15. In the box below, comment on variance in actual to forecast expenditure for the disclosure year, as reported in Schedule 7. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2).

Box 12: Explanatory comment on variance in actual to forecast expenditure Capital Expenditure: System Growth

The variance compared to forecast is due to:

- the timing of several larger 11Kv reinforcement projects; and
- the purchase of land for a future zone substation costing less than forecast.

Capital Expenditure: Asset Replacement and Renewal

The variance compared to forecast is due to higher than anticipated levels of pole replacements and a higher number of incidents requiring replacement work.

Capital Expenditure: Asset Relocations

The variance compared to forecast is due to the unplanned relocation of various poles.

Capital Expenditure: Quality of Supply

The variance compared to forecast is due to unplanned enhancements to the earthing systems and feeders.

Operational Expenditure: Service Interruptions and Emergencies

The variance is due to higher than expected unplanned replacements resulting from incidents on the network rather than repairs.

Operational Expenditure: Routine and Corrective Maintenance and Inspection

The variance compared to forecast is due to:

- the reclassification of some of the management fee expenditure as explained in Schedule 14 Box 11; and
- unplanned corrective maintenance mainly due to a cable leak on subtransmisison cables.

Information relating to revenue and quantities for the disclosure year

- 16. In the box below provide
 - a comparison of the target revenue disclosed before the start of the disclosure year, in accordance with clauses 2.4.1 and 2.4.3(3) to total billed line charge revenue for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 8; and
 - 16.2 explanatory comment on reasons for any material differences between target revenue and total billed line charge revenue.

Box 13: Explanatory comment relating to revenue for the disclosure year

The target revenue of \$159,908K (Schedule 7) is consistent with the actual revenue earned of \$160,056K (Schedule 8).

There are no material differences between the target revenue and total billed line charge revenue.

Network Reliability for the Disclosure Year (Schedule 10)

17. In the box below, comment on network reliability for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 10.

Box 14: Commentary on network reliability for the disclosure year

WELL has complied with the Default Price-Quality Path reliability limits for SAIFI, but exceeded its reliability limits for SAIDI in the 2012/13 regulatory year as shown in the table below:

	Limit 2012/13	Actual 2012/13	Variance
SAIDI	40.744	43.290	6.25%
SAIFI	0.602	0.573	-4.82%

WELL Reliability 2012/13

None of the outages qualify as major event days and therefore cannot be excluded from the final SAIDI and SAIFI results. However the Wellington region was subject to a major weather event on 8 September 2012 where wind speeds in excess of 140 kilometres per hour significantly affected the network and triggered the declaration of a major event response for WELL. The total SAIDI and SAIFI for this period was 7.841 minutes (19.25% of the SAIDI limit for the year) and 0.048 (8% of the SAIFI limit for the year), respectively.

Insurance cover

- 18. In the box below provide details of any insurance cover for the assets used to provide electricity distribution services, including-
 - 18.1 the EDB's approaches and practices in regard to the insurance of assets used to provide electricity distribution services, including the level of insurance;
 - in respect of any self insurance, the level of reserves, details of how reserves are managed and invested, and details of any reinsurance.

Box 15: Explanation of insurance cover

WELL holds combined Material Damage (MD) and Business Interruption (BI) insurance of \$150m with BI cover limited to \$25m by certain underwriters. WELL insures 86 key asset locations, including WELL's GXP assets, zone substations, some critical distribution substations and its office fitout at Petone. The insured assets have a combined market value of \$167.2m. WELL's MD and BI insurance is currently placed on the Australian and London insurance markets.

The balance of WELL's assets are uninsured. WELL has no reserve provisions.

WELL is not self-insured and is making no ex-ante provisions for damage to uninsured network assets.

Company Name Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)

For Year Ended 31 March 2013

Schedule 14a Mandatory Explanatory Notes on Forecast Information

(In this Schedule, clause references are to the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012)

- 1. This Schedule provides for EDBs to provide explanatory notes to reports prepared in accordance with clause 2.6.5.
- 2. This Schedule is mandatory—EDBs must provide the explanatory comment specified below, in accordance with clause 2.7.2. This information is not part of the audited disclosure information, and so is not subject to the assurance requirements specified in section 2.8.

Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure forecasts (Schedule 11a)

3. In the box below, comment on the difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 11a.

Box 1: Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price capital expenditure forecastsThe difference represents annual inflation of 2.25% per year. The inflation rate is obtained from the Reserve Bank website for the September Quarter 2012 and sits within the Reserve Bank's target range for inflation.

Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure forecasts (Schedule 11b)

4. In the box below, comment on the difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure for the disclosure year, as disclosed in Schedule 11b.

Box 2: Commentary on difference between nominal and constant price operational expenditure forecasts. The difference represents annual inflation of 2.25% per year. The inflation rate is obtained from the Reserve Bank website for the September Quarter 2012 and sits within the Reserve Bank's target range for inflation.

Company Name

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)

For Year Ended

31 March 2013

Schedule 14b Mandatory Explanatory Notes on Transitional Financial Information

(In this Schedule, clause references are to the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012)

- 1. This Schedule provides for EDBs to provide explanatory notes to the transitional financial information disclosed in accordance with clause 2.12.1.
- 2. This Schedule is mandatory—EDBs must provide the explanatory comment specified below, in accordance with clause 2.12.1. This information is part of the audited disclosure information, and so is subject to the assurance requirements specified in section 2.8.
- 3. In the box below provide explanatory comment on the tax effect of other temporary differences for the years ending 31 March 2010, 31 March 2011 and 31 March 2012 (as reported in Schedule 5h(vii)).

Box 1: Commentary on tax effect of other temporary differences (years ended 31 March 2010, 31 March 2011, and 31 March 2012)

Other temporary differences include doubtful debts and other accruals not deductible in the current period.

4. To the extent that any change in regulatory profit and ROI reported for 2013 (compared to that reported for 2012) is attributable to the change in treatment of related party transactions, provide an explanation of the change in the box below.

Box 2: Change in regulatory profit and ROI due to change in treatment of related party transactions. There is no change in the regulatory profit and ROI for 2013 compared to 2012 attributable to the change in treatment of related party transactions. All related party transactions are treated as either price paid or Directors certification (refer to Schedule 5b).

5. In the box below, comment on asset allocation as disclosed in Schedule 5e. This comment must include information on reclassified items in accordance with clause 2.7.1(2) for disclosure years 2011 and 2012.

Box 3: Commentary on asset allocation

N/A: There is no asset allocation required. All the assets are directly attributable to electricity distribution services. There are no reclassified items.

Company Name

Wellington Electricity Lines Limited (WELL)

For Year Ended

31 March 2013

Schedule 15 Voluntary Explanatory Notes

(In this Schedule, clause references are to the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012)

- 1. This Schedule enable EDBs to provide, should they wish to
 - additional explanatory comment to reports prepared in accordance with clauses 2.3.1, 2.4.21, 2.4.22, 2.5.1, 2.5.2, and 2.6.5;
 - information on any substantial changes to information disclosed in relation to a prior disclosure year, as a result of final wash-ups.
- 2. Information in this Schedule is not part of the audited disclosure information, and so is not subject to the assurance requirements specified in section 2.8.
- 3. Provide additional explanatory comment in the box below.

Box 1: Voluntary explanatory comment on disclosed information

Schedule 8 for the regulatory year ended 31 March 2012:

In the past WELL did not internally report volumes at a tariff level. Therefore WELL did not have the required level of data in the 2011/12 regulatory year. To complete this schedule WELL re-engineered the volumes by dividing revenue by the 2011/12 published rates.

For the regulatory year ended 31 March 2013 WELL reported volumes by tariff level and therefore the Schedule could be completed accordingly.

Schedule 5b for the regulatory year ended 31 March 2012:

This schedule is only required to be completed for assets acquired from a related party. WELL did not acquire an asset from a related party in the disclosure year ended 31 March 2012.

Schedule 18 Certification for Year-end Disclosures

Clause 2.9.2 of section 2.9

We, Andrew Hunter and Loi Shun Chan, being directors of Wellington Electricity Lines Limited certify that, having made all reasonable enquiry, to the best of our knowledge-

- a) the information prepared for the purposes of clauses 2.3.1 and 2.3.2; and clauses 2.4.21 and 2.4.22; clauses 2.5.1 and 2.5.2; and clauses 2.7.1 and 2.7.2 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 in all material respects complies with that determination; and
- b) the historical information used in the preparation of Schedules 8, 9a, 9b, 9c, 9d, 9e, 10, 14a and 14b has been properly extracted from the Wellington Electricity Lines Limited's accounting and other records sourced from its financial and non-financial systems, and that sufficient appropriate records have been retained; and
- c) the forecasts in Schedules 11a, 11b, 12a, 12b, 12c and 12d are based on objective and reasonable assumptions which both align with Wellington Electricity Lines Limited's corporate vision and strategy and are documented in retained records.

In respect of related party costs recorded in accordance with clauses 2.3.6(1) (when valued in accordance with clause 2.2.11(5)(h)(ii) of the Electricity Distribution Services Input Methodologies Determination 2010), 2.3.6(2)(f) and 2.3.7(2)(b), we certify that, having made all reasonable enquiry, including enquiries of our related parties, we are satisfied that to the best of our knowledge and belief the costs recorded for related party transactions reasonably reflect the price or prices that would have been paid or received had these transactions been at arm's-length.

Andrew Hunter

Loi Shun Chan

23 August 2013

Schedule 19 Certification for Transitional Disclosures

Clause 2.9.3 of section 2.9

We, Andrew Hunter and Loi Shun Chan, being directors of Wellington Electricity Lines Limited certify that, having made all reasonable enquiry, to the best of our knowledge, the information prepared for the purpose of clauses 2.12.1, 2.12.2, 2.12.3, and 2.12.5 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 in all material respects complies with that determination.

Andrew Hunter

Loi Shun Chan

23 August 2013



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE DIRECTORS OF WELLINGTON ELECTRICITY LINES LIMITED AND THE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Report on the Disclosure Information

We have been engaged by the Board of Directors of Wellington Electricity Lines Limited ('the Company') to conduct a reasonable assurance engagement to provide an opinion on whether Schedules 1 to 4, 5a to 5i, 6a and 6b, 7, Schedule 10 sub-schedules (i) to (iv), the explanatory notes disclosed in boxes 1 to 12 of Schedule 14 and the explanatory comments in Schedule 14b ('the audited Disclosure Information') of the Company for the disclosure year ended 31 March 2013 have been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 ('the Determination').

Responsibilities of the Board of Directors for the Disclosure Report

The Board of Directors is responsible for the preparation of the Disclosure Information in accordance with the Determination, and for such internal control as the Board of Directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of the Disclosure information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on whether the Disclosure Information has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Determination.

We conducted our engagement in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (New Zealand) 3000: Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information and the Standard on Assurance Engagements 3100: Compliance Engagements issued by the External Reporting Board.

These standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform our audit to provide reasonable assurance about whether the Disclosure Information has been prepared in all material respects in accordance with the Determination.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Disclosure Information. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Disclosure Information, whether due to fraud or error or non-compliance with the Determination. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation of the Disclosure Information in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Inherent limitations

Because of the inherent limitations in evidence gathering procedures, it is possible that fraud, error or non-compliance may occur and not be detected. As the procedures performed for this engagement are not performed continuously throughout the year and the procedures performed in respect of the Company's compliance with the Determination are undertaken on a test basis, our engagement cannot be relied on to detect all instances where the Company may not have complied with the Determination.

Our opinion has been formed on the above basis.



Independence

Other than in our capacity as auditor, we have no relationship with or interests in the Company. We have complied with the Independent Auditor provisions specified in clause 1.4.3 of the Determination.

Opinion

We have obtained all the information and explanations we have required.

In our opinion;

- As far as appears from an examination of them, proper records to enable the complete and accurate compilation of the audited Disclosure Information for the year ended 31 March 2013 have been kept by the Company;
- The information used in the preparation of the audited Disclosure Information for the year ended 31 March 2013 has been properly extracted from the Company's accounting and other records and has been sourced, where appropriate, from the Company's financial and non-financial systems; and
- The Company has complied with the Determination, in all material respects, in preparing the audited Disclosure Information for the year ended 31 March 2013.

Restriction on Distribution and Use

This report has been prepared for the Directors of the Company and the Commerce Commission in accordance with the reporting requirements of clause 2.8 of the Determination. We accept or assume no duty, responsibility or liability to any other party, other than you, in connection with the report or this engagement including without limitation, liability for negligence in relation to the opinion expressed in our report.

Chartered Accountants

23 August 2013

Wellington, New Zealand